

COURT REPORTING

LEGAL VIDEOGRAPHY

VIDEOCONFERENCING

TRIAL PRESENTATION

MOCK JURY SERVICES

LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION

COPYING AND SCANNING

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS





DEPOSITION AND TRIAL



(800) 528-3335 NAEGELIUSA.COM

TRIBAL CONSULTATION

HELD ON

MONDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2017 9:13 A.M.

HELD AT

WISCONSIN CENTER

400 WEST WISCONSIN AVENUE

MILWUAKEE, WISCONSIN 52303

1 TRIBAL CONSULTATION 2 HELD ON 3 MONDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2017 9:13 A.M. 4 5 MS. APPEL: 6 Good morning, everyone. 7 Welcome to the Department of the Interior session. Our acting assistant secretary, John Tahsuda, is 9 running a little late, but he will be here. 10 wanted to get started in the meantime, since we're 11 cramming quite a bit into a three-hour slot. 12 So our plan today is to have a joint 13 session. The first hour or so, depending on how it goes, will be a formal consultation on the Indian 14 15 trader -- Indian trade and commerce topic. And then 16 around ten a.m. we'll move on to the listening 17 session on the consultation draft for FETA trust 18 regulation revisions. 19 So my name is Liz Appel, I'm with the 20 Office of the Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative 21 Action and the Office of the Assistant Secretary For 22 Indian Affairs. And with me is Tana Fitzpatrick, 23 who is a counselor to the assistant secretary for 24 Indian Affairs. We have a court reporter today

transcribing everything, so when you provide your

25

input, please state your name and affiliation so that she can record that in the record.

And we also have a sign-in sheet going around, so please sign in. We have a block for whether you're here for the Indian trader topic or the FETA trust topic or both, so please check those as appropriate. And then we also have some handouts for you, the Dear Tribal Leader letters on each of the topics, and then some additional background information.

So we have with us the presentation that Dr. Gavin Clarkson, our deputy assistant secretary for policy and economic development, has been providing at each of the consultations, so I will run through that in his stead, but I wanted to give you some background first.

The current licensed Indian trader regulations are at 25 CFR 140, and they were promulgated back in 1957 and have not been substantively updated since then. In December last year, the Department had issued an advance notice of proposed rule making, and we received over 60 comments from tribes. Mostly the comments we heard that the regulations do need to be updated and that the updates should include clarifications on the

regulatory jurisdiction and tax jurisdiction on Indian land.

And then on July 28th, the Department issued a new Dear Tribal Leader letter announcing additional consultation sessions. So we've had another round of consultation sessions, and that is what this is part of. And so overall we're looking at modernizing the Indian trader regulations to be consistent with the federal policies of tribal self-determination and self-government. So we'll run through the slides and then we'll open it up for discussion and see where we go.

So themes from this new -- new administration, this effort to take another look at the Indian trader regulations, started in the last administration, but fits with the themes of the new administration for sovereignty. You may have heard Secretary Zinke saying tribal sovereignty has to mean something, tribal self-determination and self-governance and respect for tribes and their economic freedom and empowering tribes.

And another key theme of this -- of this administration is reducing regulatory burden. So Secretary Zinke's quote, "sovereignty has to mean something," there is basically a zone of

sovereignty, and within that zone of sovereignty are tribes, and there's a spectrum, with direct service tribes on one and -- and then fully empowered tribes at the other end. But regardless of what the tribe's relationship is with the federal government, the federal government has a role in protecting tribal sovereignty.

So how can we best help tribes develop self-sustaining economies and promote jobs while prosperity and independence. And a big key is that when Indian country prospers, then the surrounding communities also prosper. So a rising tide lifts all boats. And with this administration, energy development is a key piece of looking at that economic development.

So in looking at the Indian trader regs, we're also looking at how we can best empower tribal leaders and best return economic sovereignty to tribes. What is the best way to invest in tribal members and native people, not just through college education but also skilled trades. And again, just as when state and surrounding economies are better, when Indian country economies are better, they're also better when Indian country's work force is better educated and better trained.

So a long-term strategy for promoting tribal economic growth and tribal self-government simultaneously is what we're looking toward.

So as I mentioned, we had issued an advance notice of proposed rule making, and we received feedback that the regulations do need to be updated. And the basis for the regulations, the old Indian trader statutes, while they're outdated, they also reflect a legislative intent from that time that tribes do have their own jurisdictions that is exclusive of states.

And then through time, federal common law cases had breached that idea of the exclusivity, and federal and state regulations then compounded the problem. And through the ANPR comments, Indian country had suggested that tribes be -- it be clarified that tribes have the exclusive ability to tax and regulate trade and commerce that occurs on Indian land, trust and restricted fee land.

And tribes were also interested in allowing for a mechanism where they could opt out of federal regulatory oversight of actions on their land so that economic development could be better promoted, and that the current taxation system that depends on, in certain cases, whether you're native,

nonnative, tribal member or not, that that be replaced with a jurisdiction-based system just like states.

So what would the sovereignty zone look
like under the Indian country's proposed
regulations. And the idea is that whether you're a
direct service tribe or otherwise, that the
regulations would help you to promote your economic
development.

So we can thank Dr. Clarkson for the animations. So that zone of sovereignty, the federal regulations and the state attempts at taxation, which is bounced off the zone of sovereignty. So with our Dear Tribal Leader letter, we had a number of questions in there and we asked for tribes to provide any information they could, whether anecdotal or, you know, as specific as you're comfortable submitting, about projects that are not happening on your land that would happen if there were more clarity with regard to the taxation and regulatory jurisdictions.

So what capital expenditures, annual revenues, jobs, any of that information is helpful to us in -- in determining how the Indian trader regulations should be updated and making that

1 economic case for updating the Indian trader regs. 2 So with that, I will open it up for your 3 And if you would like to come up and speak into the microphone here, you're welcome to, 5 otherwise I'm happy to hand you the microphone. Do 6 we have any comments? 7 MR. PAYMENT: You can give him the mic. I 8 don't need a mic. 9 MS. APPEL: Would you please state your 10 name and affiliation? 11 MR. PAYMENT: Oh. My name is chairperson 12 Aaron Payment with the Sault Ste. Marie tribe of 13 Chippewa Indians. So I appreciate the messaging of 14 this presentation, so I'm going to focus on that a 15 little bit more than on the substance, or partially 16 on the substance. So it's good messaging. I 17 appreciate the respecting tribal sovereignty, our 18 sovereignty as opposed to subordinating ourself to 19 states. 20 And also I was just asking, this has been in the pipeline for a little bit under the previous 21 22 administration, and the current administration 23 picked it up and they're going to get a victory out 24 of this because I think it's something that we're

looking forward to. And I asked about the

25

timeframe. So originally there was some consultations in December of last year, then there was some consultation for a couple of months this summer, then there's consultation again right now, and so that is the way it's supposed to be. And I appreciate that.

And I'm hoping I'm going to carry that message to the next session, 'cause it looks like we have all of three sessions through all of Indian country on optimization. So this seems like the right approach, and I'm hoping we have similar to the next approach. But certainly the dual taxation represents a threat to Indian country. So getting that finally clarified, we'd appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. FRANKLIN: Good morning. Thank you.

My name is Gus Franklin, chairman for the Forest

County Potawatomi Indians. And I missed your

earlier part of your introduction presentation. But

one of the things that the Forest County Potawatomi

movement developed a development corporation. One

of the issues that we had with the state of

Wisconsin is the state of Wisconsin has its

processes in which entities would feel if we wanted

to, I can't think of the name and the word for it,

but almost like charter, incorporate some businesses.

And the state of Wisconsin is a nonincorporating state, and the governments don't get involved in stuff like that. Wisconsin has a cumbersome process that everybody has to follow the state of Wisconsin. And I'm wondering why is the tribe subject to the same regulations that the state lays out for its citizens? If we're truly a sovereign entity, how come we can't develop our own standards in which we can do businesses that don't have to be chartered or whatever. So that's my question to you. Thank you.

MS. APPEL: Thank you. I think that's a very good question, and I think that is something that we should be looking at and how we can address situations like that and make it clear that's one of the things that we're focusing on is making it clear that tribe's tribal land is their jurisdiction, that that is not within the state's jurisdiction so that you should be having that trouble with your economic development. Do we have other --

MR. ALLEN: So my name's Ron Allen. I'm the chairman for the Jamestown S'Klallam tribe in Washington state, and treasurer for NCAI. I think

this is a good initiative with regard to updating
the trader act, Indian Trader Act, and clarifying
the tribe's jurisdiction. I guess a couple of
things. I mean, I agree with the points that Gavin
had put in his presentation and the points that
Aaron was making with regard to recognizing, not
empowering but recognizing the tribe's authority in
jurisdiction.

So I think it's important for you to clarify how you — how you word the actual regulations themselves so that it's clear that you're recognizing the tribe's jurisdiction based on — you know, maybe law that has already passed or what the intent of the Indian regulatory — excuse me — the gaming trader act intended.

And my remark. We were discussing this with the Obama administration; it was an issue of what was the intent of the act and -- originally, and then the intent when they updated in '57. So, you know, just like our treaties, you know, what was the intent of the treaties, you know, back in the 1800s.

So the issue to me is that when you do it, make sure that it's unequivocally clear that you're recognizing the sovereignty of the tribe, the

jurisdiction of the tribe with regard to any economic activity, any activity, period, that goes on on Indian reservation, so that whatever we do regarding the tax issue, eliminate the dual taxation agenda, eliminate the notion of either local governments or state governments, etcetera, wanting to impose their taxing structure into the activity involved in the Indian reservation, that would be helpful for us. It would help reestablish a tax revenue

Dase for us, and it also would provide an opportunity for us to solicit and secure outside investors to come in. If we propose for a corporation to come in and do business on our reservation, then we want to be able to give them a tax base. That's why they would want to do business on our reservation as opposed to the local government.

about it. I think you need to move on this thing as fast as possible. Listen to Indian country's recommendations. Our attorneys have, you know, done various -- and set recommendations, and I think that they're all of great value. But while my -- there's no perfect one, but it's gotta be a whole lot better

than what it currently is in eliminating a lot of problems that we have in terms of getting investment and getting entrepreneurs to invest in our respected communities to create jobs and create new tax revenue opportunities for our tribes. That is a big deal to us.

So I think we need to move, move forward,

move quickly, listen closely to the tribes and, again, National Congress of Gaming's recommendation in order to get this thing moved forward as quickly as possible. It's going to be helpful for us with regard to our self-reliance. Thanks.

MS. APPEL: We have John Tahsuda in the house. So we'll give him a minute to get settled.

And in the meantime, do you want to go ahead?

MR. HARVIER: So are we letting him get settled before we ask a question or -- good morning. My name is Martin Harvier. I'm the vice president for the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian community. First of all, I'd like to thank staff for putting this together. You know, I attended the consultation that the doctor had in Albuquerque and really appreciate his efforts in the Indian Traders Act in bringing that forward.

One of the issues that we're facing in our

community, again, it was brought up earlier about
outside investors, outside businesses wanting to do
business in Indian community. In our community we
have an economic area we call our corridor. Our
treasury department said last year about \$27 million
left our community in taxes. One of the issues that
we're facing now, we recently wrote a letter to Mr.
Black, the director of Indian affairs, of a concern
that we have.

We have 70 acres of tribal land, not allotted land, tribal land that we've developed an auto mall. And we've recently, in October, I believe, got the master lease approved by the Bureau. With the auto mall, we have subleases within the master lease. And the concern that we have is that we haven't, to this date, got those subleases approved because of information that's being requested in those subleases from the dealers that are coming into our community.

We're in direct competition now with the surrounding dealerships, auto dealerships, in our area. Information that's being requested from these dealers as far as financial information is not requested on the outside. And these dealers are not wanting to give this information up. We have about

four other spots that we need to get under lease here, with hopefully other dealers that will be coming into the area. But with this information that's being requested, they're not going to come.

And I really kind of feel that that's putting a hamper on us as a tribe in trying to continue economic development in this area. We have two major freeways that go through our community, the 101 and the 202. First responders, as far as emergency, they come from our community. Taxes that go off our community could be used in those areas because we're shorthanded.

So in bringing this issue up, it's very important as far as trying to retain tax dollars in our community. But limiting us as far as wanting information from subleases in our community and, again, hampering, or I guess putting roadblocks up, it's something that I would hope that this is looked into.

We've sent a letter in, back in October, to Mr. Black. And so I really, from the Salt River Indian community, I would hope that you would take a look at that and hopefully get that approved so that we can move forward. Thank you.

MR. TAHSUDA: Hello. My apologies for



being late. My name's John Tahsuda. I'm sure a lot of you know me; I see a lot of familiar faces around the room. Thank you, guys, for coming here, and thank you for your patience with me.

And I would have been here just about on time, but there was a little bit of an accident on the freeway. You know how that goes. So -- but anyways, I don't want to really interrupt anything, I just want to say thank you guys for being here, thank you for your input. And I think -- sounds like you guys have been able to get through the beginning part of our slides and this stuff, and so we'll continue.

But I think we did want to have an invocation as well. And Mr. Payment, would you mind getting -- we're a little backward here, but if you can get us going with the invocation, we'd appreciate that.

(Invocation.)

MR. PAYMENT: So I just gave thanks in our Ojibwe, Odobwa (phonetic), Potawatomi base, gave thanks to each of our directions, and also an ancient prayer. And those who've attended NCAI have heard this one before, the wind damin daminda (phonetic) is are you my relative. And that is a

commitment to each other and our responsibility to 1 2 each other. And I share that today because as we go 3 through these listening sessions, that we remember all of our Indian people, that the staff remember 5 their sacred responsibility to all of our Indian people, and that they hear us. 7 And also we had a little bit of a session already, and I liked what I heard with respect to honoring, 9 listening and consultation and the deliberative process, careful and deliberative process so far 11 with the Indian trader regulations. And I'm hoping 12 that there's not a difference with the off-13 reservation land and trust issues, that we will see 14 that right away if it is different. That we respect 15 And with that, I pray to empower the staff 16 that have the ongoing responsibility to represent 17 Indian country and hear what Indian country has to 18 So meegwich (phonetic.) 19 MR. TAHSUDA: Thank you, Chair. All 20 There was more people up at the bat. Thanks. 21 MS. MCCOVEY: Coo ee en qui (phonetic.) 22 I'm Lana McCovey; I'm from the Yurok tribe. I am a 23 council member. So the Yurok tribe supports the 24 fresh look at the regulations with emphasis on 25 tribal self-determination. The regulations should

recognize the tribe's authority to determine how and 1 why it does business with traders on its own lands. 2 3 Tribal law should regulate businesses on tribal land. 4 5 There should be a basic presumption of tribal jurisdiction for these -- those businesses 7 electing to operate on the Yurok reservation. Yurok 8 struggles with economic development on our 9 reservation. On portions of our reservations, the 10 unemployment rates are higher than 80 percent. 11 Economic sovereignty is essential to the Yurok 12 tribe's ability to be self-sufficient. 13 The Yurok tribe strongly encourages the 14 DOI to pursue revisions to the Indian trader 15 regulations that would have an effect on preempting state and local taxes on tribal lands. 16 17 At Yurok, clarity on this issue would 18 prompt the development of Yurok chartered 19 construction companies for natural resource 20 projects. Currently we're doing river restoration, 21 and it's a major endeavor at this time. And it 22 would greatly help us with this particular project. 23 Preemption of state taxation is vital to 24 the continued growth of the Yurok tribe. The Yurok

tribe provides many governmental services to the

25

reservation community, including water systems, social services, roads, transportation, fishery management, tribal police, tribal court, and much more. In order to continue providing these services, we must have the ability to collect excise taxes and personal property taxes.

Like many native communities, we're rural and remote, and it's hard to get these services and have the ability to get these services without taxation. Because the -- the government that is supposed to provide that, doesn't. So thank you.

MS. ONNEN: Good morning. My name is
Liana Onnen. I am the chairwoman of the Prairie
Potawatomi nation out of Kansas. And I just want to
share a little bit of our story in Kansas. There's
only four tribes in Kansas, so we're a relatively
small population, isolated to the northeast area
geographically. We have been proven to be an
economic driver in our community. We fund our own
programs through our casinos. We have seen
increased competition from state gaming in our
state, which puts us in a position where we need to
raise other revenues.

We were able to, a few years ago, negotiate a tobacco tax on that with our state. The

reason we were able to do that is because they had signed off on that master settlement agreement and gotten themselves in a bind, so they needed us to be able to comply with that. That's what brought them to the table. Since then, my tribe has gone back to them to say can we talk about sales tax.

Now, for those that may not know, in the state of Kansas, they're in a financial crisis right now. And so getting them to have a conversation with us about letting us have some exclusive sales taxing authority, that's probably not going to happen in my state. It's not going to happen unless there's something of a push or something that gives them a little nudge that says you need to sit down and have a conversation with tribes about this issue.

And I gotta echo Ron Allen's sentiments, and let's move this forward. Let's start having this conversation. Let's let states know that we're serious that we need to have jurisdiction. We need to have these monies and these revenues for all the reasons that everybody else has outlined.

But my point being today is we're asking you to move forward with this so that people know that we're very serious and that you're serious as

well. If the states don't believe that, they're not going to sit down -- some of them are not going to sit down with tribes and do this, because why should they? What's the motivating factor for them? So that's my comment for today.

MR. CARL: Kickee (phonetic) Carl, United South and Eastern tribes. Just kind of carrying forward pursuant to the conversation that we had. As you said last week, we have a resolution in support of Indian trader. But I want to make sure that we're clear on the extent to which these regulatory changes would have an impact on state taxation.

So the point that I want to make is that yes, state taxation, dual taxation, that issue gets in the way of Indian country being able to get to the type of economic development that we're talking about. But the Indian trader regulations, you know, the Indian Trader Act is between a non-Indian and an Indian on Indian lands. And what's being suggested here extends beyond that.

So the question that I have is, and I know you are representing the Department right now, but on the solicitor side that we talked about last week, this is ripe for legal challenges if this goes beyond that. And while this would be good in terms

of a win in the tax arena overall, it does not fix the issue of state taxation and dual taxation overall. Congress made that very clear in Cott Petroleum, that Congress needs to take the step to preempt state taxes in Indian country.

So while a regulatory fix is good, a statutory fix is better. And what we need to hear from the Department is while there is support for this regulatory fix, the bigger issue is a statutory fix to reinforce Cott Petroleum bracker. And we need to know that the Department's willing to stand strong in that arena as well. Because as you know, the second that states start fearing that taxes are coming off their rolls, there's going to be a large push to fight on their behalf to protect that.

So I'm interested, if you're able to share from the soliciting perspective, and working as your partner down the hall, where they stand on the legal challenges that this is ripe for, as well as where the Department stands overall in supporting Indian country in a broader, more solid statutory fix.

MS. APPEL: Anybody else in response to that comment? I know that the Office of the Solicitors have been looking into this issue very in depth, so it's definitely under review.

MR. CRAWFORD: Jeff Crawford, attorney general for the Forest County Potatotami community. I know there's a lot of focus on the state taxation, but our experience in working on economic development is that we oftentimes have problems with the federal government, in particular the IRS, especially with the last administration. And so when we're trying to do a partnership or a joint venture with a non-tribal entity, you know, inevitably we run up against an IRS regulation or interpretation.

Similarly, when there are economic development initiatives by the federal government, through the DOE or BIA or other agencies, when you are trying to partner up with a non-tribal entity, you run smack dab into the inconsistencies of those agencies and their rules and interpretations, and what DOE is trying to accomplish.

MR. ALLEN: I want to add to my -- Ron
Allen, Jamestown S'Klallam tribe again. One of
the things, when you promulgate these regulations,
they're not -- the local governments and the state
governments are going to object. And they're going
to object based on the notion that you're taking
away their taxing authority in order to provide

essential services to Indian communities: roads, 1 public safety issues, fire protection, etcetera. 2 3 Bottom line is, 99 percent of those services don't get to our reservations. So do not 5 give them credit for that as if that they are actually providing services to our communities, 7 'cause they don't. We don't get those services. 8 Proportionally, whether it's human 9 services, infrastructure services, public safety 10 services, etcetera, we don't get those kind of 11 services. So all they're trying to do is reach into our reservations and our economies and extract from 12 13 us the economy and the tax base that should be ours, 14 not theirs. So you have to fight for us. You have 15 to fight for our authority, that tax base, so it's 16 not dual taxation. 'Cause, quite frankly, we can't 17 have dual taxation. 18 If we tax again, then it's a distraction -- or not a distraction, but it's a deterrent from 19 20 economic activity on our reservation. And, quite 21 frankly, that's the wrong thing to do. 22 Don't listen to them. Listen to them, say got your point, I'll take it under due 23 24 consideration. And that's the end of the day. There's another issue that would be raised as well, 25

1 you know, and it could be relative to the activity that is going on in our reservation. They may try to say it's in conflict with what's going on in that 3 respecting area. That's a zoning issue, okay? 5 So we govern our own areas of what kind of activities will take place on our reservation. 7 same kind of conflict, existing counties and in states, one state or one county will have some 9 activity on the edge of the border of their 10 jurisdiction, and then the other one will have a 11 difference of opinion about what should happen. 12 That is common inter-governmental conflict that go 13 on. And, quite frankly, we're the ones that --14 15 have to take into consideration what kind of 16 activity should be appropriate in our jurisdiction. 17 We have to find the right places that work. 18 Location is a big deal for us too, quite frankly. 19 So I'm definitely concerned about it. And it's 20 gotta be unequivocally clear this regulation is just 21 one of our governmental functions, just one of them. 22 And it's intended to enhance our economy so that we 23 can provide better services. 24 So one last point. There's \$20 billion

out there in the federal government that serves

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

25

Indian country. Our need is north of 200 billion. So you'll never get there. We have to provide that resource. You need to recognize our authority and -- so we can get the job done. Thanks. MR. BROWNEAGLE: Good morning. Browneagle of Spokane tribe, vice chair. You know, it's nice that we're talking about the state governments and the federal governments, 'cause I know it all ties in. However, we work with banks. We work with funding sources; we work with big businesses. And anytime the Spokane tribe tries to get across that, you know, you need to sign our tribal agreement as a sovereign nation, they say no, you're going to have to sign ours or we're not going to loan you the money. And so that all ties in. And so I believe we really have to look at these corporations and banks, because they're not following what the state 19 and Feds are trying to follow. So that's -- that's a headache. Thank you. MR. MICKLIN: Hi. Will Micklin. 22 speaking for Ewiiaapaayp and Kumeyaay Indians. So 23 I'd like to give you a specific example. There's a 24 wind energy conversion project in East Sacramento

county. It's a 202 megawatt project. 51 megawatts

of that would be -- is intended to be the second phase of the project on the southeast ridge line of the reservation.

So we performed a financial calculation, we took a net present value for the ten-year revenues for the project based on the participatory rent, the sales tax, and the possessory interest tax that the county assesses on improvements in which they believe they have jurisdiction on the improvements held -- owned by the non-tribal entity which is the developer to the project.

So this is very similar to the Kumeyaay wind project that's just southeast of us on the Campo band of Kumeyaay Indians, where the county assesses the potential use tax and the sales tax on those improvements, which is the 25-megawatt wind project that was begun in 2007.

So Campo, by the way, is the largest taxpayer in East county. And the -- so we looked at where those revenues go. So all of the revenues, the tax revenues from the county assessed and collected by the county go into Sacramento, the state capital, and then back down to the county. About 20 percent of those revenues flow back to the county. Zero goes back to the Campo reservation.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's what we would anticipate to receive for our portion of the reservation for the wind project. If the taxes were assessed by the county, we would get back zero.

So of those revenues over the ten-year period on the net present value, it breaks down to 30 percent is sales tax, 35 percent is participatory rent revenues, and 35 percent is the possessory interest tax revenues.

So the tribe would only stand to receive the participatory rent and forego the 65 percent of the potential revenues that are generated by that project. If dual taxation persists and the county has promised to litigate the issue, they believe they have full rights to that revenue stream. the example is good for anyone that has a federal business lease under part 162, that today, if there are tax revenues that flow off the reservation because of sales tax or possessory interest tax, there's an opportunity by affirming the meaning and the intent and the impact of -- and the reach of these trader regulations to stop that flow and to keep it on the reservations. Those would be immediately available to any tribe that's similarly situated with a federal business lease that has

those revenues today flowing off the reservation.

We are concerned by the comments to -- the prior comments to the Trader Act, some submitted by such as the Convenience Store Association, where they were requesting that there be additional language with additional requirements, additional restrictions and additional interest balance for non-tribal interest who they believe are impacted competitively by the assertion of jurisdiction, tax jurisdiction by tribes.

We don't believe that should be the position of the administration, that they should defend tribals -- tribal's undisputed jurisdiction on tax and affirmed by the federal supremacy principle that is the basis for the Indian Trader Act. It's incredibly important those revenues are available today. If that were therefore affirmed, I'm sure tribes would still be litigated, but that litigation has gone against us in the past for any number of reasons in the narrowing of the bracket tests and the Montana tests.

This is an opportunity to bring revenues to states that exist today and could be increased as tribes utilize this. But without calling upon the federal treasury and further appropriations which we

all know are -- is very unlikely. We're in fact defending large decreases to our annual appropriations. So this is a great opportunity, and I really encourage the department to take advantage of it. Thank you.

MR. SNOW: Thank you for this listening session. Probably the first question -- my name is Jim Snow from Winnebago tribe of Nebraska. And the first question on everybody's mind is, what's the rush? You know, after 200 years, you know, all of a sudden this thing wants to be rushed through. And then, you know, the Winnebago tribe has a long relationship with the United States government through our treaties, you know, and those things should be recognized and honored, and our land base, and what we have and what we had when we were removed in the 1830s, you know.

We still have our oral traditions, where we came from, the stories and our relatives that have gone on before us and all the things that they -- they suffered, they endured like that, you know. And then our land. And they tell us about this land here, you know, this -- this Turtle Island, you know. And then the Indian people, you know, we are the poorest of the poor. But still we believe in

the creator.

And one of the things that he told us is take care of this land, take care of the water, take care of the animals and be good to one another. You know, and we take that to heart, and we pray about that every day. And when we -- when we meet with you, and you try to rush things through, you know, kind of like a used car salesman, you know, we're kind of apprehensive and -- with that, you know.

'Cause I talked to them about the air, you know our air, the air that we breathe, but also the airspace, you know, that's taxable nowadays. So we just have these questions. You might want to look at it, and then really would appreciate it a little more time.

Aho.

MR. CLADOOSBY: Thank you. Brian

Cladoosby, B-R-I-A-N, C-L-A-D-O-O-S-B-Y, vice

chairman of the Swinomish tribe, S-W-I-N-O-M-I-S-H,

also president of the National Congress of American

Indians. Thank you, Mr. Tahsuda, for being here,

you and your team. First question I gotta ask you

is, are you my trustee?

MR. TAHSUDA: Yes.

MR. CLADOOSBY: Are you my trustee?

MR. TAHSUDA: Yes.

MR. CLADOOSBY: Are you? Are you my 1 Thank you. Very important. Very 2 3 important. And it's a relationship you and I did not ask for. It's one that we inherited. And I 5 hope you take it, that responsibility, very serious in watching our back, looking out for our best 7 interests. And, you know, NCAI has been advocating for the last three or four years with the Obama 9 administration, and now with the Trump 10 administration, to seriously look at these very, 11 very archaic rules and regulations. 12 And it's interesting on the slide show --13 and Lance and I had a little chuckle about this --14 where it said founding fathers. That goes back a 15 long ways. That goes back. A lot of things have 16 changed since -- since the founding fathers came up 17 with these rules and regulations. And I love 18 speaking to the choir. I love that you come from 19 Indian country. I love that you know our needs, and I love that you know that we have unmet needs 20 21 throughout Indian country. 22 I'm thankful that you know that some 23 tribes only are able to produce \$3,000 of income a 24 year on their reservations because there was no Marshall Plan for that tribe. Zero Marshall Plan. 25

So right now they're sitting at 80, 90 percent 1 unemployment, 80, 90 percent alcoholism and drug 2 3 abuse. They have unmet needs in their communities. They do not have roads; they do not have sewer. 5 They do not have telecommunications; they do not have schools. They do not have jails. They do not 7 have adequate places, elder centers. There's unmet 8 needs out there. And it is third world conditions. 9 And once again, I'm speaking to the choir. 10 You guys know the tribes that I'm referring to out 11 There's unmet needs; there's unfunded 12 mandates. When I think of -- is anybody from Twiope 13 (phonetic) in here? Yes, I have one. Anybody from 14 Three Affiliated? Thank you. Those are my poster 15 childs for the need to deal with dual taxation in 16 Indian country. Because when the Three Affiliated 17 tribe is losing \$1 billion to their state, and their 18 state is returning peanuts, if anything -- are you 19 getting anything back on that one billion? 20 You can't even point to, like, a program 21 where the state -- that's not the way our tax system 22 is supposed to work. When you pay taxes into a 23 government, you should at least expect something in 24 return in the form of services.

Do you think the Three Affiliated tribes

25

would just love to invest \$1 billion right now into
their infrastructure, into their people, into their
health care, into maybe the unmet needs they have?

Or what about Twilope? Almost \$50 million in taxes.

How many have been to Two-ay-la (phonetic)? It's
beautiful. They've created a model economic
development program for Indian country, and it's
working.

Unfortunately, the cities, the counties, the states, hardly did anything to help create that economic engine that creates thousands of jobs. And how much money is the state returning in that \$50 million? That's a good question. That's in a lawsuit right now. And we're willing the courts, and the DOJ is fighting on behalf of the Two-ay-la tribes in that lawsuit. So Lord willing we'll have a good decision coming out of there to help deal with the tax issue in Indian country.

And I don't need to remind you how, you know, we've never ever had a tax base. How many of you remember Slade Gordon? In 1999, at a hearing in Seattle, Ron was probably there, some of us others, and he challenged us at that hearing; he says, create a tax base for your people. Well, that's easier said than done.

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

But in closing, like I said, NCAI, John, his team, our litigation subcommittee, has been passing resolutions the last couple years to encourage the Obama administration, now the Trump administration. So, you know, this isn't being rushed through. We've been working on this, just to correct the other gentleman back there. You know, it's been worked on the last two or three years. And so hopefully something positive for Indian country will come out of this. And Lord willing, you as my trustee, will have our back. Thank you. MR. CARR: Kiki Carr, the United Southeastern Tribes. On a slide earlier in the presentation I've heard this comment made several times during these presentations about sovereignty having to mean something. And we agree with that. But along with that there should be a slide on fulfilling trust and treaty obligations should mean something as well. And I know that this is meant to be a tax conversation, but it's -- the broader issue is economic development. And I just want to put this in context for 23 a moment. This comes from data that's provided by the federal government. If you look at the value of 25 federal land holdings, not including Indian country,

and the value of the natural resources coming off those federal land holdings, that value, compared to, I'm going to use nine million, Ron, versus that 20 million O&B figure.

The funding that goes to any Indian country on an annual basis represents about one-tenth of one percent of that value. So we sit here and we have these conversations. But when you put it into context, we're talking about marbles here; nuggets, in terms of small pieces of the overall pie. So one-tenth of one percent is not a big hurdle.

The request that I would ask to the administration is that when you're talking about economic development, that you're doing so comprehensively. So it's one thing to talk about the Indian trade regs, and making amendments to those regulations, but it's another thing, that on the other hand, where we have proposed budget administration zeroing out the CDI funds.

So the comment that was made in the back about having commercial entities, well, CDI was meant to be a remedy to that, at least partially. So when you zero out the CDI, which is lending capital to Indian country, especially to tribes that

sometimes have challenges getting access to that capital, and you zero that out, you're not dealing with economic developments in a broad and comprehensive manner.

I know with them going to the land conservation, of course land is central to this conversation as well. So that we're hopeful that when we -- to have a real conversation about all these issues, we need to do so comprehensively.

And my final comment is we just seem to have a tendency to make what should be fairly simple, oftentimes complex. So if we want to talk about -- President Cladoosby just made a comment about the Marshall Plan, and we're making that pitch on the infrastructure side to make sure that there is an Indian set aside piece, an investment into domestic nations in the same way that this country invested into Europe post-World War II.

But the way that Indian country is going to get to what we talked about with these issues in terms of strong, vibrant tribal nation economies, is, one, the federal government fulfilling its trust and treaty obligations, and funding Indian country in the manner that it's supposed to. And that goes back to my one-tenth of one percent comment.

Assisting us as a trustee, when states are imposing in our jurisdiction, and reaching in in a way that they should not be, that that comment was -- is exactly correct. Most oftentimes those monies that come out of our communities are not benefitting our citizens.

Judicial protection of our sovereignty authorities, we always have the administrative and congressional side of this conversation. We need to be having the judicial side of this conversation, making sure that those justices understand what tribal sovereignty means and what it means to protect and uphold that. If we're going to have conversations about the constitution, let's have real conversations about what that means to us. Then, of course, the unnecessary burdens and red tape and regulations that get in the way.

So when you break this down, it's not rocket science, it's not complex, it's just a matter of holding people accountable and making sure that people are doing what they're supposed to do to get to all these talking points that we oftentimes put up on PowerPoint slides and talk about. But we gotta find a way to get there. 'Cause at the end of the day, people are hurting, people are suffering

because these challenges that we have not been able to resolve. So it's about time we figure out how to get these resolved. Thank you.

MS. APPEL: Well, if we don't have any more comments on the Indian trader -- we have one more comment and then we'll move to the FETA trust listening session.

MR. BROWNEAGLE: Dave Browneagle, Spokane tribe vice chair, still. Not the vice chair, but Dave Browneagle still. Well, I'm a retired social studies teacher by trade, so I'm going to bring up some social stuff. And I think for me it ties into what we've talking about. How many of you are in your 60s and 50s and 40s? How many of you remember, you had to know your place? If you spoke up in class, either high school, junior high, grade school or college, and you questioned the authority, you were forgetting your place.

How many of you remember going into restaurants and not being served? And I remember this when I was a little boy with my parents, and not being served. And my mother would sit there for an hour or more just to prove a point. And as soon as they were willing to serve us, after over an hour, she said thank you, but no thank you. Then she

real good teachers in my life. So I think for a lot of what's happening in Indian country -- and again, this is just my own opinion -- is we're becoming economically self-sufficient.

We can go into the towns and buy goods, buy a brand-new car. Again, I'm going to ask you how many of you ever went into a store and they wouldn't wait on you because they figured you couldn't afford it? Or you go into a car lot and they show you the broken-down cars; they weren't going to show you the new car. So I believe what we're facing from the state, and perhaps the federal government, except with our trustees up there, is we have the old way of thinking.

Because right now I really got a feeling there's fear on the other side. Because we got money. We're becoming self-sufficient. We can buy a brand-new car. And what I'm also saying is, you know, we still need a lot of help with our communities, our tribes, because the federal government isn't holding up to its trust responsibility.

How many people do we have on a reservation who are really hurting healthwise? And

we don't have the funds. Then we have to deal with the state and the county. And that's why I was saying what I said earlier. How do we legislate that, treating human beings as equal partners in the community.

And as I said, I'm a retired educator, so
I've always worked. And even to this day, in the
city of Spokane I can go into a store and be treated
like I don't have the money to be treated with
respect. 'Cause I saw that on the overhead. I have
my self-respect, and I believe everybody in here has
the same thing. That's why we're here.

So, you know, we can legislate like crazy, but until we can find the human beings that are going to adhere to their own moral compass, it's going to be a battle. But I'm willing to be with the rest of you. Let's keep fighting. Because, all those antiquated laws were put into place to hold us in our place. For any history teachers there, go back and check that out. It's to hold us in our place.

We're being tired -- we're tired of being held in our place by some antiquated laws and regulations and federal guidelines. Because I believe we're all sitting in here because we have

1 that self-respect for ourselves and our people. Because look how much we've gone through 2 3 historically from every tribe in this room. we're still here. And it scares them. Thank you. 5 MS. APPEL: Then we have one last comment, 6 and then we'll move on to the listening session. 7 MR. DIXON: Hello. My name's Juan Dixon. I'm a tribal -- listener council for the tribe. And 9 one of the biggest issues we've had, and I'm enjoyed 10 listening to many of the comments, and I think we 11 have some steps forward, but I agree with some of the other leaders that were talking about that one-12 13 tenth of one percent is very real. And if you don't 14 change that, it will drag us down. 15 One of the bigger issues we had, where I'm 16 from, we have citrus. Our biggest issue right now 17 is the inter -- intrastate tax issue of taking our 18 commerce, our citrus, to other parts of Indian 19 country. We have wanted to trade Indian for a long 20 time, you know, and we've come up with different 21 ideas, but we've been -- kind of like we've had our stuff confiscated. 22 23 If you think about it, our bin holds about 24 500 pounds of oranges, or avocados, or lemons, or 25 grapefruit, and it's \$1000 a bin. And you put 100

bins on a truck, that's \$10,000 or more. Up to \$100,000 you can get going on some of those trucks.

And they want to tax that as a result of going over to the highways. And we've had several governors who fought really hard, but we're saying we're trading with Indians. We're taking our stuff to the northwest, to the east, to the south, you know, to parts of Indian country.

And we're thinking there's a way in which we had, as one tribe to another, to sell our stuff to one another, or actually trade, in terms of we get stuff from them and we would bring our stuff back. And the hard part of this is that that hasn't been resolved. We're stuck. We have all this fantastic growth, and things that we are doing amongst us as tribes, and we are not cutting that road to stop that terror attacks that this should not be required.

Additionally, when you look at those issues that are coming up, we know that when we wanted to do freight by air, we thought maybe it would go by air, all of a sudden we're getting tariffed in the air. Okay, let's try water. Got tariffed on the water. So it's like, you know, there's -- that's a way to fix it. And when tribes

-- if somebody really wanted to have commerce with one another, you'd think that they would support that. But, you know, right now in the US, Florida oranges cannot be sold in Florida. They have to be sold on the west coast. West coast oranges can't be sold on the west coast, they have to be sold on the east cost.

That's what America's doing so that
they're -- it's taking so long to get to either
coast, it's good money. As you know, I can get a
whole bag of oranges for five bucks at home, or for
nothing, or I just go to the tree, hey. But you see
what I mean? There's -- it's reasonable to expect
you to effect change on something that's doable.

And I know we have all these other issues, but if we can get some of the doables done, it's a step moving forward for commerce. Same thing with broadband. They put their broadband on, they're starting to assess fees on them that never existed. So it's like Americans coming up with language and regulations so fast that they just want to get ahold of whatever tax dollar they can. But we have some serious ground level issues that -- intertribal commerce has been there for a while, and we've been hampered really badly by that. So I think in some

of our relations with another, to each other in commerce, and with you as our trustees, you have to help us cut down those walls, cut down those fences that they've put up. Thank you.

MS. APPEL: Thank you. Just as a reminder at this point, if you have any written comments, they're due October 30th to Consultation@BIA.gov.

MR. TAHSUDA: That's Liz's favorite e-mail address. I just want to close this down quick by, again, thanking all you guys for coming out and for your comments. I'm -- I will be honest with you, I'm a little bit behind on this discussion just because I haven't been involved in it from the beginning. And so I appreciate everything that you've said. Certainly you've given us -- given me some things to think about.

I'm not sure if Dr. Clarkson has probably heard some of these comments from you, but I don't want to contradict, you know, the discussions you've had with him. But I do -- I do want to say I take note, particularly of the conversation we had last week as well. I think that it would be beneficial for our whole discussion not to get caught up on one particular thing like the tax issue, but that the overall economic picture is what we want to focus

on.

And I know this discussion is really sort of focused in on a particular set of regulations, but I think that we have the opportunity in this administration to focus on economic development, to look a little broader than that as well. And I want to encourage you not -- maybe tie this in to Chairman Harvier's (phonetic) comments. There are some other things we have -- we have a lot of hiccups in our regulations in a lot of ways, but on the economic picture probably as much as anywhere else. And so some of our leasing regulations, even how we're recording leasing, leases, etcetera, I think are a hindrance to economic development.

And so I want to -- I hope that this discussion can also be sort of a springboard to take a bigger look at the overall economic development picture and what we can do to get out of the way.

That's kind of a catch word, I think, nowadays, but I really mean it. What can we do to change some of the regulations that we have and streamline them, make them work better for Indian country, and hopefully improve the economic development picture on the reservation. So I thank you guys very much.

And I guess, again, for seeing me through this.

This is the first time I'm on this side of the table.

But I think we can close this out and we'll move on to the next discussion we're going to have, which is about the -- our ideas on some changes to the land and the trust regulations.

So again, we'll close that down, we'll start a new one. Just imagine walking out of the room and came back in. And so we're going to -- here to talk a little bit about some ideas we have on some potential changes to the land and trust regulations. And really focused on the off-reservation land and trust regulations. So we have up here some talking -- or some points on what we're looking at.

And specifically two sections, 151-11, 151-12. And what we're looking to do is, to my mind, we're trying to kind of streamline the process here a little bit as well as -- as well as trying to address how these things are considered from our perspective and make sure that we're adequately complying with the law, doing the full sort of review that we are supposed to do under the law. So essentially, you know, we're looking at creating a two-phase secretary review and approval process.

And that would distinguish between offers for acquisitions for gaming, from non-gaming, and even noneconomic development acquisitions off reservation.

And the -- the additional considerations that gov -- particularly to gaming and separate statute that deals with that, those kind of things, would not hold up a partial if it was for nongame emergences from those initial reviews. And we would take a quick -- or we would do a change in what's required for the application itself, again, all with the purpose of trying to get a fuller view and be able to provide a more comprehensive consideration of the factors that we're required to consider under the law and the regulations.

And we would reinstate the 30-day delay of taking land into trust following a decision, and also to put into the regulations, maybe it seems obvious, but I guess it's -- to me it's kind of new, it's -- I guess it's not obvious that a court tells you to take the land out the trust, you have to do it, but we're going to put that into the regulation as well. That's sort of just the basic overview of what we're aiming for.

So currently -- and, you know, in our



view, a big sort of hangup in what takes so long and what's so costly in getting some of these applications done is the applicant for an off-reservation FETA trust parcel for gaming purposes has to submit all of the application information before we can even begin reviewing the process.

And I know for a lot of tribes there's a lot of back and forth about what does it mean when we have or we haven't submitted all the information that's required. And so part of our thought process is if there is basic information provided before, then we can take a look, particularly if the intent is for gaming, then we can provide sort of an advanced look for the tribe applicant at what -- what that entails.

And again, sort of a quick review of the law and whether this application would comply with that, the provisions of the law. If that is satisfied, then the application would proceed, sort of on the normal basis. But instead of going through a lot of expense with a NEVA documentation - NEBA documentation, which is very costly and very expensive and time-consuming, that would wait until after there's an actual, you know, review of whether the land would be eligible for gaming.

Also, the carscierie (phonetic) analysis; these things that take a little bit more time and are more costly for the applicant, they could get sort of a preview of whether the Department's view of the application of gaming law and our regs to that would make the land eligible for gaming if it proceeds all the way through to going into trust.

So assuming that there's a thumbs up on that, you could involve with the other parts. So right now, essentially, you have to supply the same application information. All the requirements apply to off-reservation applications regardless of the purpose of it. So in our thinking, and this is in - maybe I should go back and say you should have, with a new tribal leader, sort of just an outline of what we're thinking, a brief look.

And in there you'll note that we would apply -- or we would require four additional items in applications. Off-reservation for gaming purposes, I believe the unemployment rate on the reservation, the effect the gaming operation would have on that, what are the explicit and implicit on-reservation benefits of proposed gaming, the off-reservation gaming, evidence of the cooperative efforts that you have or have not been able to make

with the local communities, MOUs, etcetera, with the local community.

And then, finally, are there any economic benefits to the local community from the gaming project as well, sort of the outside community. And that these are to help us fill out the picture of what we have to consider. So -- okay. I'm sorry, I was reading that wrong.

they have in the regulations that they're a criteria to be met, but it doesn't really specify with any detail what you have to supply to meet that criteria. So we're just going to explicitly list what the application must include and what we will consider. And then in addition to that, we -- in the Dear Tribal Leader that hopefully you've received by now, are some questions.

So we have some ideas about what that criteria is under the law and under our regulations, but are there other criteria that -- that you think would be useful to have in that for us to consider in that as well.

And then finally the 30-day delay. Current regulations require the Bureau to immediately acquire land into trust after a decision, or the

expiration of the timely filing of a notice of appeal about deficiencies. So we reinstate the 30-day delay before acquiring the land in trust by changing the language to say no sooner than 30 days, and on the 31st day we would take the land into trust unless a court has ordered otherwise in that previous 30 days.

As I mentioned in the beginning, so currently in the regulations there's just no discussion about what happens if a court ultimately reverses our decision to take land into trust. So the regulation will just clarify that. If there's a final court order or resulting judicial remedy that requires us to take the land into the trust, our regulation will say we'll take it under trust.

So our questions to you, and hopefully we can have a good discussion about this, is under what circumstances should we approve or disapprove an off-reservation trust application for gaming. What criteria should the department -- well, I guess, let me clarify that. Maybe not just for gaming, but for all off-reservation things, and then is there -- or what special considerations should be made for gaming as you perceive it.

What criteria should we use when approving



or disapproving the off-reservation application, and should there be different criteria for processing off-reservation applications, whether it's for economic development for non -- whether it's for economic development or noneconomic development. So again -- or something like a tribal government building, tribal council, etcetera, etcetera.

So should there be a distinction between gaming

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

purposes as distinguished from other types of economic development and the considerations that go with that. And another question is what if the application involves no change in use for the land, really. Should pending applications be subject to, again, if we move forward with this regulation, here's a question: Should pending applications be subject to the new revisions if they're finalized? Should there be kind of a grandfather clause or something there? And do memorandums of understanding, and other similar cooperative agreements between tribes and local state governments help facilitate tribal relationships and off-reservation economic developments?

If they do improve the relationships, should that be reflected in the off-reservation application process. I think we kind of have a

1 thought that it does, but we -- you know, again, 2 this is part of what we'd like to hear from you. 3 So comments are due December 15th. Like the prior ones, you can e-mail Liz at 5 consultation@BIA.gov, or mail -- I won't read that out, I'll let you write that down if you want. If 7 you want to send that snail mail. So we will -- in the Dear Tribal Leader letter we have consultation 9 dates. And following on that, we'll compile the 10 comments and review them as appropriate and then 11 move forward with the -- based on those comments, 12 move forward with the standard promulgation process, 13 proposed rule and federal regulation, and have that 14 moved to a final. And that date I guess will be 15 determined at some point when we get to the close of 16 the consultation and see where we are and move 17 forward from that. 18 So that's sort of where we are right now. 19 And I think -- I mean, I'd like to just open it up. 20 Again, we want this to be a kind of a free-flowing 21 conversation with you, and hopefully we can get some 22 ideas that you can feed us as well before we start 23 into the formal consultation process. 24 Well, first of all, MR. JACKSON: I win.

I want to let you know that I'm Larry Jackson and I

25

1 am the vice chairman for the Yavapai-Apache nation located in central Arizona. And I would -- it's 2 3 cold here. I want to make that quite clear. I gotta apologize. The NCAI, because I was not 5 planning on coming this year because of course we have pressing issues, but when the Dear Tribal letter that has been referenced that came out, then 7 8 it became an issue with us. 9 We are the Yavapai and Apache. 10 historically, and I'm not going to talk very long, 11 so -- just to let other tribal leaders know that I'm 12 not going to take all the time -- we of course, like 13 all tribes, we were -- lived in a land without 14 borders. But then of course, by edict of the 15 government, we were moved into tribal lands. 16 Initially our tribal lands covered 128,000 17 acres, and we're talking the late 19th Century. And then, by the stroke of a pen, it was down to zero. 18 And we were removed from our lands that we roamed 19 20 historically. Today, we are at 1,850 acres. miles. Three square miles, and that's it. So when 21 22 we talk about taking land into trust, it becomes an 23 issue with us. 24 And so in reference to your letter -- and

by the way, I do want to thank you, Mr. Secretary,

25

and your staff, for the opportunity to bring some remarks today. In your letter it says that the proposed revisions will create a two-step review. And I say let's be honest. It is a cumbersome process, very expensive process legislatively, economically, speaking.

And so what we're talking about in reality is we're talking about doubling the burden on tribal nations in an already burdensome system. That's what we're talking about. It's not two-step, nor is it two-phase. It's about creating a burden for the tribal nations, a burden that sometimes we wait years to overcome, and now we're going to add more.

As to the questions that you had on the screen, questions one and two talks about circumstances and criteria. Where should we start? What should we consider in this new process? And I say why don't we consider the original trust responsibility from 1934, which was clearly about restoration, about healing, about providing for our tribal people. That which was taken away. That's the criteria. That's the circumstances that you need to start with.

As far as gaming and interjecting gaming into the 151 process, we are certainly aware of

that, being from Arizona and having seen the circumstances around the city of Phoenix. And we cannot help but think that this is an event that is best described as the tail wagging the dog. That meaning the states who cannot win in federal court and now want to get the Department of Interior on their side. State interests is what this is about, in reference to gaming.

Moving on in your letter, it talks about MOUs as part of suggested process. We all here know historically that states look out for their own interest. And when we open ourselves up to interjecting MOUs in the FETA trust process, we now create another shackle within the tribal nations to have to comply with, or to consider, or to interject.

And then finally in reference to the consultation dates and locations. While certainly we are appreciative of the fact that Phoenix is one of those locations, that does not work for Indian country at large. Our tribal nations are across this entire country, not just on the west coast.

So I stand up here today to speak against these proposed revisions. And I say rather, let's take a look at where we're at and pick up the mantra

1 of the current administration and look at truly reducing the process of all the burdens that we have 2 3 to go through. And I say let's truly give it an honest effort to seek tribal opinions across this 5 country so that everyone, all tribal communities, have a voice in this whole process of proposed 7 revisions. So I thank you for your time, and I 8 thank you all. 9 MR. CLADOOSBY: Brian Cladoosby, chairman

MR. CLADOOSBY: Brian Cladoosby, chairman of the Swinomish tribe, president of the National Congress of American Indians. I was happy to defer to my fellow leader in Arizona since ASUV, my beloved Huskies is -- All right, getting back to what I'm here for.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once again, thank you, Mr. Tahsuda, for being here. And I just want to refer to the former slide show that was presented by you, where themes from your boss, Secretary Zinke, outlined his goals for Indian country: one, sovereignty; two, selfdetermination; three, self-governance; four, respect; five, economic freedom and empowerment; and six, very, and probably the most important, reduce regulatory burden.

Now, the conservative mantra is less government, less government. But

what I as a tribal leader am seeing here is more hoops to jump through and more government. We are seeing more regulatory burdens through this action. And once again, I love talking to the choir to remind you that the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act was created to help reverse the decline of the economic, cultural, governmental and social well-being of Indian tribes caused by the disastrous federal policies of allotment and sale of reservation lands.

And between '87 -- 1887 and 1934, tribal governments, the tribes that are sitting right here in front of you today, each and every one of them were part of the almost 100 million acres of land, which made up nearly two-thirds of all reservation lands that was sold or stolen by and to settlers.

And so I just want to read to you what the Act says from 1934. And I quote, "The secretary of interior is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to acquire, through purchase, relinquishment, gift, exchange, or assignment, any interest in lands, water rights or surface rights to lands within or without existing reservations, including trusts, or otherwise restrict the allotments, whether the allottee be living or deceased, for the purpose of

providing land for Indians."

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 It also goes on to say -- and this year 3 was from Mr. Howard, who was one of the sponsors of the IRA act, this was at a hearing, it says, 5 "Furthermore, that part of the allotted lands which has been lost in the most valuable part of the 7 residual lands taking all Indian-owned lands into 8 consult, nearly one half, or nearly 20 million 9 acres, are desert or semi-desert lands. Through the 10 allotment system, more than 80 percent of the land 11 value belonging to all of the Indians in 1887 has 12 been taken away from them. More than 85 percent of 13 the land value of all the allotted Indians has been 14 taken away.

And each tribal leader can stand up here and tell you about those most valuable pieces of property that were lost off of their reservations.

I'd like to also point out that we believe this consultation draft improperly inserts gaming considerations into the larger FETA trust process as prohibited by the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

Very important for your solicitors at DOI to give us an analysis of their opinion on what our attorneys are saying. DOI's current land into trust regulation, 25 CFR .151, land acquisition, states,

"Land not held in trust may only be acquired for a tribe and trust status when such acquisition is authorized by an act of Congress, 25 CFR 151.3."

To this point, Section 151.11(a)(1) of the consultation draft purports to apply if the

consultation draft purports to apply if the acquisition is for gaming purposes. We assert that DOI does not have the necessary land acquisition authority under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 USC 2701, to include this provision of the consultation draft. So it will be very important for DOI to give tribal leaders their perspective on what they believe is a reach by Interior on something that is already covered by the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

The 30-day stay period is very concerning. Once again, many tribes across the nation can stand up here and tell you how local governments have delayed and destroyed economic development on and off reservation because of their ability to hold up FETA trust. I will give you an example at Swinomish. In 1972, our elders wanted to create an economic development zone, so they wanted to build a 1200-slip marina at Swinomish as their economic hub, their anchor.

And so from 1972 to 1997 we worked with

our trustees and the core of engineers and BIA and other federal agencies to get a 1200-slip marina submitted. 25 years later we got that permit to build this marina. We were going through the FETA trust process on that land. The county government, who was not friendly to the Swinomish tribes and other tribes in our area, appealed that FETA trust decision. The agency approved the FETA trust; the county appealed it. The director at the Portland office approved it; the county appealed it. DOI, the DC level, approved it; the county appealed it. It went to the IBIA. And the IBIA approved it.

It took from 1997 to 2007 to get this FETA

trust approved. Our project in 1997 was a \$30 million project. By the time that we went through the ten years of this court by the county being allowed to challenge this, our project went from 30 to \$65 million, which was not feasible at that time. And so our partners, who had been patiently waiting for us for those ten years, could not do the project 'cause we didn't have the money to do the project and we didn't have any money to finance us.

So the county -- we actually won our FETA trust, but we lost at the end, because the county was able to hold up this project for so long. Now,

we considered this a frivolous lawsuit, but we had no recourse. So once again, we feel that this 30-day window once again will allow those who are not friendly to Native Americans across the nation, we could give you story after story, will just get another opportunity at the bite at the apple. And so we ask that you seriously consider some of the suggestions that we have going forward for this, and we thank you for being here. Thank you.

MR. FRANK: Thank you, assistant secretary Tahsuda. I want to say good morning. I'm Gerald Frank, chairman of the Forest County Chippewa. And thank you for this opportunity for us to make comment on the FETA trust regulations. And this is a unique opportunity for us as Potawatomi people, since the land that you're standing on, or sitting on right now, used to be Potawatomi property.

The president said it was absconded from us, so -- years ago, years later under the authority of the Indian Reorganization Act, the secretary reacquired two parcels of land located in the city of Milwaukee. One is at the Concordia trust property, and the other one is down in the valley, as we call it. But really was an eyesore. We got that where our casino located at yet today, after

spending hundreds of millions of dollars in the area, you know, we got a renovated area, revitalized that area.

I'd like to -- the Potatotami, we have long urged the Bureau of Indian Affairs to adopt the substitute standards that would be followed in approving or rejecting off-reservation gaming applications. In fact, the Forest County Potawatomi have had -- long had their off-reservation gaming policy, since 1999. And we walked around, went around, drove around, flew around the United States asking somebody to take a serious look at adopting some of these policies that we were proposing.

I'm not belittling anybody here, but, you know, you give something to an attorney, they sure know how to make words out of something that we thought was so basic. What we thought was good policy was that you should be able to prove that it was on your historical tribe homeland. Number two, that the application wouldn't adversely affect a tribe. Number three, that it didn't look like an Indian casino, which means that you have a management company who takes the lion's portion of the funds with very little risk. The tribe is the one who bears the risk.

Well, we appreciate that the secretary's authority to re-acquire former Indian lands under the IRA is pressure. Was passed to the IRA to give the secretary the power to reacquire former tribal lands lost during the allotment era and create new reservations for landless Indians. This is now referred to as building a tribal homeland.

Many of the Indian tribes would like to repeal -- that's a dirty word today -- many forms of Indian tribes would like to repeal or severely restrict the authority of the secretary under the Indian Reorganization Act. If the secretary uses -- uses this authority for purposes not clearly supported by the historical practice of the secretary, the secretary will put at risk his duty of restoring former tribal lands and building viable homeland.

For this reason, we urge the secretary, in the development of its rules, policy and practice, to use his authority under the IRA judiciousness, so as not to put at risk this important statutory authority.

Some tribes will make a case that the IRA should be used aggressively as a tool for commercial development. Those tribes argue that the tribe's

history on the land and the distance of the land from its current homeland does not matter. We urge the secretary to reject such arguments. Those business ventures should be encouraged and supported, but not under Section 5 of the IRA.

Potawatomi urges the assistant secretary to include in its revision to part 151 substitute standing, including a requirement that the applicants can demonstrate a direct aboriginal or historical connection to the land, or for tribes whose reservation or other side of the historical area require that the land be in close proximity of the tribe's current home.

In part -- in addition, part 151 should protect the rights of nearby impacted tribes.

Impacted tribes should be included as interested parties who are allowed to formally participate in the application. Consultation has impacted parties shooting to Indian tribes and other Indian local communities. Our experience would demonstrate that the Department of Interior has a very bad track record of consulting with the surrounding communities.

Indian gaming pursuits has affected a wider area than just local government with



jurisdiction over the proposed facility site.

They're the directors, they affect the government's

obligation on the revenue side, and other

governments in the area. All impacted parties

5 should have an opportunity to consult.

Additionally, it is important that the assistant secretary adopt specific procedures to ensure an open, on-the-record process for handling applications. Part 151 should provide party status to all affected parties and require that every party provide other parties copies of all submissions and communications with the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

The written submission should be maintained on a public document available on the Internet.

Our experience has been that BIA grants the applicant ex parte status with special access to the decision maker, while at the same time making it very difficult for other tribes, state and local governments to participate in the decision here.

Applicant tribes may believe that this ex parte practice works to their advantage; however, in the long run the credibility of the process and the integrity of the decision-making will be enhanced if it is transparent and open to all affected parties.

Potatotami support the two-step process,

appropriate suggestions in the draft revisions. We have learned firsthand that requiring all parties to fund a full-scale application can be very time-consuming and very expensive. The two-step process may require additional procedural clarification, but it would benefit tribes and save valuable resources.

reinstatement of the 30-day waiting between the land into trust issued by the assistant secretary and the actual acquisition. Potawatomi, by parties, submitted comments in opposition for that change, and it was opposed because it seemed like they could create procedural problems. Unfair and prejudiced tribes and other entities wishing to challenge a FETA trust decisions, and then ultimately would undermine the integrity, the trust status of land.

The rule change adopted in claim 13 devalues the trust amendment by forcing the government to claim that the secretary can put land in trust and take it out of trust arbitrarily. We believe that trust land status should be viewed by the government with a high level of seriousness. The reinstatement of the waiting period, in our opinion, enhances the permanency of the trust standards.

We appreciate the work the assistant

1 secretary and his staff have invested in the 2 detailed proposal, analysis and explanation for the 3 draft amendments to part 151. Potawatomi will assist in this effort in any way it would be useful. 5 And with that, Secretary Tahsuda, we await you. 6 MR. GUMBS: Good afternoon, Mr. Secretary. My name is Lance Gumbs. I am a former tribal 7 chairman for the Shinnecock nation. I'm currently a tribal ambassador. And I'm also the regional vice 9 10 president for the National Congress for the 11 Northeast Region. 12 First and foremost, we have great concern 13 with the limited amount of consultations that are 14 taking place at this point. I don't know if you've 15 forgotten that there are tribes east of the 16 Mississippi or not, but I'm here to remind you that 17 there are, and that we need to have meaningful consultation within the northeast region, southeast 18 19 region, anywhere east of the Mississippi. 20 being said, we respectfully request that those 21 consultations be added to that -- to our regions. 22 I'd like to just touch a little bit on the 23 rules and regulations that you're proposing. In 24 1859, my tribe lost, or had stolen, over 3,000 acres

of land on eastern Long Island. We live in the

25

richest community probably in America, the Hamptons.

So the land base out there is limited. So when

you're talking about when and off-reservation

acquisitions in your October 4th testimony, you said

that the Department recognizes that the inequities

may be different for restored tribes and landless

tribes when it comes to off-reservation gaming and

land into trust proposals.

You then went on to say, given the unavailability of the land in close proximity to its members, the tribe, Shawnee tribe of Oklahoma, elected to explore other alternatives. You then went on to say at the end of that paragraph, while a decision on that acquisition is pending -- is pending with the Department of Interior, it is committed to reviewing all factors and seeking broad input in its decision-making.

We have a serious concern about that.

Because you talk about the, you know, inequities

that are being applied, but we're questioning, what

are they? What would be the considerations for

tribes that do not have land? What do tribes have to

go through to have their lands restored who've had

it stolen? We filed a \$10 billion land claim

against the State of New York, and they came back to

us with latches, claiming that we had never tried to go after their land.

And yet every year from 1859 to present day, we filed claims and we were defied the ability to go into court and get the court turned around and said latches is the order of the day. So for us, you know, it is a real concern. And we would like to officially oppose some of the language that you have implemented in this.

And with all due respect to the gentleman that just spoke, we oppose 95 percent of the language that he just gave. We oppose the 30-day -- reinstating the 30-day extension, we oppose any and all language that would give more power to any state, local or county government to have a say in over -- over what lands are coming into trust for our tribe or for any tribe.

I think there is absolutely an additional hindrance. In your draft here you said you're going to add four additional items for the application for trust acquisition for gaming purposes. You want to include the unemployment rate on the reservation and the affect the gaming operation would have, you want to include off-reservation benefits for proposed gaming, you want to include evidence of cooperative

efforts to mitigate impacts on local communities and 1 copies of agreements with state and local 2 3 governments. And you want us to show economic benefits, you know, for the local community. 5 My understanding, my belief, is that gaming, or whatever economic benefits, are for the 7 They're for us. They're not for the tribe. 8 surrounding communities. If we can assist the 9 surrounding communities in any way, well, that's 10 fine, that's great. But the purpose of this is not 11 for the surrounding communities. It is for the 12 Indian communities across this country who do not 13 have resources. So for you to stick that in there 14 is totally unacceptable. 15 So that being said, we will submit a 16 written -- written commentary on this with our 17 opposition to the majority of what you have proposed 18 here. And just on the record, we are absolutely 19 opposed to the whole thing. So I thank you for 20 giving me this opportunity to speak, and I'll look 21 forward to seeing where this is going to go. 22 Okay. My name is Aaron MR. PAYMENT: 23 Payment; I'm the chairperson of the Ste. Sault Tribe 24 of Chippewa Indians. As representative of the 25 secretary of the US Department of Interior, you know

almost all of our lands and resources to the federal government for the promise to continue recognizing tribal sovereignty, to recognize certain hunting and fishing rights for the tribes, and to provide for the health and general welfare of tribal people.

The United States benefits from lands and resources it gains through these treaties, and now tribal nations lack land resources, and most members of tribes don't have adequate health care, housing, educational opportunities, transportation, telecommunications, investment opportunities or employment.

In fact, we have the worst of the worst statistics on all spectrums. Highest rates of suicide, historical trauma, lowest education attainment rates. Tribal treaties have been largely forgotten by the United States. The Trump administration, however, has promised that the forgotten people living in America will be forgotten no more. We appreciate that message. We appreciate that you're here to listen. And we hope that you won't forget our message or the president's message.

We want the basic obligations of our treaties to be met. This includes the right to

acquire lands for our survival. First and foremost,
the Department's draft revisions are counterintuitive and undermine the goals of the Indian
Reorganization Act. With a membership of over
43,000 members, my tribe, we are the largest tribe
east of the Mississippi.

Despite our population, however, my tribe

Despite our population, however, my tribe has an extremely limited land base. We are reservation poor. It consists mostly of several small, isolated land parcels scattered across a seven-county area of the upper peninsula of Michigan. To the best of our ability, my tribe provides central government services to our members. Due to the noncontiguous nature of our land base, these programs and services are spread out. Despite this, my tribe operates a housing program, education program, youth services program, elder care, health care, and social services program, and law enforcement services.

The scattered nature of our lands have created a checkerboard-type scenario, with trust land abutting private and non-Indian lands. The draft regulations appear to be built on an assumption that all tribes have an adequate reservation and non-reservation, and acquisitions

are only needed for a handful of unusual cases.

These are simply not the facts.

Many tribes are like my own and have only scattered trust parcels and only small, diminished reservations that are insufficient as viable land for their people. Some have no reservation lands at all. Most tribes' off-reservation acquisitions are vital, since on-reservation acquisitions are not an option. For example, as a land poor tribe, per population, my tribe has to purchase land, we try to place any newly-acquired land, off-reservation lands, into trust so that we can use it for various travel purposes.

A hundred percent of our revenues go for membership services. We need off-reservation land parcels that provide treaty fishing access sites.

Several of these sites are already in trust; however, we currently have an application pending for another. Such access sites are essential to our treaty rights.

Other examples include five parcels of off-reservation land located near our tribal school, used for tribal youth education purposes. And off-reservation land from which we operate our tribal advocate and resource centers, which addresses our

high rates of domestic violence in our community, and that land is only a hundred feet from our existing trust land. But it's waiting; it's pending.

Creating a heavy presumption against taking land into the trust off-reservation would create a devastating impact on the majority of tribes, and it is not what Congress intended in the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934. Just read the legislative record. Draft language is vague and open to interpretation.

As per the suggested additional requirements to the application, the draft language is extremely vague and subjective. As examples, look to the requirement for analysis. At no point are there any specific requirements or guidelines establishing regarding what such an analysis might conclude or what types of analysis will be acceptable. It becomes more subjective.

In an offer of states and local governments -- and this is where I agree a hundred percent with Lance -- yeah, they need to identify potential impacts and potential conflicts regarding the land in fee. These terms are vague, overly broad and subjective, and it subordinates our tribal

sovereignty to local county governments and city governments. That is not acceptable. That's not acceptable for the trustee of American Indians to even be promoting that. It's amazing in this day and age that that's even coming out of the Department of Interior.

The draft identifies ramifications of applications that do not adequately address the forms required, at no point is adequately defined. So again, becomes more subjective. Regulation requirements cannot be subjective. As it stands, the subjective nature of the draft regulation revisions would frustrate the process and create unnecessary delays and litigation. The Department of Interior is wrong to insert gaming considerations into the fee's trust process. We have an IGRA for that.

In consultation. While my tribe appreciates the Department of Interior has included reference to consultation, we respectfully remind the Department that the spirit of tribal consultation is only met when tribes are able to engage in meaningful dialogue with federal government, enabling the federal government to best meet its trust responsibilities best.

In this case, the Department is not allowing tribes adequate time to review the matter or analyze impacts. The Department is proposing to leave most tribes out of the consultation process. Having participated in dozen of consultations, and having helped facilitate these on behalf of the administration, I detect the current process as a backtrack. Our hope is it is not to approve a foregone conclusion and that you cherry-pick what you hear today and the messages that might support what you're proposing are then allocated, and those messages that contradict it are left on the floor.

I'm requesting consultations in each of the twelve NCAI regions across the country, and hold at least a few web-based consultation sessions to allow all tribes to participate, not based on their economic ability to get to these sessions in the first place.

So in conclusion, the Trump administration promised to remember the forgotten people of America. We hope that you can deliver on that promise. The Department of Interior's proposed revisions will create new barriers to off-reservation land acquisition that are directly contrary to the purpose of the Indian Reorganization

Act, and also contrary to IGRA.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Overall, the draft is poorly written, 2 3 creates opportunities for subjective determinations, and will create needless delays and increased 5 We respectfully urge the federal denials. government to recognize that changes to tribal 7 acquisition laws, policies, and/or regulations will significantly and directly impact tribal 9 governments. I'm not concerned about local 10 governments, I'm concerned about tribal governments. 11 Changes cannot be made in good faith without the 12 thorough consultation of all federally recognized 13 tribes, and reasonable economic, cultural, religious 14 and governmental services impact determinations.

I also just wanted to point out the inconsistency with -- I've been invited to the White House several times now under this new administration, and the constant mantra is deregulation. Everything that's being proposed is to become more regulatory, more regulations, more bureaucracy, more steps. So it's interesting, because the administration wants to deregulate and become less bureaucratic on the conservative mantra. When it comes to other issues, or acquiring our natural resources or negotiating sale of our natural

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resources, Trump wants to become more regulatory,
more burdensome and restrictive when it comes to
land and trust, which is in the spirit of the Indian
Reorganization Act.

So finally, I do want to thank you for coming here, it can't be easy, and listening to us. But earlier on the trader regulations we went through and detailed the constitutions to date. We're talking almost a whole year over that process for something that's a win-win, an easy one. And so if that one, a win-win, is stretched out over almost a year, than having three sessions in one month, one of them, by the way, being the proposed White House tribal summit, which means law and tribal leaders aren't going to be able to go, is not -- so if you can carry back the message that if there's any redeeming quality of what's being proposed to the administration, tribes have to have the opportunity to respond to that. So with that, thank you.

MS. MCCOVEY: Lana McCovey, Yurok tribe.

So I just want to say that our reservation was created by an act of Congress. And in doing so, our acreage was very limited. When we -- when our reservation was created, we only owned less than 30 percent of it. So we are in the process of

reacquiring our lands. And those lands are not limited to just what was designated as our reservation. We are also trying to reacquire our aboriginal territory, which is important to us because of our natural resources and our cultural sites, which were left out of this process.

We want to also say that the FETA trust process is more than just gaming, which this seems to be focused on. The Yurok tribe believes that the Department should approve off-reservation trust applications where the land is within the tribe's aboriginal territory. And it should be expedited. Especially when there's no change in the use of the land.

Sorry, I tried to downsize this to give everyone a chance to talk. One of the things that was really evident in this is that the requirement to do MOUs with the local governments. You may think that this is something good, but for Yurok, this doesn't work. When they're made mandatory, it doesn't help to facilitate, you know, or improve relationships. What it has done for us is hold us hostage.

We have the counties not wanting to enter into MOUs with us because they feel as though

they're losing their taxes, that they believe that they deserve money from us to provide services which they don't provide. I mean, it's just -- we're in a real community, and we -- even though we're a poor tribe, we respond first. We respond first in fire, we respond first in public safety, in social services, all of it. We are the ones that provide the services. And the local governments, they don't. So we -- okay, that's all I'm going to say right now. Thank you.

MR. ALLEN: Good morning, John. Ron

Allen, chairman of the Jamestown S'Klallam tribe and treasurer for NCAI. I rise primarily in opposition to this proposal. This is a bad proposal, and this is contrary to the conversation we just had this morning with regard to the Indian Trader Act taxation, jurisdiction, and tribes — helping tribes move our agenda forward.

inconsistent, it just boggles my mind. And before I get to my comments, you know, sometimes we always say, no disrespect. I tell you right now, in disrespect, this is just wrong. The proposal on the table is wrong. It's, as the previous speakers have already said, it's contrary to everything we've been

1 doing for 50 years. 50 years we've been trying to 2 restore our sovereignty, restore our land base, 3 restore our authority, restore everything that we're doing. This proposal stops everything. Quite 5 frankly, it stops everything we've accomplished. Now, this administration hates the past 7 administration. We get that. But too bad. It doesn't -- with regard to Indian country, we don't 9 care if you're Democrat or Republican. We don't 10 care. 11 SPEAKER: There it is. 12 MR. ALLEN: We don't care. We care about 13 our agenda. And our land is our land; we want it 14 We want as much back as we can get. We can't 15 get a lot. And, quite frankly, things in here, you 16 know, what happens to the current applications? Do 17 we go back to square one after all the work we've 18 done? You know, Brian talked about one that took 19 ten years. I can tell you about ones that took 20 longer. It's just ridiculous. All we were trying to 21 do is improve the process and improve the competency 22 here. 23 So the comment that was made earlier about 24 the -- get the government out of the way, 25 deregulate. Well, that's not what this does, it

empowers the local governments around us. That's not what -- you know, that's just wrong. You know, that's not recognizing our sovereignty. So it's inconsistent with the secretary's mission and commitment to Indian country. So we're going, what are you doing here?

I guarantee you, 99 percent, if not 100 percent of those local governments and state governments will object to it. They want our money, they want control over what we do with our land. They will try to stop it. There will be all kinds of frivolous lawsuits by non-Indian entities to stop it. Don't open that door for those guys. That's just crazy stuff. I mean, that's just contrary to what you're trying to do to empower us to get our land back.

And, you know, sometimes you say you can get caught up in, well, let's have a conversation about the off-reservation gaming. You know as well as anybody here, John, we got a lot of large land-based tribes, and we got a very small land base that are scattered and trying to restore our sovereignty. They can't always be adjacent. Some of them are proclamated reservations, some of them are in trust added, and sometimes we would like to join them

Indian entities out there think that we got this bottomless well of money and the price of those properties that we want back go way up and we can't get them back. And so that's a huge problem for us.

So as already been stated, we're buying our land back for culture reasons, religious reasons, housing reasons, conservation reasons, economic development reasons, infrastructure reasons, and on down the line. So quite frankly, what we want to do with our property is our call.

So as I mentioned earlier, and I can't remember if you were here when I made the comment about the taxing issue, one county has one set of rules with regard to what goes on, another county has another set of rules, and they are in conflict; they have a different opinion. I don't need somebody out there telling me what I need to do with my property. As long as I'm being a good steward of the resource.

And that's one thing you'll find, we are good stewards with regard to our land and the use of our land and the appropriateness of it. And quite frankly, you know, that we want that respect. So again, like Brian said, go back to Secretary Zinke's

comment, respect. Respect that we know how to govern. We know how to use our land. So respect that. We want our land back.

You should help us move that process along so that we can use that land for the benefit of our community, regardless of what its use is. We might buy a piece of property we're not even sure what's going to happen to it. But quite frankly, I can show you all kinds of counties and states that they don't know what they're going to do with their property until further down the road. I don't need to come back to you for approval how I'm going to use that property. That's my call.

You know, I'm going to testify, John -or, excuse me, I'm going to write my comments on
behalf of my tribe, and I know my sister tribes are
going to do the same thing. The timing's terrible.
December 15th? Oh my God, ten days before
Christmas? That's the kind of Christmas present
you're going to give us? I don't think so.

You need to extend this thing for, you know, 60 days way beyond Christmas so we have more time to vet this thing, you have more time to consult with Indian country. Because the timing's terrible with regard to how you're engaging with

Indian country and how we're going to talk about really a better way of doing business.

So how do we improve this process and not to empower those are who anti-Indian, those who are opposed to what we're trying to do in order to become self-reliant? And my comment this morning is that we are -- we've had lots of successes. But all of a sudden one of our successes is reacquiring our homelands for multiple reasons. As I already said, all of a sudden we're going to stop that, we're going to slow that down. So what the previous administration did was empower the local components at the regional offices to take that land into trust if it's non-gaming, okay? If it's non-gaming.

So retain that power there. They know the tribes in their respective region better than you do in Washington, DC. Washington, DC is where things go to stop. So quite frankly -- you know, and that's what this president said. So, you know, get the hell out of the way.

So you know that -- that's kind of where we are, guys. And we -- we think that your regulation should reflect that. You should be getting out of the way. Recognize the tribes' authority to -- trust us. You're our trustee, trust

us. And trust our ability to do our job in order to manage our affairs. We are strong governments.

Now, this is not 50 years ago where we were still wondering exactly what our authority was. We're now in the 21st Century, and we know what our authority is, and we're really strong. Sometimes I'm worried that the current things that are coming out of Congress and coming out of Washington, DC is because we're too successful, we're too powerful now, we have too much of a strong voice, and we gotta suppress them.

My old buddy Joe Gilcross used to say, you know, sometimes they want to keep us -- keep us like we don't know where we are, we don't know what we need. That ain't true anymore. And we have shook that -- that burden -- that burden off of our shoulders now, and now we're moving strong forward.

I want to finish by -- you know, your testimony, John, last week really bothered me. In it was -- you know, it doesn't comment in there, but inside it you made a reference to, you know, what's going on with the gaming industry and the influences on our tribal community. And it really disturbed me.

Now, maybe I didn't fully understand the



way you said it, but the mere fact that it's in your testimony, the mere fact that you said it verbally about the organized crime and the prostitution and the human trafficking and drug cartels and stuff that's going on out there, as if it's relevant to our casinos and our properties and our operation.

We have -- we have properties of great integrity. We run our shops with the utmost integrity to the patrons that we see in order to do business on our properties. We don't see that. We work with John Geerbach here with NIGC and his colleagues, and our own tribal gaming regulatory agents. We do a great job of assuring the integrity what goes on with our property. None of that stuff that we heard back in the '90s is true.

And I don't want to see that re -- I don't want anybody in Washington, DC to think that, because we will push you back and say no, that's not what's happening on our property. We take care. Do we have some challenges? Who doesn't? You been to Vegas lately? You know? So, you know, the issue here -- so I'm trying to be -- I'll finish, I apologize for being so passionate about this, but I am passionate about it, and I shouldn't apologize for it. So --

1 SPEAKER: Drop the microphone. (Laughter.) 2 3 MR. ALLEN: Okay, I don't want to be overtheatrical. I'm being advised by my advisors 5 back here. Anyhow, John, so I'm done. I think you get the message. The message is my colleagues that 7 already shared some specific issues and I know more will come. You know, we gotta get you guys to 9 understand the realities of Indian country. We need 10 you to be our friend. You need -- we need you to be 11 our partner. We need you to work on getting stuff 12 out of the way, recognizing our authority, so we can 13 do our job. 14 You know, so it's -- we have a big 15 challenge in front of us. One of the things that 16 I've observed, you know, in my 40 years as a tribal 17 chairman, is great success in our last 40 years, but 18 that success reveals how much more we need to do. 19 We have a long ways to go to serve our people. And 20 so even those tribes that you can say are amazingly 21 successful, they have more to do. 22 So -- and then the others who are still 23 trying to get into a self-reliant status, they 24 realize how far they have to go in order to be able

to serve our people. So I'll leave it at that,

25

John. I want you guys to step back and deliberate on this proposal with us more responsibly and more deliberately to the interest of the tribes. Thanks, John.

MR. TAHSUDA: If I can just throw a couple of things in there, 'cause I do want this to be a little bit of a give and flow. So I don't want you to think I'm up here just listening like a stone wall and not hearing what you're saying.

So I think it's important -- it's really important to us, first of all, that we have to follow the law. And so there are requirements in IGRA, there are requirements under our regulations for land into trust that we're required to follow. And what we're really talking about in the few things that we're shooting for with this, and one of these is making sure that we are really following the law the way we're supposed to. And when we don't, we've seen some bad consequences; we've had some bad court cases.

We're trying to make sure that -- that we have considered all the things that we're supposed to consider adequately, flesh them out and make sure that if they ever come under review, we're not going to have failed you in our part of the process in

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reviewing the application. So that's part of it I want to make sure we have out there.

And there's a lot of comments I just want to make sure you understand, a lot of comments about 5 the MOUs, the memorandums of understanding. Conceptually for us, and again, this is all concept, 7 but this is not -- this would not be a requirement, this would be something to say that if you have 9 those in place, that can facilitate the process 10 faster and better. And it kind of goes along this 11 line.

If you look at one of the requirements for a two-part determination, it impacts the local communities and also in our regulations. And I don't know if you -- so if you listened to my testimony the other day, I tried to get to this, what part of the thought process is that it can be difficult to measure impacts to the local communities, the non-Indian community. And that's a challenge that we have.

But if you and the local community have reached an agreement on how you've addressed impacts, that kind of answers the question for us. There's no question if you've addressed it, because the two of you have agreed that you have.

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so that just sort of goes to saying that you've reached an MOU; that facilitates the approval process for us, and that you have helped us address that criteria that we're supposed to address. And that's all that that's really intended to reflect. If you don't use MOUs to address local impacts, we still have to go through the process, we still have to do -- you know, weigh the considerations that are on the impacts, etcetera. It's just that if you do that, you've kind of taken it into in your own hands and addressed it with the local communities in the way that the two of you think best. At least that's the way that we're looking at it, and I'm looking at it. And I also wanted to go back to the hearing. So the reference to crime is an interesting one. So when IGRA was enacted and there was a lot of consternation, the discussion was about organized crime and where's that going to

interesting one. So when IGRA was enacted and there was a lot of consternation, the discussion was about organized crime and where's that going to infiltrate, basically tribal casinos, and that the real beneficiary would not be the tribes, it would be some Mafia-like, you know, organization.

And yes, we have absolutely addressed that. I think the Department of Justice has

testified a number of times over the years that

there's never been evidence proven of any kind of organized infiltration of tribal gaming. So we've addressed that question. So what is coming up now, and it came up at the hearing, I think both the NCAI chairman and I were both asked questions about crime happening at casinos, though. And I think it's something that we can't get hung up on, but I think we have to understand it is a real factor.

And part of it's just traffic. When you have more people coming into an area, whether it's for a casino, a concert venue, you know, anything that has a lot more traffic, by definition you have more people, you're going to have more opportunity for those elements to come with them.

So the questions that we're getting, and one of the things that, again, I think so that we can sure we're adequately doing our job, is to ask ourselves, and ask through the process, you know, is this an issue that's been addressed, is it being addressed, you know, is it appropriate to address it. You know, I don't know. I mean -- but so this -- that's where that question came up.

And I think, you know, if you think it's totally inappropriate, and that it's totally addressed by the tribe, then that would be good

information for us to know. We have had comments, pleas from tribes, you know, around the country, they're being impacted by opioid. First we had the meth epidemic, right, that invaded the reservations; now we have this opioid thing going on.

So again, when you have high traffic areas and lots of people, transient, coming into the reservation, that's an opportunity for those elements to follow them right on in. And so -- I mean, it's -- this is anecdotally, and we'd love to get comments and actual substance, but it sounds like that this is an issue that we need to think about.

And we're working -- I thought I saw

Charlie back here, he heads up our drug task force.

So this is something that we're really struggling

with. And we have a number of tribes that have

reached out to us for assistance so we can try to

bring greater federal presence to address this gang

and opioid and meth crisis that's happening on their

particular reservation. So that was aimed at making

sure we address that question from the centers and

let them know that we're trying to do what we can on

that front as well. Thank you. Sir?

MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Michael



Williams. I'm on the tribal council in a small village in Akiak, Alaska. There are 229 tribes north of the state of Washington. Trust lands are vital to native self-determination. As you are well aware, trust lands have been long keystone of federal Indian policy. They enhance tribal self-determination generally by helping tribes solidify our land base, protecting homelands from alienation, and allowing for more comprehensive exercise of governmental authority over internal affairs and tribal lands.

and accessible form of local government are far better position to know how best to allocate resources for the community's needs, or how to deal with the offenders and affect culture in relevant ways. Presently, the Alaskan native tribes and individuals own well more than one million acres of free land. Some of the lands are transferred to tribes under Section 14C of the Alaskan Native Claims Settlement Act of 1971. Some of these lands are otherwise transferred by Alaskan native incorporation village, corporations to tribes, and others were acquired by gift or purchase.

In addition to these fee lands, Alaskan



natives also received Alaskan rates through the 1 Alaskan Native Townside Act and the Alaskan Native 2 3 Allotment Act. These lands are held in restricted fee status and cannot be alienated with the -- the 5 consent of the secretary of interior. However, because of lack of formal trust status, the state of 7 Alaska refuses to recognize town sites and 8 allotments as Indian country. 9 The fee lands currently in Alaska Native 10 ownership land lack even the basic protections 11 afforded to undeveloped free lands held by Alaskan 12 Native corporations and village and regional 13 corporations. While undeveloped corporate land is 14 protected via federal statues, Alaska native free 15 lands can be taxed, foreclosed upon, adversely 16 possessed, and subject to imminent domain 17 proceedings. 18 The Alaska tribes, like all other 19 federally recognized tribes, have a paramount 20 interest in securing a land base, and having such a 21 land base protected through trust status. 22 secretary's proposed rule would assist Alaska 23 Natives, providing them land base necessary to 24 promote village, level economic development, 25 including the development of housing projects and

public works projects of tribal governments, as well as provide desperately needed public safety services to America's most remote communities.

Trust lands are vital to address the public safety crisis in rural Alaska. We're having a real tough time up there because in my region, there's only nine village public safety officers, and the rest -- there's a whole lot of villages without any public safety at all. But, you know, we have the highest suicide rates, highest rates of domestic violence, highest rates of sexually -- sexual abuse in the country.

And I just wanted to say that a change is long overdue. Tribal governments, I think, are trying to effectuate that change in Alaska and -- but first, well, we need to acquire a land base.

And in the issue of the -- on the issue of off-reservation acquisitions, this section provides the criteria by which an off-reservation trust petition is weighed. And we have had that Alaska exception.

But that in turn we have -- we have passed that now.

And, you know, it's wonderful to see that we are past some of those roadblocks that were in front of us. And, you know, I think the acquisitions for Indian community, all potential

trust acquisitions will be off reservation. Given this reality, the secretary should take into consideration that many of the existing provisions, Section 151.11, do not adequately take Alaskan native land holdings into account.

For example, Section 151.11(b) provides that the secretary consider a potential off-reservation act in light of the location of the land relative to safe boundaries and its distance of the boundaries of the tribe's reservation shall be considered as follows: As the distance between the tribe's reservation and the land to be acquired increases, the secretary shall give greater scrutiny to the tribe's justification of anticipated benefits from the acquisition.

The secretary shall give greater weight to the concerns raised pursuant to paragraph D of this section, because the only reservation within the state of Alaska belongs to Metacotta (phonetic)

Indian community. The other 228 federally recognized tribes will be disadvantaged in any criteria in which I ask the secretary to weigh the distance from the boundaries of the tribe's reservation when considering our trust petition.

Again, this provision demonstrates that

the criteria by which trust petitions are judged were written with the lower 48 mind-set. To work in Alaska, they should be revised to reflect the lack of reservation in the state.

Conclusion. What the Alaska tribal community seeks is choice. We seek the right to decide for ourselves whether trust land status is in the best interests of our tribes and our tribal communities. Given the choice, some tribes may conclude that it may be in their best interests to have local lands to be in corporate ownership.

Others may conclude it is in their best interests to have their tribal lands be in the fee simple ownership.

But some will decide it is in their best interests to have their lands protected through federal trust status. And that choice should be ours alone to make. This is the heart of tribal self-determination and self-governance. Tribes in Alaska deserve the opportunity to maximize their self-determination just as much as any other tribes in America.

For these reasons, I strongly urge the secretary to complete and publish these final rules on trust acquisition in Alaska so that we may begin

a new chapter in our government-to-government relationship. So it's very, very important that there are no exceptions in these rules. And those are my comments. And we will be presenting the written comments. Thank you very much.

MR. FORSMAN: Leonard Forsman, chairman of the Suquamish tribe and president of Lake Tribal.

And just wanted to emphasize the empowering of local governments to get involved in our trust relationship is a bad idea. Many of these local governments are anti-tribal; we've had to fight really hard in our local area, and other tribes are continuing to do that, where we have local governments that won't even recognize tribes as existing.

And when we start from that place, it's kind of hard to have a diplomatic relationship that the federal government seems to be encouraging. So I think it's very important that many of the other people have spoken to this, but back in the early days of our government-to-government relationships, which have improved greatly over the last three decades, mainly through our work and our money, we had county commissioners who were writing John McCain when he was on the senate committee of Indian

Affairs, on why are you even recognizing this tribe as a government.

So we started from that low point. So we have to be very careful how that's done. And I think this issue of off-reservation of land and trust between the federal government and the tribes, and the process of the love, love, love, those have been mentioned. And I think, just for an example, is this kind of early application without a lot of data has kind of been a ripe opportunity for somebody to exercise veto power within the federal government.

So I think that's a small example of how you could use a very short application to have a very short answer, which would be no. So on behalf of the Suquamish, we are really concerned and hope you will extend the comment period and revisit the regulations as presented. You had your hand up, sir, earlier.

SPEAKER: Good day. I'm here on behalf of the Yakama nation. What's being proposed could be seen as, from Yakama nation's perspective, as an act of domination and dehumanization. But we'll leave that till a little bit later. But today my nation speaks as opposed to what's being proposed right

now.

with the United States and the Yakama nation back in 1855, ratified in 1859, there was a time when there's a really beautiful place to the play along the Columbia river. We had what was known as a tribal, a huge trading place in the northwest. And so we used that as a place of living, as a way of life that we had. And because of the treaty, we were moved to the reservations in north central Washington, but our people stayed along the Columbia river to maintain their livelihood.

And so since then, we recognize and understood that, and we put provisions in the treaty to allow us usual custom, fishing, hunting, what have you. So all along the Columbia river, we what now is called elucites, where fisherman can go and gather, do what they're supposed to do for subsistence. We had that because of the dam that was put there in 1952. And I'm bringing this all together because our people are still there. Irregardless of what's being done by the dam, we remain.

And so because we're still there, our people still live there and our reservation was

nembers actively living along the Columbia river.

And so what we're doing now is we are creating communities from using funds to create housing along the Columbia river. And that's coming out of our pocket.

And so this idea of limiting trust lands, when those are our lands previously, limits our capability of our people. And so we will continue to provide housing, social needs for our people along the Columbia river. We're the largest tribe in the northwest, with large membership, and the current capacity of our reservation is limited. There's spaces on our reservation where water is not accessible. And so we need to expand where we place our tribal members. And we'd like to go back to where it was before.

And so we will continue to do that.

Irregardless of the trust status, we will continue
to expand where our people live, going back to their
homelands. Right now we have housing that should be
finished within about another year-and-a-half along
the Columbia river in the dalport (phonetic) area,
but that land's not in trust and so we just bought
it ourselves. And we're going to provide that.

Along with that, they need jobs to pay for rent. And so we're looking at economic development all along the river, irregardless of the status. It helps, but, you know, we look forward to that fight because, you know, recently the nation won a case where the right to tribal trade, it was acknowledged within our treaty. So we speak today against what's being proposed. We do ask that it be extended, the timeline. Irregardless, you have to do what's in the best interests for our people. Thank you.

MS. ONNEN: Good afternoon. My name is
Liana Onnen, I'm the chairwoman of the Prairie
Potawatomi nation; I'm also regional vice president
southwest -- southwest -- for the Plains region for
NCAI, area vice president. I'm going to reiterate
some things because I think repetition is good. I'm
going to start by saying things that I've heard
folks in this audience, because I hear them and
repeat, you are our trustee. These conversations
again about state and local governments having input
and concern for their input.

My background is, I was a housing director for my tribe, and I was the general manager of tribal operations for my tribe before I took this job. So I've been on the ground when we processed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trust applications off and on for 15 years. This concept that somehow these local governments don't have enough say, I don't know where that's coming from.

Because my experience is, back 15, 20 years ago, people in my state and my local communities were contesting trust applications on reservations. We had to fight with them to get onreservation contiquous pieces put into trust. So when we go back to talking about having these conversations with local government and making sure we have their input, it is troubling for us. We've had to fight to come a long way. Whether we had to fight here, whether we had to fight back home with our states, whether we had to massage those relationships and get them to a place where they're not doing this anymore, it feels like we're going to open up another can of worms and we're going to get them back in this habit of contesting everything. At least that's the fear.

I think that so much concern for those, I guess the question that I have really, and you don't have to answer it today, is can you explain to me how these regulations help us? Because I don't see that. Now the intention may be there, but I don't

know where that's at. So tell me where that's it. 1 Show us that. Because I don't see it. It seems to help the Interior and a lot of local governments, 3 but -- and you're saying you're trying to reduce the 5 burden on tribes, but it really feels like the burden's being increased on tribes and taken off of 7 Interior and somewhat off of local governments, 8 making things easier. 9 Perhaps that isn't the intent. If it 10 isn't, please show us that. That's my request to 11 you today. I would also caution you to don't mix 12 metaphors. And when I say that what I mean is, 13 let's be clear about IRA and IGRA. Let's not mix 14 those two things together. If I'm correct, nothing 15 in this section shall effect or diminish the 16 authority and responsibility of the secretary to 17 take land into trust. That's in there. So I guess I just need to know more about 18 19 this conversation. I think we all need to know more 20 about this conversation. This is a complex issue. 21 It doesn't have any simple answers. And it feels as 22 if it's being treated as if there are simple 23 answers, like this is going to solve it. I hope 24 that's not the case. I hope this is a starting 25 point to where we continue to have conversations,

'cause everybody said more consultation is needed, and in more areas, as my colleagues have stated.

And I'll take it a step further than Lance and say there are tribes east of not just the Mississippis, but the Rockies. So make sure that, you know, those of us that are in the middle are being considered as well. There's a financial burden to traveling. There is that burden to doing that. So be considerate of that so you're getting everybody's input.

I guess I'm going to just say this. I want to see us progress. I want to see progress. I don't want to see us regress. And I don't think it has to be, but for us to find a solution that works for everyone, there has to be a great deal more consultation on this. And so I will just finish with that. Thank you.

MR. CLEVELAND: Aho. Good morning to each one of you, and recognize each one of you for being here for this consultation. And my name is Wilfrid Cleveland from the HoChunk Nation here in the heart of Wisconsin. And so it's good to see this many people come over here for this occasion.

And this issue that we're talking about here, we've been dealing with that ever since the

IRA came into being. And we don't have a reservation here in Wisconsin, and we have communities throughout the lower two-thirds of Wisconsin here. We -- at one time our stewardship over this land was from where it is presently, the Twin Cities to Green Bay, south to -- into the northern part of Illinois and Iowa. That's where the HoChunks were stewards at one time.

But over the years, the federal government thought it would be a good idea to put all indigenous people west of the Mississippi. And so they -- they've been trying to do that for quite some time with our HoChunk people and never were successful. So they finally gave it up and gave us some trust lands here in this area. And because of this trust applications that have been going on since that time, we have several communities that we've been able to establish since that time. And there's probably approximately five or six large communities that we have in this area.

And through that we've also been able to, because of these trust lands, it was basically for homes, what it was, and through that then we've also had to be able to -- we were able to have like Head Starts, tribal aging facilities, expanded our -- we

were able to expand our -- our land base through these trust applications. And there's a question that I was thinking about for -- for a while here, and it's been asked and it was mentioned, is I was wondering who is -- who's the BIA supporting, was my thought.

My original thought was that they were here, they were put on here to support and -- our indigenous people's interest. But this here goround that brought me up to that question, because from what it looks like, they've -- it was mentioned earlier too in our -- in our conversation that things are going to be streamlined and -- and it seems to have been done. However, that streamlining, it took the -- it took the applications from the regional office and sent them straight to Washington, DC And in that there, then all these other added -- added stipulations were put on us as people are trying to have an application here.

And it almost makes it near -- near impossible if these -- if these stipulations were in there back when the IRA was first put together, we wouldn't have this -- we wouldn't have these building sites that we do here around the state of

Wisconsin, and we wouldn't have -- we wouldn't have all these -- all these land base for -- to assist our tribe members that are so widespread in this area.

And so that -- I guess that's one of the concerns that -- that I have. And there was also the mention of -- of consultations. And that was the other wondering thought in my mind, was why are those all on the west coast and none here. Like even someone mentioned east of the Rockies. So those were the kind of thoughts that I had that -- and so thinking about all this.

And also in there, in the -- in this conversation that thing had today was the -- the concern for us indigenous people that do not have a reservation but is nowhere written in the CFR that we are going to be able to continue on with these kinds of applications. And those of us that don't have reservations, I see that as a real problem going forward. I guess there's maybe the idea of streamlining and then the stipulations that go on kind of brings a thought of like a mistrust, mistrust to the direction that the BIA is going today and that they are -- they are being like a dictator. I would even go that far in saying this

is the way that it's going to be.

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then we have this consultation here today and kind of very quickly thrown together. And because there was going to be a lot of indigenous people here for this meeting, and then that's going to take care of everything, which I really don't agree with. And then the question also is so what's going to happen to all this -- all these comments and testimonies that are being said here, going into -- going into the future?

What, do you take this, all this information back to your boss? Is that something that's going to be put on a desk, okay, yes, we did this for them so we can continue on in what we want to do? So this is kind of what kind of thoughts that come to mind as I'm standing here listening to -- we all have similar -- we all have similar concerns, but we're all different. We have -- and just like -- and when the IRA moving forward this way, I always thought that a lot of our constitutions that we made to organize our government was like a boilerplate, a boilerplate constitution thrown out into Indian country. each tried to fix it the best way that we could. And it seems like it's going to be that way with this

moving forward. Okay, this is how it is for you in Indian country, do with what you want the best that you can.

And so this -- I think we have to move forward and say that each one of us, each one of us nations here have our -- our individual concerns about why this is not going to work the way that it is put before us. And so I'd appreciate -- I guess I appreciate this, this time here that we have to -- to come together and share ideas and maybe make a stronger voice into the direction that -- that Secretary Zinke wants for us moving forward, and he would come out to Indian country and he would look at what we're talking about and see -- see how we are as -- as nations and sit down and talk with us and have a real -- real consultation with us and our homelands.

So this is -- at this time here, I don't want to take up too much time, 'cause I know there's a lot of others that would like to express some words here, but I would also like to add that there'll be comments forthcoming from the HoChunk Nation. Aho. Thank you for listening.

MR. TAHSUDA: Thank you.

MS. JOSEPH: My name is Rachel Joseph. I'm

a lifetime member of the National Congress since the '70s. My comments today are personal, John, as one of your Indian aunties from Indian country who have watched your career with admiration as you served as a valued staffer of the senate Indian Affairs committee, as an advocate for tribes for years, and as an advocate for Indian country.

In my heart of hearts, I believe not only did you hear what was said today, I believe that you agree with most of what has been said. So my plea and concern to you today, as one of your aunties who cares about you, is that whoever's advancing this agenda, don't let them compromise who you are. When the process is completed, those of us that know you believe that you will do what you do and will do what you need to do to ensure that the tribes do not go backwards. Be there for us and continue to be the advocate that you have always been. Thank you for your time, and thank you for your time.

MR. TAHSUDA: So we were supposed to end at twelve, but John has said we can keep going, so we'd like to do that. But you'll have to excuse me for a couple minutes. Do you want to keep going and give your comments, or you want to take a two-minute break and I'll be right back?

```
1
             MR. CLARKE:
                          I'll be real quick.
 2
             SPEAKER:
                        I'm hungry too.
 3
             MR. TAHSUDA: So we'll take like a quick
 4
   two-minute break, and then we'll come back.
 5
             MR. CLARKE:
                          You sure?
 6
             MR. TAHSUDA:
                           Yes.
 7
                          I'll be shorter than two.
             MR. CLARKE:
            You can time me. Damon Clarke, Hualapai
   Timing.
 9
   nation. Our heartland is from, you know, the
10
   Hualapai nation, but there are many people out there
   that are really, really nice and they're giving
11
12
   lands to us, they're giving it to us, and we're --
   we don't know how to respond. We don't know how to
13
14
   say no because it's hard to say no to get lands
15
          We even got lands in Nevada. Can you believe
16
   that? We're in Arizona, but we got lands in Nevada.
17
             Our biggest challenge today for you is to
18
   hear there's time limits. There shouldn't be no
19
   time limits. Because when Arizona was bought,
20
   California was bought by the United States from
21
   Mexico. Where were we? We didn't get involved with
22
   that. It was bought from Mexico. It wasn't bought
23
   from Mexico, it wasn't bought from Hualapai,
24
   Yavapai, Supai, Navajo, anybody else. It wasn't
25
   bought. It was bought from Mexico. Where were we?
```

Now we got lands in Nevada, but what do we do with that? We can't put that into trust, but that's our land. We also have lands that were just given a little while ago, 40 acres, 40 acres, and we don't know what to do with that. We don't know if we're going to say yes or no. But the Trump administration said this, right? They said make America great again. This is one thing with one of our tribal members said, make America great again, give it back to the natives.

chairman. Just got a prepared statement to read quick; hopefully it won't take as long. The Oneida Nation Wisconsin is pleased to be here concerning the Department of Interior's consultation draft amendments 2.5, 51.11, and 51.12, the regulations dealing with trust acquisition of lands outside of and noncontiguous with tribe's reservations. And the process by which the FETA trust applications are considered.

The proposed amendments to the 151.12 would have a negative impact on Oneida nation for trust acquisitions of lands located on or contiguous to the Oneida reservation. In addition, we fear the proposed amendments to the 151.11 may serve as a

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prelude to future changes to the regulation concerning trust acquisitions of lands located within or contiguous to the reservations.

As proposed, the changes to the 151.12, the Department would reinstate the 30-day stay before taking lands into trust after positive determination has been reached. This change in policy simply promotes an environment of litigation rather than cooperation. Such as the policy also creates an additional financial burden on tribes, exposing tribes to continuous obligation to pay property taxes on lands deemed eligible to be placed into trust. Truly this proposal -- proposed rule creates a situation where tribes would be required to underwrite the litigation efforts being waged against them. The reinstatement of the 30-day stay also delays the time it takes for tribes to make beneficial use of its land.

If it was just a 30-day delay, that might be tolerable, but the likely practical effects of this proposed approach is to delay trust acquisition of land until the completion of lengthy and costly litigation. While the stated objectives of the proposed changes of the 151.12 has provided economic benefit to tribes by giving them the opportunity to

begin the review process without having to incur expense of procuring environmental and historical reports, the proposed changes will require additional analysis and impose additional costs.

The proposed changes require analysis of whether the tribal government can effectively exercise governmental and regulatory powers at the proposed site. This requirement may result in the Department inappropriately substituting its judgment for that of the tribe with respect to tribal governance. In addition the proposed changes require analysis of the economic benefit to the tribe and local community if the acquisition is for economic development purposes.

This requirement suggests that the

Department may weigh the interests of the tribe

against those of the local community, although it is

not the role of the Department to do so. Finally,

the changes require analysis of the extent to which

the proposed acquisition will consolidate tribal

land holdings and reduce checkerboard jurisdiction.

These analysis will undoubtedly create additional

delays in the process.

We are not convinced that fragmenting the process will lead to great efficiency in the

consideration of the fee trust application. At the same time, we are concerned that the additional hurdles erected by the proposed changes will lead to greater costs and delays in an already lengthy process.

While we are grateful to the Department for its desire to find ways to limit the financial obligations tribes must incur simply to reclaim our homelands, the additional financial obligations that would be incurred to the tribes should this rule go into effect far outweigh any suggested benefit. And we are also supportive of extending the consultation process as stated many times this morning. Thank you.

MR. TAHSUDA: I just want to let you know our court reporter has another date, so she needs to pack up and leave, but we're going to stay here a little bit longer. We'll take good notes.

SPEAKER: I just want to say, from Nevada tribes here, that we are totally opposed to this legislation, or proposed legislation. A number of reasons. First of all, when we talk about consultation, really it should be driven by the interests of the tribes. And really when I came here and I saw a two-step process here, I thought

well, this is probably a way to really make things easier, you know, something like that. But when I started to read this, it basically says that it's going to basically exclude -- it's a process of exclusion.

Why I say that is that when we look at the way the old 151 was, everybody could make application. Now it says there's going to be exclusion on the front end where certain tribes have to meet certain requirements even before they can submit an application. So right there you have exclusion where they'll probably say well, you don't meet these requirements, we're not going to let you even get into the queue with an application. So I see that as an exclusion.

The other part is, when we start -- we know that the issue on whether or not tribes were federally recognized in 1934, almost -- I'm not sure the IRA tribe, Indian organization tribe, you're kind of excluded there to. And it almost says that we didn't agree with -- even with the lawsuit, where the Bureau -- I mean where the Bureau of Interior was -- when somebody sued them saying that they didn't have the authority to take land into trust.

So when we put into this, we're basically

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying we agree with that. So again, it's another process of exclusion by the tribes that are not IRA tribes, to not be a part of this process. So you see two points of exclusion within this whole process here. So -- and then the other thing is that the process of -- we know that in the 151 process, the cities and counties are notified. I mean, you know, they know what we're going to do, so there's no -- and common sense tells us that the tribes are going to go and talk to the counties and cities, because we know that they're going to have a say in that. So it doesn't have to be put into a rule that mandates us to do that, because we're going to do that common sense-wise anyway. So I don't see a need for that, because we're going to try to persuade them to not oppose this the best we So you can see those two points.

The other point is, if we're trying to do this by Christmastime, you know, we're not going to be able to get -- and the Cochran divide issue is that we're going to go out, have listening sessions in different areas of the United States, then we buy into this whole process by going out to -- out in Washington state or in the southwest.

And so as we let this go forward, then



we're basically buying into the process that we're going to have more listening sessions, we're basically saying yes, go ahead, and we'll throw our ten cents into whatever they're proposing. That's not coming from us, it's coming from the whoever, if the federal government, or you, or President Trump, or whoever.

The time that we first were transitioning when we came to Washington, DC, we met with some of the agencies, I think Ross Zimmer was there, and right away we weren't even into the transition, they were saying, well, you know, we've got some new initiatives already, one was to make it easier for oil and gas to come on the reservations. I'm saying what the heck, is this a mini Dawes Act that's being proposed here, you know?

So that's what was the first thought in my mind when I heard at the transition time that there were some people that weren't us that were proposing something for us down the line. So this just seems like that's where we're heading now. So I hope that in this NCAI, that we pass a resolution that totally opposes this, that somebody has a resolution in place that either gives us more time or totally opposes this, 'cause it's -- we are not ready for

this. It takes away from us, it excludes us, it divides us. So that I think that we need to totally oppose this and it has to be driven by the tribes, not the federal government. So thank you.

MR. BROWNEAGLE: Dave Browneagle, Spokane tribe. And I wanted to speak before everybody went to lunch. You know, I -- I have a problem with consultation. And I'll give you one real brief -- we had a consultation/listening session in Gavin. And I was third on the list to speak, being an elected official. And there were eight bureaucrats, if you will, sitting in the front. And when the first got up and spoke, one of the people who were supposed to be listening had a cell phone on the table and he was looking at his cell phone and tapping away.

So I looked at the first two speakers and wondered how come they didn't approach him, call him on it. So he kept doing that. So I got up, I was the third speaker. And I just stood there and I watched this man, this gentleman. And I continued to watch. And pretty soon everybody's kind of getting nervous, like how come you're not talking. And finally this guy who was on his cell phone looks up.

And I said excuse me, I said, could you put your cell phone away? This is our listening session; you're supposed to listen. We speak. And the point I'm making is yes, I've been hearing everybody speak and I appreciate all the words that have been shared, but the problem I have with consultation is it always seems one-sided. We do the speaking and the box is checked and we listened to the Indians, now we'll move on.

Because the sessions that I've been in so far is, like everybody's been saying, it's quick, it seems rushed. And my theory is -- and that's all it is, is a theory -- is it's already been taken care of, now they just need to go out and listen to the Indians on the west side as well as the east side.

So I don't really feel it's true consultation. What I mean by that -- and I was fortunate, I was around my grandmother and my elders when I was a little boy and got to see a lot of what I thought was consulting at that time. If there was something going on with the tribe or the families, they'd sit down and everybody would have their opinion and they would speak and everybody listened.

And like I said, I was fortunate. I didn't understand it at the time, but I was very fortunate,

1 I got to sit around a whole lot. 'Cause it took forever for those older people, if you remember 2 3 that, they spoke forever, and in their language. But nobody left, nobody argued, they would go hmm. 5 When they finished, the next person would get up. And when it was all said and done, then the family or the tribe made a decision on what was going to be 7 done for the best for the people. For the people. Not for the individual. Not for one particular 9 10 family, but for the people. 11 And when I was growing up, I never saw one 12 individual get up and get upset and walk out. Or 13 gripe about it outside. And when you're a little 14 boy, you can get around all this stuff 'cause they 15 don't pay attention to you, they don't think you can 16 see it and hear it. 17 So the point I'm making is, you know, at one time early on in the history of Euro-America and 18 19 Native America, or American Indian, the consultation 20 was taking place because what you needed, what we 21 needed, what you wanted, what we wanted. And that's 22 how it went. Until the Euro-American became more 23 powerful. In other words, you get on that side of 24 the river, we'll leave you alone, we'll take this 25 side of the river. But once it became powerful,

Tribal Consultation Meeting October 16, 2017 NDT Assgn # 24748-3 well, you're going to have move from your side of 1 the river and move to a little creek or whatever. 2 3 So sometimes I feel that's the same thing we're dealing with, is we come in all these 5 listening sessions and we speak, you listen, you record. And my question has always been, is it already a done deal? We're just being given this 7 false sense of empowerment that we get to speak. And that's how I feel until something's proven 9

otherwise, because I will never stop coming to
these, because everyone that you speak, I hear some
beautiful, powerful words, and that's empowerment,
from your tribe, your history, your values, your
belief, your people.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But then I have the question, where's the government? Are they listening to this? And I've also seen this, and I know some of you have seen this too, as long as grass grows, river flows. We make beautiful speeches. And those of us who understand that kind of talk, it's powerful because we're connecting to who we are and why we are. But does the other side hear it? And here's this one example. As long as the grass grows, river flows.

You know the joke is, well, they put fences around the grass and they dammed up the

1 water. Well, here's how I take it. As long as the 2 grass grows, those are my children, my 3 grandchildren, my great-grandchildren. And as long as the river flows, that's our life blood as a 5 people. So as long as my children grow and my blood flows, we're still going to fight. I just want --7 let's do more than listen. Let's -- it'd be kind of nice if we had 8 9 some tribal representatives from all over the United 10 States, not just the west side, and we delegated 11 them because we trust them to speak for us. And 12 when you're having these sessions on how are you 13 going to help the Indian people, we have our 14 representatives sitting there with you at a 15 roundtable discussing what are we going to come up 16 with, what are we going to come up with as true 17 consultation, not let's get something set up and we'll show the Indians, let them speak, okay that's 18 19 done, let's move on to something else. Thank you. 20 SPEAKER: I asked for 30 seconds more, so 21 despite the two minutes I took, I probably was only 22 1:30, so I have two minutes left reserved. 23 MR. TAHSUDA: You can have some of my 24 time, John.

SPEAKER:

One additional comment. We have

25

1 pending land into trust applications. I'm sure some of the people here too have that in the room. 3 the purpose of this change in the regulations is to reduce cost, we ask you to consider another cost, 5 time. You know, time doesn't wait, it continues on, it moves forward, it doesn't go backwards. Every 7 day we wait for our applications to be approved, 8 there is a cost. We have to pay, you know, 9 attorneys, and the attorneys have to pay other 10 people, and we end up, you know, taking in that 11 burden.

Mr. Tahsuda, you can reduce that. You know, we talked about phones, we talked about that. Today, right now, you can do that. You can take your cell phone and send an all-staff e-mail that says the BIA will continue to process pending applications under the existing regulations without cost. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MANION: My name is Edward Manion (phonetic.) And we will be submitting a written testimony opposing the revisions that are being proposed in the land fee, land and trust. Many of the tribal leaders brought out the imperfections to their policies. We agree with all those. So I'm not going to go into each one of those stating why

we oppose it or put it in writing.

And the only thing I want to bring out what this tribal leader brought out earlier regarding processing land fee trust applications, 'cause we do have one pending right now from the Danota (phonetic) nation. We purchased land, 134 acres. 54 acres was put into trust at that time a year ago because it was noncontroversial. We got everything settled now on the rest of the land, the 88 acres that we put into trust. But it's being held up now because of these revisions.

And yet when Congress approved our settlement, we purchased that land based on that settlement. Within that settlement it states the secretary shall take these lands into trust for reservation purposes. That was the mandate from Congress. So we don't see why it should be held up just because we're going through a land into trust revisions.

We still have a pending land into trust law. So I hope you consider moving our land into trust forward and putting in place, because it's true we're wasting money in waiting, we're wasting time in waiting. And time is money. So thank you.

MR. TAHSUDA: Thank you, Jerry.



MR. FINLEY: Good afternoon. Vernon

Finley, chairman for the Confederate and Salish and
Kootenai tribes. I just wanted to rise in

opposition to the proposed changes for all of the

reasons that were stated earlier in the testimonies,
and would like for you to, you know, consider some

of the comments that were made in regards to the

lady that spoke as your auntie.

You know, as elected leaders, our people

You know, as elected leaders, our people put a lot of trust in us to -- to do what is best for them. And collectively, you have a lot of leaders that are here that you're a voice for. It appears from the length of time that was scheduled for accepting testimony during the consultation process, it appears a foregone conclusion has already been made. It appears these consultation -- these consultations that we're going through are merely going through the hoops, just check off the box that says the tribes have been consulted.

I would hope that isn't the case. I would like to give you the benefit of the doubt. I don't know you. But it would be nice if you took the words of your auntie to heart. There are a lot of folks that are depending on the result of this process, and somewhere in the middle of it, I would

1 hope that you find a process that would enable you to do your job, but also take into consideration 3 everything that has been said here today and everything that will be submitted on the written 5 comments as well. Thank you for your time. 6 MR. TAHSUDA: Thank you, Chairman. 7 MR. MICKLIN: Masanto onsayyentee (phonetic.) My name is Will Micklin. I'm a second vice president central council, Tlingit and Haida 10 tribes of Alaska, speaking for central council and 11 also CEO of Ewiiaapaayp and Kumeyaay tribe in 12 southern California. So I just want to speak, offer comments by 13 14 example to specific applications that would be 15 impacted by the proposed change to the rules. 16 central council, we submitted a completed 17 application for a FETA trust. The land entered the parking lot next to our tribal office, and in 2010 18 19 it is still in process. We essentially relied on 20 Mike and his community to win the court contest, but 21 still that contest was once some time ago, and we 22 only have one parcel in trust on the Craig

community, on Prince of Wales Island that's been

conveyed to trust since that court -- that court

23

24

25

decision.

We submitted seven applications in 2000, and none of those have yet made their way to a conveyance of title as the final process for acquisition for acquiring them into trust. For various reasons — and what I was to allude to, is the problem of delay. And delay is a huge issue with FETA trust applications because of lost opportunity.

And that delay you speak to at the reintroduction of the 30-day stay policy, that was taken out after patcheck (phonetic.) It's kind of is, what we call the partial patcheck fix. And patcheck providing that many year, was it six-year window, after the final conveyance and final agency action for an appeal by an interested party that could dispute the -- that could sue the secretary for the acquisition.

That was partial, because what we actually asked for was the full patcheck fix, would be to use what is in regulation 25 CFR part two, which provides the process for the IBIA appeal, that it would test the merits of the litigation, of the appeal. And if there was not a substantial chance, probability that the appeal would -- would be successful and therefore determining the -- whether

-- the relative merit of the appeal, the conveyance of title would proceed.

So the argument and the reason we did get the full patcheck fix was because they were -- the assistant secretary was concerned, well, you know, if we did that and we lost the litigation later on, we'd have to take the land out of trust. And really that hadn't been a problem before the patcheck ruling. But now you propose rules in 151 that provide a clearer path for retroceding land from trust back to fee.

If that's the case, there's gotta be balance. The solution would be to follow the IBIA procedure and regulations and test whether an appeal would be as a probability of success and let these conveyances go to title. And then let someone, the interested parties, come in and litigate, because they are going to litigate. Perhaps I'm biased because we're in California, and it seems every FETA trust is litigated.

So once there's final agency action, the regional director or the systems secretary makes their final agency action, it goes to IBIA. And IBIA is an uncertainty. It can take anywhere from 18 to 36 months to get a ruling out of IBIA no

matter the merit or not of the -- of the appeal.

Then it's still, after IBIA provides a favorable opinion, conveyances made of title, there's still action in federal district court where an interested party would go and litigate by -- litigating the secretary for the FETA trust. So that is still there.

And these delays are the reason that some applications take from five to ten to 15 to 20 years for resolution. So when your rules, your Dear Tribal Leader letter comes out and says you want to improve the process, remove the bureaucracy and -- for the benefit of the tribes, the actions, the changes to the -- to the regulation need to match those words. And right now they don't match those at all.

In fact, they make longer and more uncertain the appeal and litigation process. And for the applications that -- that have been in since 2000, you know, this is 2017, there are some that have had -- ones had four notice of decisions and others had two, others just haven't gotten out, several -- most of them were pulled into central office by the systems secretary in 2002, again in 2009, again in 2011.

And essentially they laid there on the desk of the assistant secretary for six years without action until 2008, when assistant secretary Carl Artman sent them back to the region. Of course they were pulled back to central before they went back to the region.

This is the kind of uncertainty that I see in the language in the proposed rule that says no, that there -- the 30-day stay, the decision would be after a 30-day stay, it wouldn't be decided any sooner that 30 days. You know, to me, that's the bottomless pit of central office that many tribes have experienced, where their applications go to central and rattle around somewhere.

And there is no way, despite numerous trips to DC to beg the assistant secretary or the acting assistant secretary to take an action yes or no, that there is the invitation for nothing to be done. That is extremely problematic. We've had for the proposed use some -- one -- only one with a commercial use for tenant occupancy. We've had EDA offer us \$2 million, that was ten years ago; we've had tenants fully subscribe the proposed facility for the last twelve years, and of course we keep telling them well, we're not quite sure when the

application would be approved, and therefore the project ready to go, and therefore it continues.

So, you know, we've lost touch with folks over these twelve years. People come and go, we've just stopped talking about it because we know that even when we get through IBIA, day comes certain we still have some applications to go to IBIA, some in IBIA, we know that federal district court looms after that. So if you're going to be helpful, is — helpful measures would be to reduce the timeline and reduce the uncertainty and make clear what the process is in this.

And my final comments are about the additional requirements. There's language about business plans and about use and about state and local government interests. Now, this is the rebalancing of tribal interests on the fulcrum of what state and local government interests are and what the implications are for state and local government that has then been -- that has been the character of the narrowed court decisions since 1959 and Williams E. Lee, which was really the high-water mark for tribal interest and has gone down since then.

And I'll quote Cafe Valley Coalition v.

Jewel, which was a ruling that came down this year.

And the court deemed that the tribe may acquire land in trust to expand its land base without being required to develop the land. Indeed, nothing requires the BIA to consider why the tribe needs the land in trust as opposed to in fee. I'm speaking to the need standard.

It's really problematic when we have language in there, even though it may not be dispositive, about need and about use, that when a decision approving -- a notice of decision approving an application goes to IBIA, the IBIA has demonstrated a great interest in remanding these -- these appeals back to the BIA to get a better expression of what it means and what these -- these interests are.

And every time you add interest from a state, from a third party, your state and local government or individual, they're going to take ever more precaution to make sure that those interests are finally balanced. And that comes at the cost of tribal interests. And so I really caution you in adding anymore material, anymore hooks, in these decisions for the IBIA to take an interest in.

Because the -- what it means is we may, in the end,

not get an unfavorable ruling, they may favor us, but it may be ten or twelve or 15 years later. And by that time, the economic opportunity, or even the housing opportunity or even the no change in use for agricultural, whatever purpose is -- it's not at all what we began after that time period. So we will submit our written comments to this in greater detail. So thank you.

MR. TAHSUDA: Thank you both.

MR. MEDIKUM: My name is Mr. Angel G.

Medikum (phonetic.) I'm trying -- I'm going to not

try and be a wind talker like we just experienced

recently. What I want to say is when is this

country going to recognize that this land is Indian

land and nobody else's land, and it owes Indians

more than anything. In fact, it owes so much that

it should stand behind the written documents that it

has, like the Bill of Rights and the Declaration of

Independence.

And when is it going to recognize that

Indians have rights even above every other immigrant
and even illegal immigrants on this land. Honestly.

You guys are just wasting paper up there. And
wasting everybody's time when you should be
recognizing that this land is not even your land,

it's Indian land, right? It's not even USA land. It's not anything that you guys represent out in Washington.

It's a joke what you people are doing in Washington. You're not doing your work. And if you were doing your work, you'd be enforcing what the justice system says, which is what, empowering all the Natives, giving them back their land, and, if anything, giving them more rights than they deserve right now. 'Cause you're insulting them with all this paperwork, insulting them with all your intelligence, insulting them with everything, honestly.

When is the US government going to work for American Indians, or Native Americans? When? You going to answer that question? I think now is the time. Instead of picking up a paycheck out there, hey, about time, put your ass to work out here, you know? Sickening. That's all I have to say. I don't want to be a wind talker.

question about the -- a detail in the regulations
that I thought was interesting. There's one
provision that asks that the tribes would submit an
analysis of whether the acquisition will facilitate

consolidation of the tribe's land holdings and 1 reduce checkerboard patterns of jurisdiction. And 2 3 this applies only to offers of acquisitions that are not contiguous. So I wonder how you would answer 5 that question in a way that would be satisfactory. 6 So anyway, that's my question. It just 7 seems like a tough one, right? If it only applies 8 to noncontiquous acquisitions, how do you deal with 9 this, you know, that it has to also consolidate land 10 and not contribute to checkerboard? 11 MR. TAHSUDA: Well, so that's a 12 consideration. That's a consideration, right, not a 13 mandated --14 SPEAKER: Right. I quess how would the 15 tribe even answer that question? 16 MR. TAHSUDA: So Paula has a thought. I'll take it, John. 17 PAULA: I think in 18 that case what we were looking for is to where 19 tribes have checkerboard reservations, if you're 20 purchasing land next to one of your parcels, it 21 doesn't -- you're not taking it -- so you have to 22 look at it as a checkerboard, and you want to increase the land holdings that you already have, is 23 24 what we're asking for. So you're not creating more 25 checkerboard, but you're adding to the existing base

1 that you have, even though you're not consolidating 2 everything, but you are next to or contiguous to a 3 parcel that you already own. So I think that's what we're looking for. 5 SPEAKER: And this is outside of a 6 reservation? 7 PAULA: Yes, yes. 8 MR. TAHSUDA: There are some situations I 9 think where the reservation has been shrunk, dis-10 established, right? The size of it, anyways. But 11 there are parcels that are -- that are down outside 12 of the reservation, were part of the original 13 reservation, right, in that? So that a positive 14 consideration is consolidating those parcels 15 together, I think. I think that's what we're 16 getting at. Does that make sense? 17 SPEAKER: I still think it's going to be a very awkward question to try to answer in most 18 19 cases. 20 MR. TAHSUDA: Well taken. 21 The solicitor's opinion, if I SPEAKER: 22 may, in the Meals case, that discusses what the word 23 consolidation of tribal land holdings means in the 24 context of Michigan Lands Settlement Act. 25 suppose this would have to be consistent with that

opinion.

MR. FRANK: Good morning, my name's Willie Frank, III. I'm a Nisqually tribal council member from the state of Washington. And the Nisqually tribe has concerns with your proposal here today. In 1917, Ford Lewis came and condemned two-thirds of our reservation. And the military base this year wanted to celebrate our hundred-year partnership, they called it. And for us that wasn't a partnership. You know, my grandfather always said we lived in paradise as Indian people. You know, so for us, we can't go and evict the military base right there.

So for us, it is valuable to find property off reservation and be able to put it into trust for future economic development for housing. We have all kinds of issues around the reservation. We're trying to buy upland around the Nisqually river. We're traveling 80 miles from Mount Rainier to the Puget Sound. And we want to continue to move forward, and it's unfortunate that we are buying back our own land. But the Nisqually tribe definitely has concerns. And we will submit our comments. Hopefully it won't be by December 15th; hopefully you will give us an extension on that, because

1 that's a very short period of time. Thank you.

SPEAKER: Thank you.

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 MR. BROWNEAGLE: That it? Okay. First off, I want to thank you for requesting an 5 invocation at the beginning of the meeting. was kind of unheard of when we're talking to 7 government officials. I think it's the first time I've ever seen it, so I commend you on that. So I 9 would like to -- oh, I also have to -- my relative 10 back here, I'm half Spokane on my mother's side and 11 half HoChunk on my father's side, so I'm back here 12 on my dad's land. So I'd like to request somebody from this area, if we're done, if we could have a 13 14 closing invocation.

MR. TAHSUDA: Sure. I would like to do that. I just wanted to offer a couple comments to close as well. So again, and in the spirit of having a dialogue and a discussion, this was not intending to be a formal consultation. I know that consultation can take many forms. But we do have the actual formal consultation scheduled. Appreciate the comments of folks who think we need to have more of them and in different places around the country. You know, we recommend you submit those formally as well with your other comments.

1 So let me just throw a couple things out there, and the next time we have this conversation, 2 3 we can, you know, can also pick up on these. there is not a hiatus in FETA trust applications. 5 What we're talking about is, you know, looking forward, is there a need to change to the 7 regulation. So things that are before us now will be handled as good or as bad, as they've been 9 handled all along. But -- so that process, you 10 know, goes -- goes forward. For those of you that 11 have FETA trust applications in process, those are 12 continuing to work their way through.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I want to go back and just reiterate some of the -- one of the central thoughts to this process, and hope to be part of the discussion, is the notion that we can better the process. And we can better the process for the tribes and for us. And so again, conceptually, I know maybe the devil's in the details, but, you know, we have a land into trust off-reservation process that has a lot of considerations that go into it, there's a lot of complications that come with it. And gaming, if that is going to be the use of the land, is a particular complication and brings other considerations in because it brings in a whole other

statute as well.

And so the Department has a lot of comments over the years from tribes who have a complaint really, a concern, when they're having a FETA trust application considered for gaming off-reservation, that they have to go through years of expense, time, and money, which everybody has agreed, I think today, is a valuable thing. And they get through that process because they have to expend a lot of money on FETA analysis and other things. And then only after they've done all that do they get the question answered oh, is this land actually going to be eligible for gaming if that's the intended purpose of it.

And so what we are considering is maybe we can answer that question up front, right? Would the land be eligible for gaming conceptually, right?

And if, in the view of the department -- legally, again, we have to follow the law, legally is the land eligible for gaming or not. And if it's not, maybe we've saved you a whole bunch of time and money and you can find another parcel that might fit the law and -- for gaming. Or you might find that there's another purpose, you know, for that parcel that would change the consideration. But at least

you haven't gone through that time and expense.

here. Is there a way we can do that to save you time and money. And also in the vein of trying to make the process better, you know, trying to clarify what our -- what our process is, what are the criteria and what are the considerations that go into that and what information do we need from you to be able to adequately meet our requirements under the law to consider those factors, make those considerations, and render a decision that is ultimately defensible at the end of the day.

We can both agree on it, but it's something that has to be defensible in court because -- particularly with gaming. We know off-reservation there is a high likelihood that somebody will challenge it. And we want to be sure that we have done the best job for you in getting that answer, that at the end of the day, you will get what you need to be able to conduct gaming on that parcel. And so that's sort of where this is -- the root of this and where we're coming from with this.

So I appreciate all the comments. And I know that every time people talk about a change in law or regulation, it causes consternation. It's a

1 change in the status quo and causes you to think. And so I very much appreciate that that is a factor 2 3 in your consideration and your thoughts. Just know that we're not looking to add an extra burden. 5 You know, at the end of the day we're hoping that we can relieve a burden, and 7 particularly relieve a costly burden to the tribes. So -- and then I just want to address this really So there is a timeframe involved in this. 9 10 And I know sometimes it seems like oh, it's a 11 foregone conclusion. That's a great comment, great 12 remark. But I say no, it's not a foregone 13 conclusion. We're going through this exercise, and I 14 15 think we're going into it in a pretty substantive 16 way, but we do have a timeline ourselves that we 17 have to try to meet, because at the end of the day, 18 promulgating the regulation or changing the 19 regulation takes time for it to go through the 20 formal process. And before we even get to that, 21 we're trying to provide our consultation process 22 with you as well. 23 So that's just a -- that's a chunk of 24 time, and we have to work backwards from that. And 25 so again, you know, certainly appreciate comments.

1 If you think we're moving too fast, that's something we will factor in, and also factor in comments that 2 3 you have regarding additional locations if those are needed. 5 And again -- so that's all I have to say, 6 I think. And do you guys have anything to add? 7 Paula, anything? George? Oh, you're not part of the team anymore. Sorry. So thank you very much. Now, would you be so kind as to provide us a closing 9 10 invocation, or if you know somebody --11 MR. BROWNEAGLE: Oh, he left. Again, I 12 believe all you know this, when you come into 13 somebody's homeland, you respect the home. And so 14 even though I'm HoChunk on my father's side, 15 somebody from this area wanting to close? 16 MS. LONETREE: I will. 17 MR. BROWNEAGLE: Okay, good. Thank you. 18 MS. LONETREE: Good afternoon, everyone. 19 My name is Kathleen Lonetree, White Rabbit. And you 20 are in our ancestral homelands for the HoChunk 21 nation. We represent over 7500 tribal members 22 worldwide. And one of the main reasons we wanted to 23 be the platinum sponsor for NCAI and their 74th 24 annual convention and marketplace is because this is

25

our territory.

And when you talk about sharing the lands with our neighbors, we had a chance at one time to open the casino here in Milwaukee, but our ancestors from the Winnebago, Wisconsin business committee stepped aside and gave that honor to our Forest County Potawatomi friends.

So I'm going to encourage you all to attend our welcome reception tonight at the Harley-Davidson museum; it's our great honor to host all of you here at our homelands. So with that, I want to say to our Creator above, mahuna (phonetic.) Please bless the proceedings of the National Congress of American Indians. There are tribal representatives here from probably over 567 nations federally recognized with their own languages, their own culture, and their own traditions.

And so we want to ask that you watch over and bless all of your people from sea to shining sea and from all the lands, from Alaska, to the contiguous United States, to our Hawaiian relatives, to all the indigenous peoples. And everyone has constituents and tribal members and family and friends that are in need of all the services and help, so please watch over all of the attendees, all the presenters, all of our tribal members that --

and family and friends that are here. 1 2 Let us all walk away with good feelings 3 from this 74th annual convention. Let us all make new friends. Let us all not harbor any bad feelings 5 towards one another. We -- I heard this morning from a Vietnam veteran saying that we shouldn't be doing side attacks to each other. So with that I want to say Creator, envelope us with all your love, your protection, your quidance, and help us to be 10 sacrificing and be good leaders like our ancestors 11 did for us. And with all these things, I ask, dear 12 Heavenly Father, in your son's name, Jesus Christ, 13 Amen. 14 (Proceedings concluded at 12:51 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

24	ANITA KORNBURGER-FOSS, RPR - Notary Public ANITA KORNBURGER-FOSS My commission expires May 24, 2021. State of Wisconsin
22	Inita Janburger 188
21	Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 29th day of October,
20	hand and affixed my seal of office at
19	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my
18	directly or indirectly in this action.
17	attorney or counsel, or financially interested
16	the parties, or a relative or employee of such
15	or employee or attorney or counsel of any of
14	I further certify that I am not a relative
13	p.m.
12	commencing at 9:12 a.m. and concluding at 12:51
11	Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on October 16, 2017,
LO	consultation was taken at 400 West Wisconsin,
9	I further certify that said tribal
8	personal direction.
7	recorded by me and reduced to writing under my
6	that the preceding tribal consultation was
5	for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and
3	I, ANITA KORNBURGER-FOSS, Registered
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)) ss. COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE)

1	CORRECTION SHEET
2	Transcript of: Meeting Date: 10/16/17
3	Regarding: Tribal Consultation
4	Transcriber: KORNBURGER-FOSS
5	
6	Please make all corrections, changes or clarifications
7	to your testimony on this sheet, showing page and line
8	number. If there are no changes, write "none" across
9	the page. Sign this sheet on the line provided.
LO	Page Line Reason for Change
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L 5	
L6	
L7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	Print Name
23	
24	Signature
25	



1	DECLARATION
2	Transcript of: Meeting Date: 10/16/17
3	Regarding: Tribal Consultation
4	Transcriber: KORNBURGER-FOSS
5	
6	
7	I declare under penalty of perjury the following to
8	be true:
9	
0	I have read the transcript and the same is true and
1	accurate save and except for any corrections as made
_2	by me on the Correction Page herein.
L3	
	Signed at,,
4	Signed at,, on the, day of, 2017.
L 4 L 5	
5	
.4 .5 .6	
L 4 L 5 L 6 L 7	
L4 L5 L6 L7	
14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 220	on the day of, 2017.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 L9	on the day of, 2017.



	Tivieeting October 16, 2017	ND1 Assyll# 24746-3	Page 154
\$	66:14	1971 96:21	27:16
\$1 33:17 34:1	67:9 69:3	1972 61:21	2701 61:9
\$10 70:24	120:7 121:6 133:9	61:25	28th 4:3
\$10,000 43:1	151.11 99:4	1997 61:25	
\$100,000 43:2	116:25	62:13 62:14	3
\$1000 42:25	151.11(a)(1	1999 34:21	3,000 69:24
\$2 135:22	61:4	64:10	30 28:7
\$20 25:24	151.11(b 99:6	19th 55:17	52:2 52:4 52:7
	151.12 116:21		62 : 17
\$27 14:5	117:4	2.5 116:16	63:2
\$3,000 32:23	117:24		80:24
\$30 62:14	151.3 61:3	20 27:24 36:4 60:8	127:20
\$50 34:4	151-11 47:16	106:5 134:9	135:11
34:12	151-12 47:17	200 26:1	30-day 48:16 51:23
\$65 62:18	15th 54:3	30:10	48:16 51:23 61:15
	86:18	2000 132:1	68:8
$\frac{1}{1,850}$ 55:20	142:24	134:20	71:12 71:13
	16 2:3	2002 134:24	117:5
1:30 127:22	162 28:17	2007 27:17	117:16 117:19
100 42:25	18 133:25	62:13	132:10
59:14 84:7		2008 135:3	135:9
101 15:9	1800s 11:22	2009 134:25	135:10
12:51 150:14	1830s 30:17	2010 131:18	30th 45:7
1200-slip	1855 103:4	2010 131.18 2011 134:25	31st 52:5
61:23 62:2	1859 69:24		35 28:7 28:8
128,000 55:16	71:3 103:4	2017 2:3 134:20	36 133:25
13 68:17	1887 59:11	202 15:9	
134 129:6	60:11	26:25	4
140 3:18	1917 142:6	21st 88:5	40 90:16
14C 96:20	1934 56:19	218 C 88:3 228 99:20	90:17 116:4 116:4
15 106:1	59:5 59:11 59:18		
106:5 134:9	76:9 120:18	229 96:2	40s 39:14
138:2	1952 103:20	25 3:18 60:25	43,000 74:5
151 56:25	1957 3:19	61:3 61:8 62:3 132:20	48 100:2
60:25 66:7	1959 136:21	25-megawatt	4th 70:4



I ribai Consultation	Neeting October 16, 2017	ND1 Assgn # 24748-3	Page 158
	9:13 2:4	81:12	acquiring
<u>5</u>	90 33:1 33:2	absconded	52 : 3
5 66:5	90s 89:15	63:18	79:24 132:4
50 83:1		absolutely	acquisition
83:1 88:3	95 71:11	71:18 72:18	60 : 25
500 42:24	99 24:3 84:7	93:23	61:2 61:6
50s 39:14		abuse 33:3	61:7
51 26:25	A 0 1.6	98:12	68:10 70:14 71:21 78:24
51.11 116:16	a.m 2:4 2:16	abutting	79:7
	Aaron 8:12	74 : 22	99:15
51.12 116:16	11:6 72:22	acceptable	100:25
54 129:7	ability	76:19	116:17
567 149:14	6:17	77:2 77:3	117:21
57 11:19	18:12	accepting	118:13
2 · ±±•±5	19:5 19:9	130:14	118:20
6	61:19 71:4	access 37:1	132:4 132:17
60 3:22 86:22	74:12 78:17	67:16 75:16	132:17
60s 39:14	88:1	75:19	
	able 12:15		acquisitions 48:2 48:3
65 28:11	16:11 19:24	accessible 96:13	48:2 48:3 70:4
7	20:1 20:4	104:15	74:25
70 14:10	21:15 22:16	accident 16:6	75:7 75:8
	32:23		98:18 98:25
70s 114:2	39:1	accomplish	99:1 116:23
74th 148:23	48:13 50:25	23:18	117:2 140:3
150:3	62:25 64:18	accomplished	140:8
7500 148:21	77:22 80:15 90:24	83 : 5	<pre>acreage 80:23</pre>
	109:18	account 99:5	acres 14:10
8	109:21	accountable	55:17 55:20
80 18:10 33:1	109:24	38:20	59:14
33:2	109:24	acknowledged	60:9
60:10 142:19	110:1	105:6	69:24 96:18
	111:17	acquire 51:25	116:4 116:4 129:7 129:7
85 60:12	121:20	59:20	129:7 129:7
87 59:11	142:15 146:9	74:1	
88 129:10	146:20	98:16 137:2	across 26:12 57:21
		acquired 61:1	58:4
9	aboriginal 66:9 81:4	96:24 99:12	61:16 63:4
	00:9 01:4		



72:12 74:10	actual	43:19 67:6	46:5 58:1
78:14	11:10 49:24	address 10:16	73:19
act 11:2 11:2	68:10 95:11	45:9	78 : 7
11:15 11:18	143:21	47 : 20	78:19 79:18
13:24 21:18	actually 24:6	77:8 93:4	79:22 80:18
29:3	43:11 62:23	93:5 93:6	83:6 83:7
29:16	132:18	94:20 95:19	87:12 116:7
59:5	145:13	95:22	administrativ
59:18	add 23:19	98:4 147:8	e 38:8
60:4	56:13 71:20	addressed	admiration
60:21	113:21	92:22 92:24	114:4
61:3 61:8	137:17	93:11 93:23	adopt 64:5
61:14 63:20	147:4 148:6	94:3	67:7
65:12	added 69:21	94:19 94:20	
74:4 76:9	84:25	94:25	adopted 68:17
79:1 80:4	110:18	addresses	adopting
80:22 82:16 96:21	110:18	75 : 25	64 : 12
97:2 97:3	adding 137:23	adequate 33:7	advance
99:8 102:22	140:25	73:10 74:24	3:21 6:5
122:15	addition	78 : 2	advanced
141:24	51:15 66:14	adequately	49:14
acting 2:8	96:25	47:21	advancing
135:17	116:24	77:8 77:9	114:12
action 2:21	118:11	91:23 94:17	
59:3 132:15	additional	99:4 146:9	advantage
133:21		adhere 41:15	30:4 67:21
133:21	3:9 4:5 29:5 29:6		adversely
134:4 135:3	29:5 29:6	adjacent	64:20 97:15
135:17	48:5	84:23	advised 90:4
actions	50:18	administratio	advisors 90:4
6:22 134:13	68:5	n 4:14 4:16	advocate
actively	71:18 71:20	4:17 4:23	75:25 114:6
104:2	76:12	5:13 8:22	114:7
	117:10	8:22 11.17	114:18
activities	118:4 118:4	11:17	
25:6	118:22	23:7 29:12	advocating 32:7
activity 12:2	119:2 119:9	32:9	
12:2 12:7	127:25	32:10	affairs
24:20	136:14	35:4 35:5	2:20 2:22
25:1 25:9	148:3	36:14 36:20	2:24 14:8
25:16	Additionally		64:5



67 : 12	132:14	43:23	78:11
88:2	133:21	airspace	allotment
96:10 102:1	133:23	31:11	59 : 9
114:5	agenda 12:5	Akiak 96:2	60:10
affect	82:18 83:13		65:5 97:3
64:20	114:13	Alaska 96:2	allotments
67 : 2	agents 89:13	97:7 97:9 97:14 97:18	59:24 97:8
71:23 96:16	aggressively	97:14 97:16	allotted
affected	65:24	98:5	14:11
66:24 67:10	aging 109:25	98:15 98:20	60:5 60:13
67:24		99:19 100:3	allottee
Affiliated	ago 19:24	100:5	59 : 25
33:14 33:16	63:19	100:20	
33:25	88:3	100:25	allow 63:3 78:16
affiliation	106:6 116:4	131:10	
3:1 8:10	129:8 131:21	149:19	103:15
affirmed	135:22	Alaskan 96:17	allowed 62:17
29:14 29:17		96:20 96:22	66:17
	agreed	96 : 25	<pre>allowing 6:21</pre>
affirming 28:20	92:25 145:8	97:1 97:2	78:2 96:9
	agreement	97:2	all-staff
afford 40:10	20:2	97:11 99:4	128:15
afforded	26:13 92:22	Albuquerque	allude 132:5
97:11	agreements	13 : 22	alone
afternoon	53:20 72:2	alcoholism	100:18
69:6 105:11	agricultural	33 : 2	125:24
130:1	138:5	alienated	
148:18	ahead 13:15	97 : 4	already 11:13
against 23:10	122:3	alienation	17:7 56:9
29:19 57:23	Aho 31:15	96:8	61:13 75:17 82:25
70:25	108:18		85:6 87:9
76:5	113:23	Allen 10:23	90:7
105:7		10:23 23:19	119:4
117:16	ahold 44:21	23:20 82:11 82:12 83:12	122:13
118:17	aimed 95:21	90:3	124:13
age 77:5	aiming 48:24		126:7
agencies	ain't 88:15	Allen's 20:17	130:16
23:14 23:17		allocate	140:23
62:2 122:10	air 31:10 31:11 31:11	96:14	141:3
agency 62:8	43:21 43:22	allocated	alternatives



	· · ·	 	
70:12	69:2	141:18	140:6
am 17:22	76:15 76:17	145:16	anyways
19:13	76:18 118:4	146:19	16:8 141:10
55:1 59:1	118:5	answered	anywhere
69:7 89:24	118:12	145:12	46:11 69:19
amazing 77:4	118:19	answers 92:23	133:24
amazingly	118:22 139:25	107:21	
90:20	139:25	107:23	Apache 55:9
		anticipate	apologies
ambassador	analyze 78:3	28:1	15 : 25
69:9	ancestors		apologize
Amen 150:13	149:3	anticipated	55 : 4
amendment	150:10	99:14	89:23 89:24
68:18	ancestral	anti-Indian	appeal 52:2
amendments	148:20	87 : 4	132:15
36:17	anchor 61:24	antiquated	132:21
69:3 116:16		41:18 41:23	132:23
116:21	ancient 16:23	anti-tribal	132:24
116:25	and/or 79:7	101:11	133:1
	anecdotal		133:14
America	7:17	anybody 22:22	134:1
70:1 73:20 78:21	anecdotally	33:12 33:13 64:14 84:20	134:18
100:22	95:10	89:17	appealed 62:7
116:8 116:9		115:24	62 : 9
125:19	Angel 138:10		62:10 62:11
	animals 31:4	Anyhow 90:5	appeals
American 31:19 58:11	animations	anymore 88:15	137:14
77:3 125:19	7:11	106:17	appear 74:23
139:15	announcing	137:23	
149:13	4:4	137:23	appears
		148:8	130:13
Americans	annual 7:22	anyone 28:16	130:15 130:16
44:20 63:4 139:15	30:2 36:6 148:24	anything 16:8	
	150:3	33:18 33:19	Appel 2:6
America's		34:10 94:11	2:19 8:9
44:8 98:3	ANPR 6:15	138:16	10:14 13:13
amongst 43:16	answer 102:15	139:2 139:9	22:22
amount 69:13	106:23	148:6 148:7	39:4 42:5 45:5
analysis 50:1	139:16	anytime 26:11	
60:23	140:4	anyway 121:14	<pre>apple 63:6</pre>
00.25	140:15	anyway 121.14	applicant
L	1		



	Tiviceting October 10, 2017		1 agc 100
49:3	111:18	3:7 25:16	74:11 94:10
49:14	116:19	54:10	101:12
50:3	128:1 128:7	68:1 94:20	104:23
67:16 67:20	128:17	appropriatene	105:15
applicants	129:4	ss 85:23	109:15
66:9	131:14		109:20
	132:1 132:7	appropriation	111:4
application	134:9	s 29:25	143:13
48:11	134:19	30:3	148:15
49:5	135:13	approval	areas 15:11
49:17 49:19	136:7 144:4	47:25 86:12	25:5 95:6
50:5	144:11	93:3	108:2
50:11 51:14	applied 70:20		121:22
52:19		approve 52:18	
53:1	applies 140:3	78:8 81:10	arena 22:1
53:12 53:25	140:7	approved	22:12
64:20 66:18	apply 50:11	14:13 14:17	aren't 80:15
68:3	50:18 61:5	15 : 23	argue 65:25
71:20 75:18	appreciate	62:8	_
76:13	8:13 8:17	62:10 62:11	argued 125:4
92:1	9:6 9:14	62:12 62:14	argument
102:9	13:23 16:18	128:7	133:3
102:14	31:14 45:14	129:12	arguments
110:19	65:1	136:1	66:3
119:1 120:8	68:25 73:21	approving	
120:11	73:21 113:8	52:25	Arizona
120:14	113:9 124:5	64:7 137:11	55:2 57:1
131:17	143:21	137:11	58 : 12
136:1	146:23		115:16
137:12	147:2	approximately	115:19
145:5	147:25	109:19	Artman 135:4
applications		arbitrarily	aside 37:16
49:3	appreciates	68 : 20	149:5
50:12 50:19	77:19	archaic 32:11	
53:3	appreciative		ass 139:18
53:13 53:15	57:19	area 14:4	assert 61:6
64:8 67:9	apprehensive	14:22	assertion
77:8	31:9	15:3 15:7	29:9
81:11 83:16		19:17 25:4 62:7	
106:1 106:7	approach 9:11	64:2 64:2	assess 44:19
109:16	9:12 117:21	64:2 64:2	assessed
110:2	123:18	64:3 66:12 66:25	27:21 28:3
110:16	appropriate	67:4	assesses 27:8
		U / • '	



27:15	149:24	28:24 29:17	balanced
assignment	attention	67:14	137 : 21
59:21	125:15	avocados	band 27:14
assist 69:4	attorney 23:1	42:24	banks 26:9
72:8	64:15	await 69:5	26:18
97:22 111:2	attorneys	aware 56:25	barriers
assistance	12:22 60:24	96 : 5	78 : 23
95:18	128:9 128:9	away 17:14	base 12:11
assistant 2:8	audience	23:25 56:21	12:16 16:21
2:21 2:23	105:18	60:12 60:14	24:13 24:15
3:12	auntie	122:11	30:15 34:20
63:10	130:8	123:1	34 : 24
66:6 67:7	130:23	123:16	70:2 74:8
68:9	aunties 114:3	124:2 150:2	74:14
68:25 133:5	114:11	awkward	83 : 2
135:2 135:3		141:18	84:21
135:16	authorities		96:8
135:17	38:8	В	97:20 97:21
Assisting	authority	background	97:23 98:16
38:1	11:7 18:1	3:9 3:16	110:1 111:2
Association	20:11 23:25	105:22	137:3 140:25
29:4	24:15	backtrack	140:23
assuming 50:8	26:3	78 : 8	142:12
	39:17	backward	
assumption	61:8 63:19	16:16	based 11:12
74:24	65:2		23:24 27:6
assuring	65:11 65:13	backwards	54:11 78:16
89:13	65:20 65:22	114:17	84:21
ASUV 58:12	83:3	128:6 147:24	129:13
attacks 43:17	87 : 25		basic 18:5
150:7	88:4 88:6	bad 66:21 82:14	48:23 49:11
attainment	90:12 96:10	83:7	64:17 73:24
73:17	107:16	91:19 91:20	97 : 10
attempts 7:12	120:24	101:10	basically
_	authorized	144:8 150:4	4:25
attend 149:8	59:19 61:3	badly 44:25	93:20
attended	auto 14:12	_	109:22
13:21 16:23	14:14 14:21	bag 44:11	120:3 120:4
attendees	available	balance	120:25
		29:7 133:13	122:1 122:3



October 16, 2017

basis 6:7	138:17	134:13	86:22
29:15	beings 41:4	benefits	BIA 23:14
36:6 49:20	41:14	50 : 23	62 : 1
bat 17:20	belief 72:5	51 : 4	67:15 110:5
battle 41:16	126:14	71:24	111:23
	believe 14:13	72:4 72:6	128:16
Bay 109:6	21:1	73:7 99:14	137:5
bears 64:25	26:16	benefitting	137:14
beautiful	27:9	38:5	biased 133:18
34:6	28:14	best 5:8 5:17	bigger 22:9
103:5	29:8	5 : 18 5 : 19	42:15 46:17
126:12	29:11 30:25	32:6 57:4	biggest
126:19	40:12 41:11	74:12 77:24	42:9
became 55:8	41:25 50:20	77:25 93:13	42:16
125:22	60:18 61:12	96:14 100:8	115:17
125:25	67:20 68:21	100:10	
become	82 : 1	100:12	Bill 138:18
79:20 79:23	114:8 114:9	100:15	billion 25:24
80:1 87:6	114:15	105:10	26:1
becomes 55:22	115:15	112:24	33:17 33:19
76:19 77:10	148:12	113:2	34:1 70:24
	believes 81:9	121:16	bin 42:23
becoming 40:4	belittling	125:8	42 : 25
40:18	64:14	130:10 146:18	bind 20:3
beg 135:16	belonging		bins 43:1
begin 49:6	60:11	better 5:22	
100:25		5:23 5:24	bit 2:11 8:15
118:1	belongs 99:19	5:25 5:25	8:21 16:6
beginning	beloved 58:13	6:23 12:25	17:7
16:12 45:14	beneficial	12:25 22:7	19:15 45:12
52:8 143:5	45:22	25:23 46:22	47:10 47:19 50:2
	117:18	87:2	69:22
begun 27:17	beneficiary	87:16 92:10	91:7 102:24
behalf	93:21	96:14	119:18
22:15 34:15		137:14	
78:6	benefit	144:16	bite 63:6
86:16	68:6 86:5	144:17	Black 14:8
102:15	117:25 118:12	146:5	15 : 21
102:20	118:12	beyond	bless 149:12
behind 45:12	130:21	21:20 21:25	



October 16, 2017

149:18	brand-new	Browneagle	120:22
	40:7 40:19	26:5 26:6	
block 3:4		39:8 39:8	bureaucracy
blood 127:4	breached 6:13	39:10 123:5	79:21
127:5	break 38:18	123:5 143:3	134:12
boats 5:13	114:25	148:11	bureaucratic
boggles 82:20	115:4	148:17	79:23
	breaks 28:6	bucks 44:11	bureaucrats
boilerplate 112:22	breathe 31:11	buddy 88:12	123:11
112:22	Brian 31:16	_	business
	58:9	budget 36:19	12:14 12:16
border 25:9	83:18 85:25	build 61:22	14:3 18:2
borders 55:14	B-R-I-A-N	62 : 4	28:17 28:25
boss 58:18	31:17	building 53:7	66:4 87:2
112:12		65 : 7	89:10
bothered	brief 50:16	65:16	136:15 149:4
88:19	123:8	110:25	
Bottom 24:3	bring 29:22	built 74:23	businesses
	39:11 43:12	bunch 145:21	10:2 10:11
bottomless 85:3 135:12	56:1 95:19 129:2	burden 4:23	14:2 18:3
		56:8	18:6 26:11
bought 104:24	bringing	56:11 56:12	buy 40:6 40:7
115:19	13:24 15:13	58:23 88:16	40:18
115:20 115:22	103:20	88:16 107:5	86:7 121:22
115:22	brings 111:22	108:8 108:8	142:18
115:23	144:24	117:10	
115:25	144:25	128:11	buying 85:6
115:25	broad 37:3	147:4 147:6	122:1
bounced 7:13	70:16 76:25	147:7	142:21
	broadband	burdens 38:16	
boundaries	44:18 44:18	58:2 59:3	Cafe 136:25
99:9 99:9 99:23	broader 22:21	burden's	
	35:20 46:6	107:6	calculation 27:4
box 124:8	broken-down	burdensome	
130:19	40:11	56:9 80:2	California
boy 39:21	brought	Bureau	115:20
124:19	14:1 20:4	14:14 51:24	131:12 133:19
125:14	110:10	64:5	
bracker 22:10	128:23	67 : 12	Campo 27:14
bracket 29:20	129:3	120:22	27:18 27:25



	1	1	. 490 . 64
capability	78:1	CDI 36:20	61:3 111:16
104:9	105:5	36:22 36:24	132:20
capacity	107:24	celebrate	chair 17:19
104:13	130:20	142:8	26:6 39:9
capital	133:12	cell 123:14	39:9
7:22	140:18	123:15	chairman 9:17
27:23 36:25	141:22	123:13	10:24 31:18
37:2	cases 6:13	124:2	46:8 55:1
	6:25 75:1	128:15	58:9
car 31:8 40:7	91:20		63:12
40:10 40:12	141:19	centers	69 : 8
40:19	casino	33:7	82:12 90:17
care 31:3	63:25 64:22	75:25 95:22	94:5
31:3 31:4	94:11 149:3	central	101:6
34:3	casinos 19:20	37:6 55:2	116:12
73:10 74:17	89:6	74:13	130:2 131:6
74:18	93:20 94:6	103:10	chairperson
83:9		131:9	8:11 72:23
83:10 83:12	catch 46:19	131:10	
83:12 89:19	caught	131:16	chairwoman
112:6	45:23 84:18	134:23	19:13
124:13	cause 9:8	135:5	105:12
career 114:4	24:7	135:12	challenge
careful 17:10	24:16	135:14	62:17 68:14
102:4	26:8	144:14	90:15 92:20
	31:10 38:24	cents 122:4	115:17
cares 114:12	41:10 62:21	Century 55:17	146:17
Carl 21:6	91:6	88:5	challenged
21:6 135:4	108:1	CEO 131:11	34:23
Carr 35:12	113:19		challenges
35:12	122:25	certain	21:24 22:19
carry 9:7	125:1	6:25 73:4	37:1 39:1
80:16	125:14	120:9	89:20
	129:5	120:10	
carrying 21:7	139:10	136:6	chance
cars 40:11	caused 59:8	certainly	81:16 132:23
carscierie	causes 146:25	9:12	149:2
50:1	147:1	45:15 56:25	
		57 : 18	change
cartels 89:4	caution	147:25	42:14 44:14
case 8:1	107:11	CFR 3:18	46:20 48:10
65 : 23	137:22	60:25	53:12 68:11
	1	I .	



Tribal Consultatio
68:17 81:13 98:13 98:15 117:7 128:3 131:15 138:4 144:6 145:25 146:24 147:1
changed 32:16
changes 21:12 47:6 47:11 79:6 79:11 117:1 117:4 117:24 118:3 118:5 118:11 118:19 119:3 130:4 134:14
changing 52:4
chapter 101:1
character 136:21
Charlie 95:15
charter 10:1
chartered 10:12 18:18
check 3:6 41:20 130:18
checked 124:8
checkerboard 118:21 140:2 140:10

140:19

on Meeting October 16, 2017
140:22 140:25
checkerboard-
type 74:21
cherry-pick
78:9
children
127:2 127:5
childs 33:15
Chippewa 8:13
63:12 72:24
choice 100:6 100:9
100:17
choir 32:18
33:9 59:4
Christ 150:12
Christmas
86:19 86:19 86:22
Christmastime
121:19
chuckle 32:13
chunk 147:23
circumstances
52:18 56:16
56:22 57:2
cities 34:9
109:6 121:7 121:11
citizens 10:9
38:6
citrus
42:16 42:18
city 41:8
57:2 63:21 77:1
00.21 //.1

NDT Assgn # 24748-3	Page 16
Cladoosby	25:20
31:16 31:17	55:3 107:13
31:24	136:11
32:1	clearer
37 : 13	133:10
58:9 58:9	clearly 56:19
C-L-A-D-O-O-	65:13
S-B-Y 31:17	Cleveland
claim 68:17	108:18
68:19 70:24	108:21
claiming 71:1	close 45:9
claims 71:4	47:3 47:7
96:21	54:15 66:12
	70:10
clarification	143:17
68:5	148:15
clarification	closely 13:8
s 3:25	closing
clarified	35:1 143:14
6:17 9:14	148:9
<pre>clarify 11:10</pre>	Coalition
52:12 52:21	136:25
146:5	
clarifying	coast 44:5
11:2	44:5 44:6 44:10 57:22
clarity	111:9
7:20 18:17	Cochran
Clarke	121:20
115:1 115:5	
115:7 115:8	cold 55:3
Clarkson 3:12	Collaborative
7:10 45:17	2:20
class 39:16	colleagues
	89:12
clause 53:17	90:6 108:2
clear 10:17	collect 19:5
10:18 11:11 11:24 12:19	collected
21:11 22:3	27 : 22
21.11 22.0	collectively



130:11	37:13 37:25	commerce 2:15	109:20
college	38:3 39:6	6:18	community
5:20 39:17	42:5	42:18	13:19
Columbia	63:14 83:23	44:1	14:1 14:3
103:6	85:13	44:17 44:24	14:3 14:6
103:11	86:1 87:6	45 : 2	14:19
103:11	88:20	commercial	15 : 8
104:2 104:5	102:17	36:22 65:24	15:10 15:11
104:2 104.5	127:25	135:21	15:15 15:16
104:11	147:11	commissioners	15 : 22
	commentary	101:24	19:1
comes 35:23	72 : 16		19:19
70:7	comments 3:23	commitment	23:2 41:5
79:24	3:23 6:15	17:1 84:5	51:2 51:4
80:2 134:11	8:6 29:2	committed	51:5 70:1
136:6	29:3 39:5	70 : 16	72:4 76:1
137:21	42:10	committee	82:4 86:6
comfortable	45:6		88:23 92:19
7:18	45:11 45:18	101:25	92:21 98:25
coming	46:8 54:3	114:6 149:4	99:20 100:6
14:19	54:10 54:11	common 6:12	118:13
	68:11 82:21	25:12 121:9	118:17
15:3 16:3	86:15	121:14	131:20
22:14 34:17	92:3 92:4	communication	131:23
36:1 43:20 44:20	95:1	s 67:12	community's
45:10	95:11 101:4		96 : 15
55:5	101:5 112:8	communities	
71:16	113:22	5:12 13:4	companies
77:5 80:6	114:2	19:7 24:1	18:19
88:7 88:8	114:24	24:6 33:3 38:5	company 64:23
94:3	130:7 131:5	40:21	compared 36:2
94:10	131:13	51:1 58:5	_
95:7	136:13	66:20 66:23	compass 41:15
104:5 106:3	138:7	72:1 72:8	competency
122:5 122:5	142:23	72:1 72:0	83:21
126:10	143:16	72:3	competition
146:22	143:22	92:14 92:19	14:20 19:21
commend 143:8	143:25	93:12	
	145:3	98:3	competitively 29:9
comment	146:23	100:9 104:4	
21:5	147:25	106:7 109:3	compile 54:9
22:23 35:14	148:2	109:17	complaint
36:21 37:10			



1 4 5 4		14D1 A339H# 24740-3	1 agc 100
145:4	14:8	conditions	consider
complete	14:15 69:12	33:8	48:14
100:24	70:18	conduct	51:7
completed	71:7 105:21	146:20	51:15 51:21
114:14	106:21	Confederate	56:17 56:18
131:16	111:15	130:2	57 : 15
	114:11		63 : 7
completion	145:4	confiscated	91:23
117:22	concerned	42:22	99:7
complex 37:12	25:19	conflict 25:3	128:4
38:19	29:2 79:9	25 : 7	129:21
107:20	79:10	25:12 85:16	130:6 137:5
complication	102:16	conflicts	146:10
144:24	119:2 133:5	76:23	considerate
	concerning		108:9
complications	61:15	Congress 13:9	consideration
144:22	116:14	22:3 22:4	24:24 25:15
comply 20:4	117:2	31:19 58:11	48:13
49:17 57:15		61:3	99:3
complying	concerns	69:10	119:1 131:2
47:22	99:17 111:6	76:8	140:12
	112:18	80:22	140:12
components	113:6 142:5	88:8	141:14
87:12	142:23	114:1	145:25
compounded	concert 94:11	129:12	147:3
6:14	conclude	129:17	
comprehensive	76:18	149:12	consideration
37:4	100:10	congressional	s 48:5
48:13 96:9	100:12	38:9	52:23 53:10
	concluded	connecting	60:20 70:21
comprehensive	150:14	126:21	77:15
ly 36:16			93:9 144:21
37:9	conclusion	connection	144:25
compromise	78:9	66:10	146:7
114:13	78:19 100:5	consent 97:5	146:11
concept	130:15	consequences	considered
92:6	147:11	91:19	47:20
106:2 146:2	147:13		63:1
	Concordia	conservation	91:22 99:10
conceptually	63:22	37:6 85:8	108:7
92:6 144:18	condemned	conservative	116:20
145:17	142:6	58:24 79:23	145:5
concern	142.0		





Tribal Consultation	Tweeting October 16, 2017	NDT ASSYIT# 24746-3	Page 100
conveyance	49:22	42:19	couple 9:3
132:3	50:3 117:22	43:8	11:3 35:3
132:14	147:7	46:22 57:21	91:5 114:23
133:1	costs 118:4	57 : 22	143:16
conveyances	119:4	58:5	144:1
133:16		58:19 72:12	course 37:6
134:3	Cott 22:3	78:14	38:16
	22:10	83:8 84:5	55:5
conveyed	council 17:23	86:24	55:12 55:14
131:24	42:8 53:7	87:1 90:9	135:4
convinced	96:1	95:2 97:8	135:24
118:24	131:9	98:12	
Coo 17:21	131:10	112:23	court 2:24
-	131:16	113:2	19:3
cooperation	142:3	113:13	48:20
117:9	counselor	114:3 114:7	52:6
cooperative	2:23	138:14	52:10 52:13
50:24 53:19		143:23	57:5
71:25	counter 74:2	country's	62:16
copies	counties 25:7	5:24 7:5	71:5 71:5
67:11 72:2	34:9	12:21	91:20
	81:24		119:16
core 62:1	86:9	county 9:18	131:20
corporate	121:7	9:20 23:2	131:24
97:13	121:10	25:8	131:24
100:11	country	26:25	134:4 136:8
corporation	5:11 5:23	27:8 27:14 27:19	136:21
9:21 12:14	6:16 9:10	27:14 27:19 27:22	137:2
	9:13	27:21 27:22 27:25	146:14
corporations	17:17 17:17	28:3	courts 34:14
26:17 96:23	21:15	28:13	covered 55:16
97:12 97:13	22:5	41:2 62:5	61 : 13
correct	22:21	62:9	Craig 131:22
35:7 38:4	26:1	62:10 62:11	_
107:14	32:19 32:21	62:16 62:23	cramming 2:11
corridor 14:4	33:16	62:24 63:12	Crawford 23:1
	34:7	64:8	23:1
cost 44:7	34:18 35:10	71:15	crazy 41:13
128:4 128:4	35:25	77:13	84:14
128:8	36:6	85:14 85:15	
128:18	36:25 37:17	101:24	create 13:4
137:21	37:19 37:23	149:6	13 : 4
costly 49:2	40:3	± 10 • 0	34:10 34:24
	i l		



Tribal Consultation
56:3 57:14 61:21 65:5
68:13 76:7 77:13 78:23 79:4 104:4 118:22
groated
34:6 59:6 74:21 80:22 80:24
creates 34:11 79:3 117:10 117:14
creating
47:24 56:11 76:5 104:3 140:24
creator
31:1 149:11 150:8
credibility
67:22
credit 24:5
creek 126:2
crime 89:3
93:16 93:19 94:5
crisis 20:8
95:20 98:5
criteria 51:10 51:13 51:19 51:20 52:20 52:25 53:2
56:16 56:22

93:4

	NDT Assgn # 24748-3
98:19 99:22 100:1 146:7	daminda 16:24
	dammed 126:25
cultural 59:7	Damon 115:8
79:13 81:5	Danota 129:6
culture 85:7	data 35:23
96:16	102:10
149:16	date 14:16
cumbersome	54:14
10:6 56:4	80:8 119:16
current	dates 54:9
3:17 6:24	57 : 18
8:22	Dave 26:5
51:23	39:8
58:1	39:10 123:5
60:24	Davidson
66:2 66:13	149:9
78:7	Dawes 122:15
83:16	day 24:24
88:7 104:13	31:6
currently	38 : 25
13:1	41:7 52:3
18:20 48:25	52:5 63:3
51:9 52:9	71:4 71:6
69:8	77:4
75:18 97:9	92:16
custom 103:15	102:20 128:7 136:6
cut 45:3 45:3	146:12
cutting 43:16	146:19
10.10	147:5
	147:17
dab 23:16	days 52:4
dad's 143:12	52 : 7
dalport	86:18 86:22
104:23	101:21 135:11
dam 103:19	
103:22	DC 62:11 87:17 87:17
	0/.1/0/:1/

daminda 16:24	89:17
dammed 126:25	110:17 122:9
Damon 115:8	135:16
Danota 129:6	de 79 : 18
data 35:23 102:10	deal 13:6 25:18 33:15
date 14:16 54:14 80:8 119:16	34:17 41:1 96:15
dates 54:9 57:18	108:15 126:7 140:8
Dave 26:5 39:8 39:10 123:5	dealers 14:18 14:23 14:24 15:2
Davidson	dealerships
149:9	14:21 14:21
Dawes 122:15 day 24:24 31:6	dealing 37:2 108:25 116:17 126:4
38:25	deals 48:7
41:7 52:3 52:5 63:3 71:4 71:6 77:4 92:16 102:20 128:7 136:6 146:12 146:19 147:5 147:17	dear 3:8 4:4 7:14 51:16 54:8 55:6 134:10 150:11 decades 101:23 deceased 59:25
days 52:4 52:7 86:18 86:22 101:21	December 3:20 9:2 54:3 86:18
135:11 DC 62:11 87:17 87:17 88:8	142:24 decide 100:7 100:15
텔. (800)528-331	2.5



damin 16:24

Tribal Consultation	Meeting October 16, 2017	NDT Ass
decided	defined 77:9	depar
135:10	definitely	2:7
decision	22:25 25:19	4:3
34:17 48:17	142:22	21:
51:25 52:11	definition	22:
62:8	94:12	22:
67:17 67:19	dehumanizatio	30: 52:
70:14 125:7	n 102:23	57 :
131:25		66:
135:9	delay 48:16	70:
137:11	51:23	70:
137:11	52:3 117:19	77:
146:11	117:21	77:
decision-	132:6 132:6	77:
making	132:9	78:
67:23 70:17	delayed 61:18	78:
decisions	delays	93:
68:15	77:14	116
134:21	79:4 117:17	117
136:21	118:23	118
137:24	119:4 134:8	118
Declaration	delegated	119
138:18	127:10	145
decline 59:6	deliberate	Depar
decreases	91:1	22:
30:2	deliberately	50:
	91:3	depe
deemed 117:12		2:1
137:2	deliberative	depe
defend 29:13	17:9 17:10	deptl
defending	deliver 78:21	_
30:2	Democrat 83:9	depu
defensible	demonstrate	dere
146:12	66:9 66:20	79:
146:14	demonstrated	desc
defer 58:11	137:13	57 :
deficiencies	demonstrates	dese
52:2	99:25	dese
		82:

1D1 /133911# 2+1+0-3	1 age 17
department	designated
2:7 3:21	81:2
4:3 14:5	desire 119:7
21:22	desk 112:13
22:8 22:20	135:2
30:4	desperately
52:20	98:2
57 : 6	despite
66:21	74:7
70:5	74 : 15
70:15 72:25	127:21
77:6	135:15
77:14 77:19 77:21	destroyed
78:1 78:3	61:18
78:22 81:10	detail
93:24	51:12 138:8
116:15	139:22
117:5 118:9	detailed 69:2
118:16 118:18	80:8
119:6 145:2	details
145:18	144:19
Department's	detect 78:7
22:11	determination
50:4 74:2	4:10
depending	58:20 92:13
2:13 130:24	96:7 117:7
depends 6:25	determination
depth 22:25	s 79:3 79:14
deputy 3:12	determine
deregulate	18:1
79:22 83:25	determined
described	54:15
57 : 4	determining
desert 60:9	7:24 132:25
deserve	deterrent
82:2 100:20	24:19
139:9	devalues



denials 79:5

defied 71:4

October 16, 2017

Tribai Coristitation	Tiviceting October 10, 2017	11D1 A33911# 24140-0	1 age 17 i
68:18	dictator	disapprove	distinguished
devastating	111:25	52 : 18	53:9
76:7	difference	disapproving	distraction
develop 5:8	17:12 25:11	53:1	24:18 24:19
10:10 137:4	different	disastrous	district
developed	17:14 42:20	59:8	134:4 136:8
9:21 14:11	53:2 70:6	discretion	disturbed
developer	85:17	59:19	88:23
27:11	112:18 121:22	discusses	divide 121:20
development	143:23	141:22	divides 123:2
3:13 5:14	difficult	discussing	Dixon 42:7
5:15 6:23	67:18 92:18	11:16	42:7
7:9 9:21	diminish	127 : 15	doable 44:14
10:22 15:7 18:8	107:15	discussion	
18:18 21:16	diminished	4:12	doables 44:16
23:5	75:4	45:12 45:23	doctor 13:22
23:13	diplomatic	46:2 46:16	document
34:7	101:17	47:4	67:14
35:21 36:15	direct 5:2	52:10 52:17	documentation
46:5	7:7 14:20	93:18	49:21 49:22
46:14 46:17 46:23	66:9	143:18	documents
48:3 53:4	direction	144:15	138:17
53:5 53:5	111:23	discussions	DOE 23:14
53:10 61:18	113:11	45:19	23:18
61:22 65:19	directions	dispositive	dog 57:4
65:25 85:9	16:22	137:10	DOI 18:14
97:24 97:25	directly	dispute	60:22
105:2	78:24 79:8	132:16	61:7
118:14	director 14:8	disrespect	61:11 62:10
142:16	62:9 105:22	82:22 82:23	DOI's 60:24
developments	133:22	distance 66:1	DOJ 34:15
37:3 53:22	directors	99:9	dollar 44:22
devil's	67 : 2	99:11 99:23	dollars 15:14
144:18	dirty 65:9	distinction	64:1
dialogue	dis 141:9	53:8	domain 97:16
77:23	disadvantaged	distinguish	domestic
143:18	99:21	48:1	37:17



76.1 00.11	d-: 110:02	27.10	117.04
76:1 98:11	driven 119:23	27:19 43:7 44:7	117:24
domination	123:3	43:/ 44:/ 69:15 69:19	118:12 118:14
102:23	driver 19:19	74:6	138:14
done 12:22	Drop 90:1	108:4	142:16
26:4	drove 64:11	111:10	
34:25 44:16		124:15	economically
49:3	drug 33:2		40:5 56:6
81:22 83:18	89:4 95:15	eastern	economies 5:9
90:5	dual 9:12	21:7 69:25	5:22 5:23
102:4	12:4	easy 80:6	24:12 37:21
103:22	21:14	80:10	economy 24:13
110:14	22:2	echo 20:17	25:22
125:6 125:8	24:16 24:17	economic 3:13	EDA 135:21
126:7	28:13 33:15	4:20 5:15	
127:19	due 24:23	4:20 5:15 5:18 6:2	edge 25:9
135:19	45:7 54:3	6:23 7:8	edict 55:14
143:13	71:10 74:14	8:1 10:21	educated 5:25
145:11	during	12:2 14:4	
146:18	35 : 15	15:7 18:8	education
door 84:13	65:5 130:14	18:11 19:19	5:21
doubling 56:8		21:16	73:16 74:16
doubt 130:21	duty 65:15	23:4	75 : 23
		23:12 24:20	educational
downsize	E	34:6	73:11
81:15	earlier	34:11 35:21	educator 41:6
dozen 78:5	9:19 14:1	36:15	Edward 128:19
Dr 3:12	35:13 41:3 80:7	37:3	
7:10 45:17	83:23 85:12	45 : 25	ee 17:21
draft 2:17	102:19	46:5	effect
60:19	110:12	46:11 46:14	18:15 44:14
61:5	129:3 130:5	46:17 46:23	50 : 21
61:10		51:3 53:4	107:15
68:1 69:3	early	53:5	119:11
71:19	101:20	53:10 53:22	effectively
74:2	102:9	58:21 50:7	118:6
74:23 76:10	125:18	59:7 61:18 61:22	effects
76:13	easier	61:18 61:22	117:20
77:7	34:25 107:8	72:3 72:6	
77:12	120:2	78:17 79:13	effectuate
79:2 116:15	122:13	85:9	98:15
drag 42:14	east 26:24	97:24 105:2	efficiency



118:25 effort 4:14 58:4 69:4 efforts 13:23 50:25 72:1 117:15 eight 123:11 either 12:5 39:16 44:9 122:24 **elder** 33:7 74:17 elders 61:21 124:18 **elected** 70:12 123:11 130:9 electing 18:7 elements 94:14 95:9 eligible 49:25 50:6 117:12 145:13 145:17 145:20 eliminate 12:4 12:5 eliminating 13:1 **else** 20:22 22:22 46:12 115:24 127:19 **else's** 138:15 elucites

103:17

e-mail 45:8 54:4 128:15 emergences 48:9 emergency 15:10 emphasis 17:24 emphasize 101:8 employment 73:13 empower 5:17 17:15 84:15 87:4 87:12 empowered 5:3 empowering 4:21 11:7 101:8 139:7 empowerment 58:21 126:8 126:12 empowers 84:1 **en** 17:21 **enable** 131:1 enabling 77:24 enacted 93:17 encourage 30:4 35:4 46:7 149:7 encouraged 66:4 encourages 18:13 encouraging

NDT Assgn # 24748-3 23:15 27:10 101:18 endeavor entrepreneurs 18:21 13:3 endured 30:21 envelope 150:8 energy 5:13 environment 26:24 117:8 enforcement 74:19 environmental 118:2 enforcing epidemic 95:4 139:6 **engage** 77:23 **equal** 41:4 engaging **era** 65:5 86:25 erected 119:3 **engine** 34:11 especially engineers 23:7 36:25 81:13 62:1 essential enhance 25:22 96:6 18:11 24:1 75:19 enhanced essentially 67:23 47:24 50:10 enhances 131:19 68:24 135:1 enjoyed 42:9 establish ensure 67:8 109:18 114:16 established entails 49:15 141:10 enter 81:24 establishing 76:17 entered 131:17 etcetera 12:6 24:2 **entire** 57:22 24:10 46:13 entities 9:24 51:1 53:7 36:22 68:14 53:7 93:9 84:12 85:2 Euroentity America 10:10 23:9 125:18



(800)528-3335 NAEGELIUSA.COM

Trik
Euro-A
125:2
Europe
event
everybo
20:22 108:1 123:6 124:2 124:2
everyb
30:9 123:2 124:1 138:2
everyo 2:6 5
81:16 108:1 126:1 148:1 149:2
everytl
2:25 45:14 82:25 83:3 83:5 112:6 131:3
141:2

Euro-American 125:22	26:22 131:11		
Europe 37:18	ex 67:16		
event 57:3	67 : 20		
everybody 10:6	exactly 38:4 88:4		
20:22 41:11 108:1 120:7 123:6 124:5 124:22 124:23 145:7 everybody's	example 26:23 28:16 61:20 75:9 99:6 102:8 102:13 126:23 131:14		
30:9 108:10 123:22	examples 75:21 76:14		
124:11	except 40:14		
138:24	exception		
everyone 2:6 58:5	98:20		
81:16 108:15	exceptions 101:3		
126:11 148:18	exchange 59:21		
149:21 everything	exchanged 73:1		
2:25	excise 19:5		
45:14 79:19 82:25	exclude 120:4		
83:3 83:4 83:5 106:19 112:6 129:9 131:3 131:4	excluded 120:20 excludes 123:1		
139:12 141:2 evict 142:12	exclusion 120:5 120:9		
evidence 50:24 71:25	120:12 120:15 121:2 121:4		
94:1 evident 81:17	exclusive 6:11 6:17		
Ewiiaapaayp	20:10		
NI NI	ΔEGELL #		

October 16, 2017	,
22	
:11	
7:16	
20	
tly	
4 88:4	
ple 26:23	
16 61:20 9 99:6	
2:8	
2:13	
5:23	
:14	
ples	
21 76:14	
pt 40:14	
ption 20	
ptions :3	
ange	
21	
anged	
1	
se 19:5	
ude 120:4	
uded	
20	
udes	
B:1	
usion	
):5 120:9	
):12	
):15 .:2 121:4	
usive 1 6:17	
10	

NDT Assgn # 24748-3	Page 174
exclusivity	66:20 67:15
6:13	106:5
excuse	experienced
11:14 86:15	135:13
114:22	138:12
124:1	expiration
exercise 96:9	52:1
102:11	explain
118:7	106:23
147:14	explanation
exist 29:23	69:2
existed 44:19	explicit
<pre>existing 25:7</pre>	50 : 22
59 : 23	explicitly
76:3 99:3	51 : 13
101:15	explore 70:12
128:17 140:25	exposing
	117:11
expand 104:15	
104:20 110:1 137:3	express 113:20
expanded	expression
109:25	137:15
expect	extend
33:23 44:13	86:21
expedited	102:17
81:12	extended
expend 145:10	105:8
expenditures	extending
7:22	119:12
expense 49:21	extends 21:20
118:2 145:7	extension
146:1	71:13
expensive	142:25
49:23	extent
56:5 68:4	21:11
experience	118:19
23:4	extra 147:4



Tribal Consultation	i weeting October 16, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24746-3	Page 173
extract 24:12	fairly 37:11	23:13 25:25	15:5 63:2
extremely	faith 79:11	26:8	81 : 25
74:8	false 126:8	28:16 28:25	124:16
76:14		29:14 29:25	126:3 126:9
135:19	familiar 16:2	35:24 35:25	feeling 40:16
eyesore 63:24	families	36:2	feelings
0,00010 00.21	124:21	37:22 40:13	150:2 150:4
F	family	40:21 41:24	
faces 16:2	125:6	54:13 57:5 59:9	feels 106:17
	125:10	62:2 73:2	107:5
facilitate	149:22	77:23 77:24	107:3
53:21	150:1	79:5	
78:6	fantastic	95:19	fees 44:19
81:21	43:15	96:6	fee's 77:16
92:9 139:25		97 : 14	feet 76:2
facilitates	fast 12:21	100:17	fellow 58:12
93:2	44:21 148:1	101:18	
facilities	faster 92:10	102:6	fences 45:3
109:25	Father 150:12	102:11	126:25
facility 67:1	fathers 32:14	109:9 122:6	FETA 2:17 3:6
135:23	32:16	123:4 134:4	39:6 49:4
		136:8	57:13 60:20
facing 13:25	father's	federally	61:20
14:7 40:13	143:11	79:12 97:19	62:4 62:7
	148:14	99:20	62 : 8
fact 30:1	favor 138:1	120:18	62:13 62:23
57:19	favorable	149:14	63:14 68:15
64:8	134:2	Feds 26:19	81:7 116:19
73:14	favorite 45:8	fee 6:19	131:17
89:1 89:2 134:17		76:24 96:25	132:7
134:17	fear 40:17	97:4 97:9	133:19
	106:20	100:13	134:6 144:4 144:11
factor 21:4	116:24	119:1	145:5
94:8	fearing 22:13	128:22	145:10
147:2 148:2	feasible	129:4	
148:2	62 : 18	133:11	fight 22:15
factors 48:14	federal 4:9	137:6	24:14 24:15
70:16	5:5 5:6	feed 54:22	101:11 105:4 106:8
146:10	6:12 6:14		105:4 106:8
facts 75:2	6:22 7:12	feedback 6:6	106:13
failed 91:25	23:6	feel 9:24	106:14



127:6	108:16	fits 4:16	130:24
fighting	finished	Fitzpatrick	136:3
34:15 41:17	104:22	2:22	143:22
figure 36:4	125:5	five 44:11	force 5:24
39:2	Finley	58:21 75:21	95:15
figured 40:9	130:1 130:2	109:19	forcing 68:18
filed 70:24	fire 24:2	134:9	Ford 142:6
71:4	82 : 5	fix 22:1 22:6	foreclosed
filing 52:1	first 2:13	22:7 22:9	97:15
	3:16	22:10 22:21	forego 28:11
fill 51:6	13:20	43:25	_
final 37:10	15:9 30:7	112:24 132:12	foregone 78:9 130:15
52:13 54:14	30:9	132:12	
100:24	31:21	132:19	147:11 147:12
132:3	47:1		
132:14	54:24 69:12	flesh 91:23	foremost
132:14	74:1	flew 64:11	69:12 74:1
133:21	78:18	floor 78:12	Forest 9:17
133:23	82:5 82:5		9:20 23:2
136:13	82:6	Florida	63:12
finalized	91:11	44:3 44:4	64:8 149:5
53:16	95:3 98:16	flow 27:24	forever 125:2
finally	98:16 110:23	28:18 28:22	125:3
9:14 51:3	110:23	91:7	
51:23 57:17	122:8	flowing 29:1	forget 73:23
68:7 80:5	122:17	flows	forgetting
109:14	123:13	126:18	39:18
118:18	123:17	126:23	forgotten
123:24	143:3 143:7	127:4 127:6	69:15 73:18
137:21	firsthand		73:20 73:20
finance 62:22	68:2	focus 8:14 23:3	78:20
financial	fisherman	45:25 46:5	form 33:24
14:23	103:17		96:13
20:8 27:4		focused	formal 2:14
108:7	fishery 19:2	46:3	54:23
117:10	fishing	47:12 81:9	97:6 143:19
119:7 119:9	73 : 5	focusing	143:21
fine 72:10	75 : 16	10:18	147:20
finish	103:15	folks 105:18	formally
88:18 89:22	fit 145:22		66:17



Tribai Corisuitatioi	Tiviceting October 10, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24740-3	Page 177
143:24	32:14 32:16	145:16	49:13 49:25
former	fragmenting	frustrate	50:5 50:6
58:16	118:24	77:13	50:19 50:21
65:2 65:4	Frank 63:10	fulcrum	50:23 50:24
65:16 69:7			51:4
forms 65:9	63:12 142:2	136:17	52:19 52:21
	142:3	fulfilling	52 : 24
77:9 143:20	Franklin 9:16	35:18 37:22	53:8
Forsman 101:6	9:17	full 28:15	56:24 56:24
101:6	frankly 24:16	47 : 22	57 : 8
forth 49:8	24:21 25:14	132:19	60:19 60:21
forthcoming	25:18	133:4	61:6 61:8
forthcoming	83:5	fuller 48:12	61:14
113:22	83:15 85:10	rurrer 48:12	64:7 64:9
fortunate	85 : 24	full-scale	66:24
124:18	86:8 87:18	68:3	70:7
124:24		fully 5:3	71:21 71:23
124:25	free 96:19	88 : 25	71:25
forward	97:11 97:14	135:23	72 : 6
8:25 13:7	freedom		77 : 15
13:10 13:24	4:21 58:21	functions	81:8
15:24 20:18	free-	25:21	84:19 88:22
20:24	flowing	fund 19:19	89:12
21:8	54:20	68 : 3	94:2 144:22
42:11 44:17		funding 26:10	145:5
53:14 54:11	freeway 16:7	36:5 37:23	145:13
54:12 54:17	freeways 15:8		145:17
63:8	freight 43:21	funds 36:20	145:20
72:21 82:18		41:1	145:23
88:17 105:4	fresh 17:24	64:24 104:4	146:15
111:20	friend 90:10	Furthermore	146:20
112:19	friendly 62:6	60 : 5	Gaming's 13:9
113:1 113:5	63:4	future 112:10	gang 95:19
113:12		117:1	
121:25	friends 149:6	142:16	gas 122:14
128:6	149:23		gather 103:18
129:22	150:1 150:4		Gavin 3:12
142:20	frivolous	gains 73:8	11:4 123:9
144:6	63:1 84:12	_	
144:10	front 59:13	gaming	Geerbach
fought 43:5	90:15 95:24	11:15 19:21	89:11
	98:24 120:9	48:2 48:6	general
founding	123:12	49:4	23:2 73:6



	Weeting October 10, 2017	1	Tage 170
105:23	122:24	58:25 58:25	81:18
generally	giving	59:2 62:5	82:8 84:1
96:7	72 : 20	66:25 68:19	84:8 84:9
	115:11	68:22 71:15	88:2 98:1
generated	115:12	73:3	98:14 101:9
28:12	117:25	74:13 77:24	101:11
gentleman	139:8 139:9	77:24	101:14
35 : 7		79 : 6	105:20
71:10	goals 58:18	83:24 96:13	106:2 107:3
123:21	74:3	101:18	107:7
geographicall	God 86:18	102:2 102:6	government's
y 19:18	gone 20:5	102:12	67:2
_	29:19 30:20	106:11	
George 148:7	42:2 136:23	109:9	government-
Gerald 63:11	146:1	112:22	to-
gets 21:14		118:6 122:6	government
	goods 40:6	123:4	101:1
getting	Gordon 34:21	126:16	101:21
9:13 13:2	gotta 12:25	136:16	governors
13:3	20:17 25:20	136:18	43:4
16:16	31:21 38:24	136:20	amada 20.16
20:9	55:4	137:19	grade 39:16
33:19	88:11	139:14	grandchildren
37:1	90:8 133:12	143:7	127:3
43:22		governmental	grandfather
49:2	<pre>gotten 20:3</pre>	18:25 25:21	53:17
58:13 87:24	134:22	59:7	142:10
90:11 94:15	gov 48:6	79:14 96:10	
108:9		118:7	grandmother
123:23	govern 25:5		124:18
141:16	86:2	governments	<pre>grants 67:15</pre>
146:18	governance	10:4 12:6	grapefruit
gift 59:20	4:20 118:11	12:6	42:25
96:24	government	23:22 23:23	
	5 : 5 5:6	26:8 26:8	grass
Gilcross	12:18 19:10	53:21 59:12	126:18
88:12	23:6	61:17	126:23
given 45:15	23:13 25:25	67 : 4	126:25
45:15	30:13 33:23	67:19	127:2
70:9 99:1	35:24 37:22	72:3	grateful
100:9 116:4	40:14 40:22	76:21	119:6
126:7	53:6	77:1 77:2	great 12:24
gives 20:13	55:15 58:25	79:9	30:3
91463 20.13	55.15 50.25	79:10 79:10	30:3



	,	14D1 733911# 24740-3	1 age 173
69:12 72:10	7:18	102:18	35:16 36:22
	08:11	handful 75:1	38:10
	L1:5	handled 144:8	57:1 78:5
	L1:20		78 : 6
	L3:8	144:9	80:12 97:20
	10:14	handling 67:8	98:5 105:20
137:13 gui	dance	handouts 3:7	106:10
147:11	50:9	hands 93:11	118:1
147:11	delines		127:12
149:9	L:24 76:16	hangup 49:1	143:18
greater 95:19		happen 7:19	145:4
99:13 99:16	bs 69:6	20:12 20:12	Hawaiian
119:4 138:7	9:7	25 : 11	149:20
great- Gus	9:17	86:8 112:8	Head 109:24
grandchildr guy	123:24	happens 52:10	headache
en 127:3 guy	s 16:3	83:16	26:20
greatly 18:22 16	5 : 9	happy 8:5	heading
	5:11 33:10	58:11	122:21
Green 109:6	5:10 46:24	harbor 150:4	heads 95:15
84	1:13 87:22		
	0:8 91:1	hard 19:8	healing 56:20
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	38:23	43:5 43:13	health 34:3
11,20	39:2 148:6	101:12	73:6
105:25		101:12	73:10 74:17
grow 127:5	H	115:14	healthwise
growing	it 106:19	hardly 34:10	40:25
125:11 Hai	da 131:9	- Harley 149:8	hear 17:6
grows	f 60:8	_	17:17
126:18	43:10	Harvier 13:16	22:7 54:2
126:23	13:11	13:18	78:10
127:2 hal	1 22:18	Harvier's	105:18
growth 6:2 ham	per 15:6	46:8	114:9
18.24 43.15	_	Hasay 116:11	115:18
nam	pered	_	125:16
	1:25	hates 83:6	126:11
	pering	haven't 14:16	126:22
	5:17	45 : 13	heard 3:23
15:17 46:25 Ham	ptons 70:1	49:9 134:22	4:17
48:19 48:20	d 8:5	146:1	16:24
J2.20 J4.14	a 8:5 5:19	having	17 : 8
106:22	J•⊥ÿ	10:21 20:18	35:14 45:18



Tribai Corisultation	Tivieeting October 16, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24740-3	Page 100
89:15	107:3	historical	148:13
105:17	127:13	64:19 65:14	homeland
122:18	149:24	66:10 66:11	64:19
150:5	150:9	73:16 118:2	65 : 7
hearing 34:21	helped 78:6	historically	65 : 17
34:23	93:3	42:3	66:2 148:13
60:4 91:9	helpful	55:10 55:20	homelands
93:16	7:23 12:9	57 : 11	87:9 96:8
94:4 124:4	13:11 136:9	history 41:19	104:21
heart 31:5	136:10	66:1 125:18	113:17
100:18	helping 82:17	126:13	119:9
108:21	96:7	hmm 125:4	148:20
114:8			149:10
130:23	helps 105:4	HoChunk	homes 109:23
heartland	hereby 59:19	108:21	honest
115:9	here's	109:13 113:22	45:11
hearts 114:8	53:15	143:11	56:4 58:4
	126:22	148:14	
Heavenly	127:1	148:20	honestly 138:22
150:12	hey 44:12	HoChunks	138:22
heavy 76:5	139:18	109:8	
heck 122:15	Hi 26:21		honor 149:5
held 2:2		hold 41:18	149:9
27:10 41:23	hiatus 144:4	41:20	honored 30:15
61:1 97:3	hiccups 46:10	48:8 61:19 62:25	honoring 17:8
97:11	high 39:16	78:14 81:22	hooks 137:23
129:11	39:16 68:22		
129:17	76:1 95:6	holding 38:20	hoops 59:2
hell 87:20	146:16	40:22	130:18
Hello 15:25	higher 18:10	holdings	hope 15:18
42:7	highest 73:15	35:25	15 : 22
help 5:8	98:10 98:10	36:2 99:5	32:5 46:15 73:22
7:8 12:10	98:11	118:21 140:1	78:8
18:22 34:10	high-water	140:1	78:21
34:17 40:20	136:22	141:23	102:16
45:3 51:6			107:23
53:21	highways 43:4	holds 42:23	107:24
57:3 59:6	Hill 116:11	home 44:11	122:21
81:21	hindrance	66:13	129:21
86:4 106:24	46:14 71:19	106:14	130:20



144:15	I ribai Consultation	Neeting October 16, 2017	ND1 Assgn # 24748-3	Page 181
hopefull 37:7 hundred 75:14 111:20 33:10 hopefully 76:2 76:21 ideas 42:21 36:3 15:2 hundreds 64:1 47:5 47:10 51:18 39:10 39: 46:23 51:16 hundred-year 142:8 113:10 41:6 52:16 54:21 hung 94:7 identifies 42:8 42:9 16:13 hungry 115:2 77:7 42:15 45: 142:24 hunting 76:22 47:1 51:7 hoping 9:7 hurdle 36:12 Frit 54:25 55: hoping 9:7 hurdle 36:12 IGRA 77:16 54:25 55: host 149:9 hurting 38:25 107:13 69:8 69:8 hostage 81:23 Huskies 58:13 III 142:3 79:9 house 13:14 TBIA 62:12 54:6 85:19 79:17 80:13 62:12 72:20 89:22 86:14 86: housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 123:8 123:8 123:8 <	131:1	41:4	104:7	29:18 32:22
hopefully 76:2 76:21 ideas 42:21 36:3 15:2 hundreds 64:1 47:5 40:7 15:23 hundred-year 142:8 47:10 51:18 40:7 35:9 46:23 51:16 54:22 40:19 54:23 hung 94:7 41:6 41:16 52:16 54:21 hung 94:7 42:15 45: 42:8 42:9 116:13 hungry 115:2 77:7 42:15 45: 142:24 hung 94:7 60:22 47:1 51:7 hoping 9:7 hurdle 36:12 IGRA 77:16 54:25 55: 9:11 7:11 147:6 hurdles 119:3 91:13 93:17 63:11 64: host 149:9 hurting 38:25 107:13 69:16 72: hostage 81:23 Huskies 58:13 III 142:3 79:9 house 13:14 79:17 80:13 62:12 79:10 house 13:14 79:17 80:13 62:12 90:25 108:3 86:14 86: 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8	144:15	41:14 89:4	109:10	33:9
hopefully 76:2 76:21 ideas 42:21 36:3 39:10 39: 47:5 40:7 15:23 hundreds 64:1 47:5 40:7 40:7 35:9 year 142:8 113:10 41:6 54:22 40:19 46:23 51:16 hung 94:7 identifies 42:8 42:9 42:8 42:9 16:13 hungry 115:2 identify 42:15 45: 45: 45: 45: 45: 45: 45: 45: 45: 45	honeful 37.7	hundred 75.14	111:20	33:10
15:2			ideas //2:21	36 : 3
15:23				39:10 39:11
15:23		hundreds 64:1		40:7
Sign		hundred-		40:19
40:23 54:21 hung 94:7 identifies 42:8 42:9 42:15 45:		year 142:8		41:6
116:13		hung 94.7		41:16
142:24 142:24 hunting 73:4 103:15 identify 76:22 45:12 45: hoping 9:7 9:11 17:11 147:6 hurdle 36:12 hurdles 119:3 IGRA 77:16 79:1 91:13 93:17 55:11 58: 60:8 69:9 host 149:9 hostage 81:23 hurting 38:25 40:25 107:13 13:18 69:16 72: 78:13 hour 2:13 39:23 39:25 Huskies 58:13 III 142:3 79:9 house 13:14 79:17 80:13 TBIA 62:12 62:12 54:6 72:20 89:22 90:25 108:3 85:19 86: 86:14 86: housing 73:10 132:21 133:13 14:25 133:23 86:14 86: 133:23 85:8 97:25 104:4 133:24 133:24 104:21 133:24 134:2 136:6 133:25 140:17 140:17 96:1 102: 138:22 90:4 90:5 93:14 104:21 134:2 136:6 137:12 136:8 138:22 105:12 105:12 111nois 103:20 138:4 137:12 142:16 137:12 137:24 11inois 109:7 105:15 105:15 Hualapai 115:8 115:10 115:23 10b 61:23 115:23 115:23 115:23 116:1 115:23 115:23 116:1 115:2 10:23 13:18 13:25 143:12 10:7 11:10:7 10:23 13:18 16:1 17:11 17:22 113:25 115:2 120:18				42:8 42:9
142:24 numering 73:4 103:15 76:22 47:1 51:7 hoping 9:7 hurdle 36:12 IGRA 77:16 54:25 55: 9:11 hurdles 119:3 19:13 93:17 63:11 64: host 149:9 hurting 38:25 40:25 II 37:18 69:16 72: hous 2:13 Huskies 58:13 III 142:3 79:9 39:23 39:25 I III 24:23 79:10 house 13:14 TBIA 62:12 72:20 89:22 85:19 86: 79:17 80:13 62:12 72:20 89:22 85:19 86: housing 73:10 132:21 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 15:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 136:25 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11egal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 Hualapai I'd 13:20 109:7 105:16 15:8		hungry 115:2		42:15 45:11
hoping 9:7 hurdle 36:12 73:4 103:15 76:22 47:1 31:7 9:11 hurdles 119:3 54:25 55:15 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 58: 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11 55:11		hunting	-	45:12 45:17
9:11 17:11 147:6 hurdles 119:3 host 149:9 hostage 81:23 hour 2:13 39:23 39:25 house 13:14 79:17 80:13 housing 73:10 74:16 133:23 97:25 104:4 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 105:22 138:4 104:21 115:8 115:10 115:8 115:23 hub 61:23 huge 85:5 huse 13:21 hurdle 36:12 hurdles 119:3 79:1 79:1 79:1 79:1 79:13 79:13 79:10 79:16 79:17 79:1 78:13 79:10 79:10 79:10 79:10 82:9 79:10 82:9 79:10 82:9 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 140:23 114:25 89:22 90:25 108:3 88:6 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 85:19 86: 140:17 90:4 90:5 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 116kA 77:16 79:1 63:11 64: 99:11 10:7 107:10 107:10 107:14 108:11 108:11 108:11 115:2 hub 61:23 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18		73:4 103:15	76:22	47:1 51:7
9:11 17:11 147:6 host 149:9 hostage 81:23 hour 2:13 39:23 39:25 house 13:14 79:17 80:13 housing 73:10 74:16 133:13 114:25 85:8 133:23 97:25 104:4 104:10 105:22 138:4 104:21 105:22 138:4 142:16 Howard 60:3 Hushies 119:3 hushies 58:13 79:1 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 17:11 24:23 17:10 182:9 185:19 86: 185:19 86: 186:14 86: 186:14 86: 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 115:7 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 114:25 11		hurdle 36:12	IGRA 77:16	54:25 55:10
host 149:9 hurting 38:25 40:25 IT 37:18 69:8 69:9 hour 2:13 Huskies 58:13 III 37:18 78:13 house 13:14 Till 42:3 79:9 result of the properties			79:1	55:11 58:14
hostage 81:23 40:25 II 37:18 78:13 hour 2:13 39:23 39:25 II 37:18 79:9 house 13:14 IBIA 62:12 54:6 82:9 79:17 80:13 62:12 72:20 89:22 85:19 86: housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 86:14 86: 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 96:1 102: 104:21 134:2 136:6 140:17 96:1 102: 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11inois 105:13 Howard 60:3 I'd 13:20 I'm 2:19 105:15 Hualapai 1'd 13:20 1'm 2:19 105:16 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 105:16 115:23 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 10:23 hub 61:23 139:21 10:11 17:11 17:22 10:23 13:18 16:1 17:	17:11 147:6	nurales 119:3	91:13 93:17	63:11 64:14
hostage 81:23 Huskies 58:13 II 37:18 78:13 house 13:14 Til 24:23 79:9 housing 73:10 1BIA 62:12 54:6 82:9 housing 73:10 132:21 54:6 82:9 housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 89:22 97:25 104:4 133:25 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 89:22 97:25 104:4 133:25 140:17 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:18 105:10 105:12 1102:10 <t< th=""><th>host 149:9</th><th>_</th><th>107:13</th><th></th></t<>	host 149:9	_	107:13	
hour 2:13 Huskies 58:13 III 142:3 79:9 house 13:14 IBIA 62:12 54:6 79:10 82:9 housing 73:10 132:21 54:6 72:20 89:22 86:14 86: housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 86:14 86: 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 86:14 86: 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 136:25 93:14 104:21 134:2 136:8 136:25 93:14 105:22 136:7 136:8 11egal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:24 109:7 105:15 Hwalapai 1'd 13:20 1'm 2:19 105:16 15:8 64:4 9:7 9:7 105:16 15:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 106:1 10:23	hostage 81·23	40:25	TT 37·18	
Till 24:23 Till 24:24 Till 24:23 Till 24:23 Till 24:24 Till 24:23 Till 24:25 Till 24:25 Till 24:25 Till 24:24 Till 24:23 Till 24:25 Till 24:23 Till 24:25 Till 24:25 Till 24:25 Till 24:25 Till 24:23 Till 24:25 Til	_	Huskies 58:13		
house 13:14 IBIA 62:12 54:6 82:9 housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 86:14 86: 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 133:25 136:25 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11egal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11inois 105:13 Howard 60:3 I'd 13:20 I'm 2:19 105:15 Hualapai 15:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 105:16 15:23 60:18 9:7 9:7 108:11 15:23 60:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 115:2 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18			111 142:3	
house 13:14 TBIA 62:12 54:6 72:20 89:22 85:19 86: 86:14 86: housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 89:22 90:4 90:5 89:22 90:4 90:5 89:22 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:4 90:5 90:1 90:4 90:5 91:8 100:1 90:1 102:1 90:1 102:1 90:1 102:1 90:1 102:1 100:13 90:1 102:1 100:11 90:1 102:1 105:15 105:15 105:15 105:16 90:1 102:1 <th>39:23 39:25</th> <th>I</th> <th>I'll 24:23</th> <th></th>	39:23 39:25	I	I'll 24:23	
housing 73:10 62:12 72:20 89:22 86:14 86: housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:25 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 136:25 93:14 104:21 134:2 136:6 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11legal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11inois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'm 2:19 105:16 Hualapai 15:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 105:16 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 112:16 hub 61:23 139:21 10:23 13:18 115:2 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 17:11 17:22	house 13:14	IBIA 62:12	54:6	
housing 73:10 132:21 90:25 108:3 88:6 74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11legal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 138:22 105:13 Howard 60:3 137:24 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 1'd 13:20 1'm 2:19 105:16 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 105:16 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 112:16 115:23 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 113:25 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18	79:17 80:13	62:12		
74:16 133:13 114:25 89:22 85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11egal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11inois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'd 13:20 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 1'd 13:20 1'm 2:19 105:16 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 105:16 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 112:16 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 115:2 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18	housing 73:10	132:21		
85:8 133:23 115:1 115:7 90:4 90:5 97:25 104:4 133:24 136:25 91:8 104:21 134:2 136:6 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 11legal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11linois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'd 13:20 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 26:23 54:19 8:5 8:14 107:14 15:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 108:11 115:23 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 115:2 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18	74:16	133:13		
97:25 104:4 133:24 123:8 91:8 104:10 133:25 140:17 93:14 104:21 134:2 136:6 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 illegal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 1llinois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'd 13:20 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 26:23 54:19 8:5 8:14 107:14 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 102:16 115:23 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 10:23 13:18 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18	85 : 8	133:23		90:4 90:5
104:10 133:25 136:25 93:14 104:21 134:2 136:6 140:17 96:1 102: 105:22 136:7 136:8 illegal 103:20 138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 Illinois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'd 13:20 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 26:23 54:19 8:5 8:14 107:14 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 108:11 115:23 64:4 9:11 10:7 112:16 113:25 10:23 13:18 115:2 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18	97:25 104:4	133:24		91:8
104:21 105:22 138:4 142:16 Howard 60:3 Hualapai 115:8 115:10 115:23 hub 61:23 huge 85:5 136:7 136:8 136:7 136:8 137:12 138:22 105:12 105:12 105:13 105:15 105:15 105:16 107:14 107:14 108:11 110:23 13:18 103:20 105:12 105:13 105:15 107:14 108:11 110:23 13:18 110:23 13:18 110:23 13:18 110:23 13:18 110:23 13:18	104:10	133:25		
138:4 137:12 138:22 105:12 142:16 137:12 11linois 105:13 Howard 60:3 137:24 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 26:23 54:19 105:16 107:14 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 108:11 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 112:16 115:23 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 113:25 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18	104:21	134:2 136:6	140:17	96:1 102:20
142:16 137:12 11linois 105:13 Howard 60:3 1'd 13:20 1'm 2:19 105:16 115:8 26:23 54:19 8:5 8:14 107:14 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 105:15 hub 61:23 105:15 105:16 huge 85:5 139:21 10:23 13:18 113:25 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18	105:22	136:7 136:8	illegal	103:20
Howard 60:3 137:24 109:7 105:15 Hualapai 1'd 13:20 I'm 2:19 105:16 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 108:11 115:23 64:4 9:7 9:7 112:16 hub 61:23 139:21 10:23 13:18 115:2 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18			138:22	105:12
Hualapai I'd 13:20 I'm 2:19 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 115:23 69:22 113:8 9:11 10:7 109:7 105:16 107:14 108:11 109:7 105:16 107:14 108:11 109:7 105:16 109:7 105:16 109:7 105:16 107:14 108:11 112:16 113:25 115:2 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18	142:16		Illinois	105:13
Hualapai 26:23 54:19 8:5 8:14 107:14 115:8 60:18 9:7 9:7 108:11 115:23 64:4 9:11 10:7 113:25 hub 61:23 139:21 16:1 15:2 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22 120:18	Howard 60:3	137:24	109:7	105:15
115:8 115:10 115:23 hub 61:23 huge 85:5 26:23 54:19 60:18 9:7 9:7 9:11 10:7 113:25 113:25 115:2 143:12 107:14 108:11 112:16 113:25 115:2 16:1 17:11 17:22	Hualapai	I'd 13:20	I'm 2·19	105:16
115:10 115:23 hub 61:23 huge 85:5 60:18 69:22 113:8 108:11 112:16 113:25 10:23 13:18 16:1 17:11 17:22 108:11 112:16 113:25 115:2 120:18	_	26:23 54:19		
115:23 64:4 9:11 10:7 hub 61:23 139:21 10:23 13:18 huge 85:5 143:12 17:11 17:22		60:18		
hub 69:22 113:8 10:23 13:18 113:25 huge 85:5 143:12 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18		64:4		
huge 85:5 139:21 16:1 17:11 17:22 120:18				
huge 85:5 143:12 17.11 17.22 120:18				
	_	143:12	17:11 17:22	
103:7 132:6 idea 6:13 7:6 17:22 22:16 122:14	103:7 132:6	idea 6:13 7:6	17:22 22:16	
human 24:8 101:10 25:19 26:21 124:4	human 24:8	101:10	25:19 26:21	1∠4:4



125:17	93:7 93:9	ly 118:9	119:10
128:1	imperfections	include	Indeed 137:4
128:24	128:23	3 : 25	independence
131:8	implemented	51 : 14	5:10 138:19
133:18	71:9	61:9 66:7	
137:6 138:11		71:22 71:24	Indian 2:14
138:11	<pre>implications 136:19</pre>	71:25 75:21	2:15 2:22 2:24 3:5
142:3		included	3:17 4:2
143:10	implicit	66:16 77:19	4:8 4:15
143:11	50 : 22	includes	5:11 5:16
148:14	important	73:25	5:23 5:24
149:7	11:9		6:8 6:15
imagine 47:8	15:14 29:16	including	6:19 7:5
	32:2 32:3	19:1	7:24 8:1
immediate	58:22 60:22	35:25 59:23 66:8 97:25	9:9 9:13
96:12	61:10 65:21		11 : 2
immediately	67:6 81:4	income 32:23	11:14
28:24 51:24	91:10 91:11	inconsistenci	12:3 12:8
immigrant	101:2	es 23:16	12:21 13:19
138:21	101:19	inconsistency	13:23
immigrants	impose 12:7	79:16	14:3 14:8
138:22	118:4	inconsistent	15:22
	<pre>imposing 38:1</pre>	82:20 84:4	17:4 17:5
imminent	impossible		17:11 17:17
97:16	110:22	incorporate	17:17 18:14 21:10 21:15
impact		10:1	21:10 21:13
21:12 28:21	<pre>improperly 60:19</pre>	incorporation	21:17 21:18 21:19
76:7 79:8		96:23	22:5
79:14	improve 46:23	increase	22:20
116:22	53:23 81:21	140:23	24:1 26:1
impacted 29:8	83:21 83:21	increased	29:15 30:24
66:15 66:16	87:3 134:12	19:21 29:23	32:19 32:21
66:18	improved	79:4 107:6	33 : 16
67:4 95:3	101:22		34 : 7
131:15	improvements	increases	34:18
impacts	27 : 8	99:12	35 : 9
72:1	27:10 27:16	incredibly	35:25
76 : 23	inappropriate	29:16	36:5
78:3	94:24	incur 118:1	36:17 36:25
92:13 92:18	inappropriate	119:8	37:16 37:19
92:23	Tuabbrobriace	incurred	37 : 23



Tribai Consultation	i weeting October 16, 2017	NDT ASSYII# 24740-3	Page 103
39:5 40:3	31:20	3:10 7:16	139:12
42:18 42:19	43:6	7:23	integrity
43:8	58:11	14:17 14:22	67:23 68:16
46:22 57:20	60:1	14:23 14:25	89:8 89:9
58:19	60:11 60:13	15:3	89:13
59:5 59:8	65:6	15:16	
60:21	72:24	49:5 49:9	intelligence
61:8	77:3	49:11 50:11	139:12
61:13 63:20	124:9	95:1 112:12	intended
64:5	124:15	146:8	11:15 25:22
64:22	127:18	infrastructur	27:1 76:8
65:2 65:8	138:15	e 24:9 34:2	93:5 145:14
65:10 65:12	138:21	37:15 85:9	intending
66:19 66:19	139:15		143:19
66:24 67:12	149:13	inherited	
72:12	indigenous	32 : 4	intent 6:9
74:3 76:9	109:11	<pre>initial 48:9</pre>	11:14 11:18
78:25	110:9	Initially	11:19 11:21
80:3	111:15	55 : 16	28:21 49:12
82:16	112:4		107:9
83:8 84:5	149:21	initiative	intention
85:2	individual	11:1	106:25
86:24	113:6 125:9	initiatives	inter 42:17
87:1 90:9	125:12	23:13	interest 27:7
96:6 97:8	137:19	122:13	28:9
98:25 99:20		<pre>input 3:1 8:3</pre>	28:19
101:25	individuals	16:10 70:17	
112:23	96:18	105:20	29:7 29:8 57:12 59:21
113:2	industry	105:21	91:3
113:13	88:22	106:12	97:20 110:9
114:3 114:3	inequities	108:10	136:23
114:5 114:7	70:5 70:19	insert 77:15	137:13
120:19			137:17
125:19	inevitably	<pre>inserts 60:19</pre>	137:24
127:13 138:14	23:10	<pre>inside 88:21</pre>	
	infiltrate	instead 49:20	interested
139:1 142:11	93:20	139:17	6:20
	infiltration		22:16 66:16
Indian-	94:2	insufficient	132:15
owned 60:7		75 : 5	133:17
Indians	influences	insulting	134:4
8:13 9:18	88:22	139:10	interesting
	information	100 11	32:12 79:21
26:22 27:14		139:11	32.12 /9.21



Tribal Consultation	Tivieeting October 16, 2017	ND1 Assyll # 24746-3	Page 164
93:17	67:14	45:13 101:9	42:17 45:24
139:23	interpretatio	115:21	55 : 8
interests	n 23:11	147:9	55:23 85:14
32:7 57:7	76:11	involves	89:21 94:19
100:8	interpretatio	53 : 12	95:12 98:17
100:10	ns 23:17	Iowa 109:7	98:17 102:5
100:12			107:20
100:16	interrupt	IRA 60:4 65:3	108:24
105:10	16:8	65:3	120:17
118:16	intertribal	65:20 65:23	121:20
119:24	44:23	66:5 107:13	132:6
136:16	intrastate	109:1	issued 3:21
136:17	42:17	110:23	4:4 6:4
136:18		112:19	68:9
137:16	introduction	120:19	issues 9:22
137:20	9:19	121:2	13:25
137:22	intuitive	irregardless	14:6
inter-	74:3	103:22	17 : 13
governmenta	invaded 95:4	104:19	24:2 37:9
1 25:12		105:3 105:9	37 : 20
	invest 5:19	IRS 23:6	42:9
interior	13:3 34:1	23:10	42:15 43:20
2:7 57:6	invested	Island	44:15 44:23
59:19 61:12	37:18 69:1	30:23 69:25	55 : 6
66:21 70:15 72:25	investment	131:23	79:24
77:25	13:2		90:7 142:17
77:15 77:19	37:16 73:12	isn't 35:5	it'd 127:8
97:5		40:22 107:9	
107:3 107:7	investors	107:10	items 50:18
120:22	12:13 14:2	130:20	71:20
	invitation	isolated	I've 35:14
Interior's	135:18	19:17 74:10	41:7
78:22	invited 79:16	issue 11:17	79:16 90:16
116:15	invocation	11:23	105:17
interject	16:15 16:17	12:4	105:25
57:16	16:19 143:5	15:13 18:17	124:4
interjecting	143:14	20:16 21:14	124:10
56:24 57:13	148:10	22:2 22:9	126:16
internal		22:24 24:25	143:8
96:10	<pre>involve 50:9</pre>	25:4	
	involved 10:5	28:14 34:18	J
Internet	12:8	35:20 42:16	Jackson 54:24



54:25	112.25		17.10
54:25	113:25	Kansas	17:13
jails 33:6	Juan 42:7	19:14 19:15	18:4
Jamestown	judged 100:1	19:16 20:8	30:15 30:22 30:22
10:24 23:20		Kathleen	30:22
82:12	judgment	148:19	35:25
	118:9	key 4:22 5:10	
Jeff 23:1	judicial 38:7	5 : 14	36:2 37:5 37:6 47:6
Jerry 129:25	38:10 52:13	keystone 96:5	47:11 47:13
Jesus 150:12	judiciousness	_	48:17 48:21
Jewel 137:1	65:20	Kickee 21:6	49:25
Jim 30:8	July 4:3	Kiki 35:12	50:6
	jump 59:2	kinds 84:11	51:25
job 26:4 88:1		86:9 111:18	52:3 52:5
89:13 90:13	junior 39:16	142:17	52:11 52:14
94:17	jurisdiction	known 103:6	53:12 55:13
105:25	4:1 4:1		55:22 59:14
131:2	10:19 10:20	Kootenai	60:1
146:18	11:3 11:8	130:3	60:10 60:13
jobs 5:9 7:23	11:12	Kumeyaay	60:24 60:25
13:4	12:1 18:6	26:22 27:12	61:1 61:7
34:11 105:1	20:20 25:10	27 : 14	62 : 5
Joe 88:12	25:16	131:11	63:16 63:21
	27:9 29:9		66:1 66:1
John 2:8 13:13	29:10 29:13	L	66:10 66:12
	38:2 67:1	lack 73:9	68:8
16:1 35:1 82:11 84:20	82:17	97 : 6	68:16 68:19
86:14 88:19	118:21	97:10 100:3	68:21 69:25
89:11	140:2	lady 130:8	70:2 70:8
90:5 91:1	jurisdiction-	_	70:10 70:22
91:4 101:24	based 7:2	laid 135:1	70:24
114:2	jurisdictions	Lake 101:7	71:2 73:9 74:8
114:21	6:10 7:21	Lana 17:22	74:10 74:14
127:24		80:20	74:22
140:17	justice 93:24	Lance 32:13	75:5 75:9
join 84:25	139:7	69:7	75:10 75:11
	justices	76:22 108:3	75:15 75:22
joint 2:12	38:11		75 : 24
23:8	justification	land 4:2 6:19	76:2 76:3
joke 126:24	99:14	6:19 6:23	76 : 6
139:4		7:19	76:24 78:24
Joseph 113:25	K	10:19 14:10 14:11 14:11	80:3
		T4.TT T4:TT	



I ribai Consultation	Meeting October 16, 2017	NDT Assgn # 24748-3	Page 186
81:11 81:14	138:25	109:15	23 : 7
83:2	138:25	109:22	25 : 24
83:13 83:13	139:1 139:1	115:12	32:8 35:3
84:10 84:16	139:8 140:1	115:14	35:8 42:5
84:20 84:21	140:9	115:15	45:21 88:19
85 : 7	140:20	115:16	90:17
85:22 85:23	140:23	116:1 116:3	101:22
86:2 86:3	141:23	116:17	135:24
86:5	142:22	116:23	latches
87:13 91:14	143:12	117:2 117:6	71:1 71:6
96:8	144:19	117:12	
96:19 97:10	144:23	129:15	late 2:9 16:1
97:13 97:20	145:12	149:1	55:17
97:21 97:23	145:17	149:19	lately 89:21
98:16	145:20	land's 104:24	later 62:3
99:5 99:8	landless 65:6	Lands 141:24	63 : 19
99:12 100:7	70:6		102:24
102:5	lands 18:2	language 29:6	133:6 138:2
107:17	18:16 21:19	44:20	
109:5 110:1	55:15 55:16	52:4 71:8	Laughter 90:2
111:2 116:3	55:19 59:10	71:12 71:14	law 6:12
117:18	59:16 59:21	76:10 76:13	11:13
117:22	59:22	125:3 135:8	18:3
118:21	60:5 60:7	136:14	47:22 47:23
120:24	60:7 60:9	137:9	48:15 49:17
128:1	65:2 65:5	languages	49:18
128:22	65:16 70:23	149:15	50 : 5
128:22	71:16	large 22:14	51:19 74:18
129:4 129:6	73:2 73:7	30:2	80:14 91:12
129:9	74:1	50:2 57:21 84:20	91:18
129:13	74:20 74:22	104:12	129:21
129:18	75:6	104:12	145:19
129:20	75:12		145:23
129:21	81:1 81:1	largely 73:17	146:10
131:17	96:3 96:5	<pre>larger 60:20</pre>	146:25
133:7	96:11 96:19	largest 27:18	laws 41:18
133:10	96:21 96:25	74:5 104:11	41:23 79:7
137:2 137:3	97:3 97:9		lawsuit 34:14
137:4 137:6	97:11 97:15	Larry 54:25	34:16
138:14	98:4 100:11	last 3:20	63:1 120:21
138:15	100:13	4:15 9:2	
138:15	100:16	14:5 21:9	lawsuits
138:22	104:7 104:8	21:23	84:12



lays 10:9	22:18	54:8 55:7	122:20
lead 118:25	legally	55:24	lion's 64:23
119:3	145:18	56:2 57:9	list 51:13
leader 3:8	145:19	134:11	123:10
4:4 7:14	legislate	letters 3:8	listen
50:15 51:16	41:3 41:13	letting 13:16	12:21
54:8	legislation	20:10	13:8
58 : 12	119:21	level 44:23	24:22 24:22
59:1	119:21	62:11 68:22	73:22 124:3
60:15 129:3	legislative	97:24	124:14
134:11	6:9 76:10	Lewis 142:6	126:5 127:7
leaders			listened
5:18	legislatively	Liana 19:13	92:15 124:8
42:12 55:11	56:5	105:12	124:23
61:11 80:14	lemons 42:24	licensed 3:17	listener 42:8
128:23	lending 36:24	life 40:2	
130:9	length 130:13	103:9 127:4	listening
130:12 150:10		lifetime	2:16 17:3
	lengthy 117:22	114:1	17:9 30:6 39:7 42:6
learned 68:2	117:22	lifts 5:12	42:10
lease 14:13			80:6 91:8
14:15	Leonard 101:6	light 99:8	112:16
15:1	less 58:24	likelihood	113:23
28:17 28:25	58:25 58:25	146:16	121:21
leases 46:13	79:23 80:24	likely 117:20	122:2
leasing 46:12	let's 20:18	limit 119:7	123:14
46:13	20:18 20:19		124:2 126:5
least 33:23	38:14 41:17	limited 69:13 70:2 74:8	126:16
36:23 78:15	43:23	80:23	litigate
93:13	56:4	81:2 104:13	28:14
106:20	57:24 58:3	limiting	133:17
145:25	84:18	15:15 104:7	133:18
leave 78:4	107:13		134:5
90:25	107:13	limits	litigated
102:23	127:7 127:8	104:8	29:18
119:17	127:17	115:18 115:19	133:20
125:24	127:19		litigating
Lee 136:22	letter 4:4	line 24:3	134:5
	7:14 14:7	27:2	litigation
legal 21:24	15:20	85:10 92:11	29:19



Thibai Gonsaltation	Meeting October 16, 2017	11D 1 7 183911 # 2 + 7 + 0 0	Page 100
35:2	23:22	148:3	40:10 40:20
77:14 117:8	51:1 51:2	Lonetree	46:9
117:15	51 : 4	148:16	46:10
117:23	53:20 61:17	148:18	49:7 49:8
132:22	66:19 66:25	148:19	49:21 83:15
133:6	67:18 71:15		84:20
134:18	72:1 72:2	long 30:12	92:3 92:4
little 2:9	72:4	32:15 42:19	93:18 94:12
8:15 8:21	76:20	44:9 49:1	98:8
16:6	77:1 79:9	55:10 62:25	102:9 107:3
16:16	81:18	64:5 64:9	112:4
17:7	82:8 84:1	67:22 69:25	112:20
19:15 20:14	84:8	85:19 90:19	113:20
31:14 32:13	87:12 92:13	96:5	124:19
39:21 45:12	92:18 92:21	98:14	125:1
46:6	93 : 6	106:13	130:10
47:10 47:19	93:12 96:13	116:13	130:11
50:2	100:11	126:18	130:23
64:24 69:22	101:8	126:23	131:18
91:7 102:24	101:10	127:1 127:3	144:20
116:4	101:12	127:5	144:21
119:18	101:13	longer	145:2
124:19	105:20	83 : 20	145:10
125:13	106:2 106:6	119:18	lots 87:7
126:2	106:11	134:17	95:7
	107:3 107:7	long-term 6:1	
live 69:25	118:13	-	love 32:17
103:25	118:17	looms 136:8	32:18 32:19
104:20	136:16	Lord 34:16	32:20
lived 55:13	136:18	35:10	34:1 59:4
142:11	136:19	losing	95:10 102:7 102:7 102:7
livelihood	137:18	33 : 17 82 : 1	150:8
103:12	located		
living	55:2	lost 60:6 60:17 62:24	low 102:3
59:25 73:20	63:21 63:25	65:5	lower 100:2
103:8 104:2	75:22 104:1	69:24 132:7	109:3
	116:23	133:6 136:3	lowest 73:16
Liz 2:19 54:4	117:2		
Liz's 45:8	location	lot 12:25 13:1 16:1	lunch 123:7
loan 26:15	25:18 99:8	16:2 23:3	
local 12:5	locations	32:15 40:2	Mafia-like
12:17 18:16	57:18 57:20		93:22



mahuna 149:11	57:25 58:24	100:25	69:17 77:23
mail 54:5	79:18 79:23	106:25	means 38:12
54:7	marbles 36:9	116:25	38:12 38:15
main 148:22	Marie 8:12	118:8	64:22 80:14
	marina	118:16 137:2 137:9	137:15
mainly 101:23	61:23	137:2 137:9	137 : 25
maintain	62:2 62:4	137.23	141:23
103:12		141:22	meant 35:19
maintained	mark 136:23		36 : 23
67:13	marketplace	maybe 11:13	meantime 2:10
major 15:8	148:24	34:3	13:15
18:21	Marshall	43:21 46:7	
	32:25 32:25	48:18 50:14	measure 92:18
majority	37 : 14	52:21 88:25	measures
72:17 76:7	Martin 13:18	111:20	136:10
maker 67:17		113:10	mechanism
mall 14:12	Masanto 131:7	144:18	6:21
14:14	massage	145:15	Medikum
man 123:21	106:15	145:21	138:10
	master	McCain 101:25	138:11
manage 88:2	14:13 14:15		meegwich
management	20:2	McCovey 17:21	17 : 18
19:3 64:23	match	17:22 80:20 80:20	
manager	134:14		meet 31:6 51:12 77:25
105:23	134:15	Meals 141:22	120:10
mandate	material	mean 4:19	120:10
129:16	137:23	4:24 11:4	146:9
mandated		35:16 35:18	147:17
140:13	matter	44:13 46:20	meeting 112:5
	38:19 66:2 78:2	49:8	143:5
mandates	134:1	54:19	
33:12		82:3	megawatt
121:13	maximize	84:14 94:21	26 : 25
mandatory	100:20	95:10 107:12	megawatts
81:20	may 4:17 20:7	120:22	26:25
Manion 128:19	25:2 61:1	120:22	member 7:1
128:19	67 : 20	124:17	17:23 114:1
manner 37:4	68:5 70:6		142:3
37:24	81:18 100:9	meaning 28:20	members 5:20
mantra	100:10	57 : 5	
mancia	100:12	meaningful	



Tribal Consultation
70:11 73:9 74:5 74:13 104:2 104:16 111:3 116:9 148:21 149:22 149:25
membership
74:4 75:15 104:12
memorandums
53:18 92:5
mention 111:7
mentioned 6:4 52:8 85:12 102:8 110:4 110:11 111:10
mere 89:1 89:2
merely 130:18
merit 133:1 134:1
merits 132:22
message 9:8 73:21 73:23 73:23 80:16 90:6 90:6
messages 78:10 78:12
messaging 8:13 8:16
met 51:11 73:25 77:22 122:9

Metacotta

tion	Meeting October 16, 20
	99:19
	metaphors 107:12
	meth 95:4 95:20
	Mexico 115:21 115:22 115:23 115:25
	mic 8:7 8:8
	Michael 95:25
	Michigan 74:12 141:24
	Micklin 26:21
	26:21 131:7 131:8
	microphone 8:4 8:5 90:1
	middle
	108:6 130:25
	Mike 131:20
	miles 55:21 55:21 142:19
	military
	142:7 142:12
	million
	14:5 34:4 34:13
	36:3 36:4 59:14
	60:8
	62:15 62:18 96:18

)17	NDT Assgn # 24748-3
	135:22
	millions 64:1
	Milwaukee
	63:22 149:3
	mind 16:15
_	30:9
	47:18 82:20 111:8
	112:16
	122:18
5	mind-set
	100:2
	mini 122:15
	minute 13:14
	minutes
	114:23 127:21
	127:22
	missed 9:18
	mission 84:4
	Mississippi
	69:16 69:19
	74:6 109:11
	Mississippis 108:5
	mistrust
	111:22
	111:23
	mitigate 72:1
	mix 107:11
	107:13
	model 34:6
	modernizing
	4:8
	moment 35:23
	MONDAY 2:3
	money 26:15
, BR	A7:

34:12 40:18
41:9 44:10 62:21
62:22 82:2 84:9
85:3 101:23
129:23 129:24
145:7
145:10 145:22
146:4
monies
20:21 38:4
Montana 29:21
month 80:12
months 9:3 133:25
moral 41:15
morning 2:6
9:16
13:17 19:12 26:5
63:11 82:11
82:16
87:6 108:18
119:13 142:2 150:5
142:2 150:5
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's 143:10 motivating
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's 143:10 motivating 21:4
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's 143:10 motivating 21:4 MOU 93:2
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's 143:10 motivating 21:4 MOU 93:2 Mount 142:19
142:2 150:5 mostly 3:23 74:9 mother 39:22 mother's 143:10 motivating 21:4 MOU 93:2



	Tweeting Sciober 10, 2017	_	1 age 131
81:18 81:25	narrowed	96:22	19:25
92:5 93:6	136:21	97:2 97:2	negotiating
move 2:16	narrowing	97:9	79 : 25
12:20	29:20	97:12 97:14 99:5 125:19	neighbors
13:7 13:7	nation	139:15	149:2
13:8	19:14 26:13		n o m-1011 o
15:24 20:18	37 : 21	natives	nervous
20:24	55:1	97 : 1	123:23
39:6 42:6	61:16	97 : 23	net 27:5 28:6
47:4	63:4 69:8	116:10	NEVA 49:21
53:14 54:11	102:21	139:8	
54:12 54:16	102:24	natural 18:19	Nevada 115:15
82:18	103:3 105:5	36 : 1	115:16
86:4	105:13	79:25 79:25	116:1
113:4 124:9	108:21	81:5	119:19
126:1 126:2	113:23		newly-
127:19	115:9	nature	acquired
142:20	115:10	74:14 74:20	75 : 11
moved 13:10	116:11	77:12	nice 26:7
54:14 55:15	116:14	Navajo 115:24	115:11
103:10	116:22	NCAI 10:25	127:8
movement 9:21	129:6	16 : 23	130:22
	148:21	32:7 35:1	
moves 128:6	National 13:9	55 : 4	NIGC 89:11
moving	31:19 58:10	78:14 82:13	nine 36:3
44:17	69:10 114:1	94:4 105:15	98:7
57:9	149:12	122:22	Nisqually
88:17		148:23	142:3 142:4
112:19	nations 37:17	nearby 66:15	142:18
113:1	56 : 9	_	142:22
113:12	56:12 57:14	nearly	
129:21	57:21	59 : 15	nobody
148:1	73:1 73:9	60:8 60:8	125:4 125:4
multiple 87:9	113:6	NEBA 49:22	138:15
_	113:15	Nebraska 30:8	non 53:4 85:1
museum 149:9	149:14		noncontiguous
	nation's	necessary	74:14
N	102:22	61:7 97:23	116:18
name's	native 5:20	needless 79:4	140:8
10:23	6:25 19:7	negative	noncontrovers
16:1 42:7	63:4 96:4	116:22	ial 129:8
142:2	96:17 96:20		
		negotiate	none 89:14



October 16, 2017

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	 	
111:9 132:2	107:14	occasion	64:7 64:9
noneconomic	135:18	108:23	70:3 70:7
48:3 53:5	137:4	occupancy	71:24
nongame 48:8	notice 3:21	135:21	75:7
_	6:5 52:1	occurs 6:18	75:11 75:15
non-gaming	134:21		75:22 76:6
48:2 87:14 87:14	137:11	October 2:3	81:10 84:19
	notified	14:12 15:20 45:7 70:4	98:19
nonincorporat	121:7		99:7
ing 10:4	notion 12:5	Odobwa 16:21	102:5
non-Indian	23:24	offenders	144:20
21:18 74:22	144:16	96:16	oftentimes
84:12 92:19	nowadays	offer 76:20	23:5
nonnative 7:1	31:12 46:19	131:13	37:12
non-		135:22	38:4 38:22
reservation	nowhere	143:16	oh 8:11 86:18
74:25	111:16	offers 48:1	143:9
	nudge 20:14	140:3	145:12
non-tribal	nuggets 36:10	office 2:20	147:10
23:9 23:15 27:10	numerous	2:21	148:7
29:8	135:15	22:23 62:10	148:11
	133.13	110:16	oil 122:14
nor 56:10	0	131:18	
normal 49:20	O&B 36:4	134:24	Ojibwe 16:21
north 26:1		135:12	okay 25:4
96:3 103:10	Obama 11:17	officers 98:7	43:23
northeast	32:8 35:4		51:7
19:17 69:11	object	offices 87:13	72:22
69:18	23:23 23:24	official	82:9
northern	84:9	123:11	87:14
109:7	objectives	officially	90:3 112:13
	117:23	71:8	113:1 127:18
northwest	obligation	officials	143:3
43:7	67:3 117:11	143:7	148:17
103:7	obligations	off-	
104:12	35:18 37:23	reservation	Oklahoma
note 45:21	73:24 119:8	50:12 50:19	70:11
50:17	119:9	52:19 52:22	old 6:7 40:15
notes 119:18	obvious 48:19	53:1 53:3	88:12 120:7
nothing 44:12	48:20	53:22 53:24	older 125:2



I ribai Consultation	Meeting October 16, 2017	NDT Assgn # 24748-3	Page 19
Oneida 116:11	105:24	137:6	34:22 90:22
116:13	opinion 25:11	opposes	96:24
116:22	40:4	122:23	100:12
116:24	60:23 68:23	122:25	113:20
ones 25:14	85 : 17	opposing	134:22
54:4 82:7	124:23	128:21	134:22
83:19	134:3		otherwise 7:7
134:21	141:21	opposition	8:5 52:6
one-sided	142:1	68:11 72:17	59:24 96:22
124:7	opinions 58:4	82:13 130:4	126:10
	_	opt 6:21	ours 24:13
one-tenth	opioid 95:3 95:5 95:20	optimization	26:14
36:11 37:25		9:10	100:18
ongoing 17:16	opportunities	option 75:9	ourself 8:18
Onnen 19:12	13:5	_	
19:13	73:11 73:12	oral 30:18	ourselves
105:11	79:3	oranges 42:24	42:1
105:12	opportunity	44:4 44:5	57:12 94:18
on-	12:12 28:20	44:11	100:7
reservation	29:22	order 13:10	104:25 147:16
75:8	30:3 46:4	19:4	
	56:1 63:6	23:25 52:13	outdated 6:8
onsayyentee	63:13 63:15	71:6 87:5	outline 50:15
	67:5	88:1 89:9	outlined
on-the-record	72:20 80:18	90:24	20:22 58:18
67:8	94:13	ordered 52:6	
open 4:11 8:2	95:8 100:20 102:10	organization	outside 12:12
54:19 57:12	117:25	93:22	14:2 14:2 14:24
67 : 8	132:8 138:3	120:19	51:5 116:17
67:24 76:11	138:4		125:13
84:13		organize	141:5
106:18	oppose 71:8	112:21	141:11
149:3	71:11 71:12	organized	
operate	71:13 121:16	89:3	outweigh 119:11
18:7 75:24	123:3 129:1	93:19 94:2	
operates		original	overall 4:7
74:16	opposed	56:18 110:7	22:1 22:3
operation	8:18	141:12	22:20 36:10
50:21 71:23	12:17 68:12	originally	45:25 46:17
89:6	72:19	9:1 11:18	79:2
	87:5 102:25		overcome
operations	119:20	others	



Tribai Corisultation	Tivieeting October 16, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24746-3	Page 194
56:13	146:21	<pre>parties 66:17</pre>	62 : 19
overdue 98:14	parcels 63:21	66:18	patrons 89:9
overhead	74:10	67:4	patterns
41:10	75 : 4	67:10 67:11	140:2
	75:16 75:21	67 : 24	
overly 76:24	140:20	68:2	Paula
oversight	141:11	68:10	140:16
6:22	141:14	133:17	140:17
overtheatrica	parents 39:21	<pre>partner 22:18</pre>	141:7 148:7
1 90:4		23:15 90:11	pay 33:22
	parking	partners 41:4	105:1
overview	131:18	62:19	117:11
48:23	parte 67:16		125:15
owes 138:15	67 : 20	partnership	128:8 128:9
138:16	partial	23:8	paycheck
owned 27:10	48:8 132:12	142:8	139:17
80:24	132:18	142:10	Payment 8:7
	partially	party 67:9	8:11 8:12
ownership	8:15 36:23	67 : 10	16:15 16:20
97:10		132:15	72:22 72:23
100:11	participate	134:5	
100:14	66:17 67:19	137:18	peanuts 33:18
	78:16	pass 122:22	pen 55:18
P	participated	passed	<pre>pending 53:13</pre>
p.m 150:14	78:5	11:13	53:15 70:14
pack 119:17	participatory	65:3 98:21	70:15 75:18
<pre>paper 138:23</pre>	27:6 28:7		76:4
	28:11	<pre>passing 35:3</pre>	128:1
paperwork		passionate	128:16
139:11	particular	89:23 89:24	129:5
paradise	18:22	past 29:19	129:20
142:11	23:6	83:6 98:23	peninsula
paragraph	45:24 46:3		-
70:13 99:17	46:3 95:21 125:9	patcheck	74:11
paramount	144:24	132:11	<pre>people 5:20</pre>
97:19		132:12	17:4 17:6
	particularly	132:13 132:19	17:20 20:24
parcel 49:4	45:21	132:19	30:24
131:22	48:6		34:2
141:3	49:12	<pre>path 133:10</pre>	34:24 38:20
145:22	146:15	<pre>patience 16:4</pre>	38:21 38:25
145:24	147:7	patiently	38:25 40:24
		Pactement	



	I liviceting detable 10, 2017	14D1 7433g11 # 247 40-3	1 age 13c
42:1	110:9	22:17 47:21	139:17
56:21 63:15	per 75:9	61:11	picture 45:25
73:6	76:12	102:22	46:11 46:18
73:20	perceive	persuade	46:23 51:6
75:6	52:24	121:16	pie 36:11
78:20 90:19		petition	_
90:25 94:10	percent 18:10	98:19 99:24	piece 5:14
94:13	24:3		37:16 86:7
95:7 101:20	27:24	petitions	pieces
103:11 103:21	28:7 28:7	100:1	36:10 60:16
103:21	28:8	Petroleum	106:9
103:23	28:11 33:1 33:2	22:4 22:10	Pima-Maricopa
104:10	36:7	phase 27:2	13:19
104:10	36:11 37:25	Phoenix	pipeline 8:21
104.20	42:13 60:10	57:2 57:19	
106:6	60:12 71:11		<pre>pit 135:12</pre>
108:23	75:14 76:22	phone	<pre>pitch 37:14</pre>
109:11	80:25	123:14	placed 117:12
109:13	84:7 84:8	123:15	_
110:19		123:24	places
111:15	perfect 12:25	124:2	25:17
112:5	performed	128:15	33:7 143:23
115:10	27:4	phones 128:13	Plains 105:14
122:19	perhaps 40:13	phonetic	plan 2:12
123:13	107:9	16:21 16:25	32:25 32:25
125:2 125:8	133:18	17:18 17:21	37:14
125:8	period 12:2	21:6	planning 55:5
125:10	28:6	33:13	_
126:14	61:15 68:23	34:5 46:8	plans 136:15
127:5	102:17	50:1	platinum
127:13	138:6 143:1	99:19	148:23
128:2	permanency	104:23	play 103:5
128:10 130:9 136:4	68:24	128:20	plea 114:10
130:9 136:4		129:6 131:8	_
142:11	permit 62:3	132:11	pleas 95:2
142:11	persists	138:11	<pre>please 3:1</pre>
149:18	28:13	149:11	3:4 3:6 8:9
	person 125:5	pick 57:25	107:10
peoples	personal 19:6	144:3	149:11
149:21	114:2	picked 8:23	149:24
people's		picking	pleased
	perspective	brownia	_



116:14	19:17	99 : 7	present
pocket 104:6	74:7 75:10	pounds 42:24	27:5 28:6 71:3 86:19
point 20:23	portion	power 65:4	
21:13 24:23	28:2 64:23	71:14 87:15	presentation
25:24 33:20	portions 18:9	102:11	3:11 8:14
39:23	Portland 62:9	powerful 88:9	9:19 11:5 35:14
45:6	position	125:23	
54:15 60:18	19:22 29:12	125:25	presentations
61:4 69:14 76:15	96:14	126:12	35:15
77:9	positive 35:9	126:20	presented
79:15 102:3	117:6	PowerPoint	58:17
107:25	141:13	38:23	102:18
121:18	possessed	powers 118:7	presenters
124:4	97:16	practical	149:25
125 : 17		117:20	presenting
points 11:4	possessory		101:4
11:5	27:7 28:8 28:19	<pre>practice 65:14 65:19</pre>	presently
38:22 47:14		67:21	96:17 109:5
121 : 4	possible		president
121:17	12:21 13:11	Prairie 19:13 105:12	13:18 31:19
<pre>police 19:3</pre>	poster 33:14		37:13 58:10
policies	post-World	pray 17:15	63:18 69:10
4:9 59:9	37:18	31:5	87:19 101:7
64:13	Potatotami	<pre>prayer 16:23</pre>	105:13
79:7 128:24	23:2 64:4	precaution	105:15
<pre>policy 3:13</pre>	67 : 25	137:20	122:6 131:9
64:10 64:18	Potawatomi	<pre>preempt 22:5</pre>	president's
65 : 19	9:18 9:20	preempting	73:23
96:6	16:21 19:14	18:15	<pre>pressing 55:6</pre>
117:8 117:9 132:10	63:15 63:17	Preemption	<pre>pressure 65:3</pre>
	64:8 66:6	18:23	presumption
<pre>politely 40:1</pre>	68:7 68:10	prejudiced	18:5 76:5
poor 30:25	69:3 105:13	68:13	<pre>pretty 123:22</pre>
74:9 75:9 82:4	149:6	prelude 117:1	147:15
82:4 poorest 30:25	potential	prepared	<pre>preview 50:4</pre>
_	27:15 28:12	116:12	previous 8:21
poorly 79:2	47:11 76:23		52:7
population	76:23 98:25	presence	82:24 87:11



104:8 67:7 119:25 programs	
price 85:3 proceed 49:19 120:4 121:2 19:20 74	:15
primarily 133:2 121:3 121:5 progress	
82:13 proceedings 121:6 121:7 progress 108:12	
Prince 131:23 97:17 122:1 108:12	
149:12 128:16 prohibite	d
principle 150:14 130:15 - 60:21	u.
29:15 130:25	
prior 29:3 131.1 project 1	
54:4 process 131.19 26:24 26	
132:3	
132.21	:13
probability 47:18 47:25 132:21 27:17 28:2	
134.18	
54.12 54.23 136:12 51.5	
probably 144:9 62:14 63	•15
20:11 144:11 62:17 63	
30:/	
34:22 45:17	
46:11 58:22 60.20 144:17	7.10
62:5 67:8	
67:22 67:25	• 2 3
68:4	
77:13 77:16	0.0
78:4 78:7	22
problem 80:9 processed promised	
6:15 85:5 80:25 105:25 28:14 73	3:19
111:19 123:7 124:6 81:8 processes 78:20	
9:24 promote 5	:9
00.4 07.3 processing 7:8 97:2	2.4
problematic 91:25 53:2 129:4 promoted	6:24
135:19	
157.0	
problems 13:2	
23:5 68:13 promoting promoting	6:1
procedural 114:14 118:2 77:4	
68:5 68:13 produce 32:23 prompt 18	:18
procedure 118:23 program 33:20 promulgat	е
133:14 118:25 34:7 23:21	_
119:5 74:16 74:17	



promulgated	97 : 22	5:6 96:8	97:23
3:19	102:21	protection	132:13
promulgating	102:25	24:2 38:7	provision
147:18	105:8	150:9	61:9
promulgation	116:21	protections	99:25
54:12	116:25	97:10	139:24
	117:4 117:13	prove 39:23	provisions
properties 85:4 89:6	117:21	64:18	49:18
89:7 89:10	117:24		99:3 103:14
	118:3 118:5	proven	proximity
property 19:6	118:8	19:18 94:1 126:9	66:12 70:10
60:17 63:17 63:23 85:11	118:11		<pre>public 24:2</pre>
85:19	118:20	provide	24:9
86:7	119:3	2:25 7:16	67:14
86:11 86:13	119:21	12:11 19:11	82:6 98:1
89:14 89:19	122:16	23:25 25:23	98:2 98:5
117:12	128:22	26:2	98:7 98:9
142:14	130:4	48:13 49:13 67:9	publish
	131:15	67:11	100:24
Proportionall	135:8	73:5	
y 24:8	135:20	75:16	Puget 142:19
proposal 69:2	135:23	82:2 82:3	pulled 134:23
82:14 82:14	proposing	82:7 98:2	135:5
82:23	64:13 69:23	104:10	purchase
83:4 91:2	78:3	104:25	59:20 75:10
117:13	78:11 122:4	133:10	96:24
142:5	122:19	147:21	purchased
proposals	prosper 5:12	148:9	129:6
70:8	prosperity	provided	129:13
propose 12:13	5:10	35:23 49:11	
133:9	prospers 5:11	117:24	purchasing
proposed 3:22		provides	140:20
6:5 7:5	prostitution	18:25 74:13	purports 61:5
36:19 50:23	89:3	98:18	<pre>purpose 48:12</pre>
54:13	protect 22:15	99:6 132:21	50:13 59:25
56:3	38:13 66:15	134:2	72:10 78:25
57:24	protected	providing	128:3 138:5
58:6 67:1	97:14 97:21	3:14 19:4	145:14
71:24 72:17	100:16	3:14 19:4	145:24
78:22 79:19	protecting	56:20 60:1	purposes 49:4
80:13 80:17	proceeding	30.20 00.1	50:20



Tribal Consultation	Tivieeting October 16, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24740-3	Page 198
53:9 61:6	145:16	Rachel 113:25	122 : 25
65:13 71:21	questioned	Rainier	136 : 2
75:13 75:23	39:17	142:19	real 37:8
118:14	questioning	raise 19:23	38 : 15
129:16	70:20		40:2
pursuant 21:8		raised	42:13
99:17	questions	24:25 99:17	71:7 82:4
pursue 18:14	7:15	ramifications	93:21
pursuits	31:13 51:17 52:16 56:14	77:7	94:8 98:6
66:24	56:15	rate 50:20	111:19
	94:5 94:15	71 : 22	113:16
push 20:13		rates 18:10	113:16
22:15 89:18	queue 120:14	73:15 73:17	115:1 123:8
puts 19:22	qui 17:21	76:1 97:1	realities
putting 13:20	quick 45:9	98:10 98:10	90:9
15:6	48:10 49:16	98:11	reality
15:17	115:1 115:3		56:7 99:2
129:22	116:13	rather 57:24 117:9	realize 90:24
	124:11		
Q	quickly	ratified	really
quality 80:17	13:8	103:4	13:23
question	13:10 112:3	rattle 135:14	15:5 15:21
10:13 10:15	147:9	re 89:16	16:8
13:17 21:21	quite 2:11	reach 24:11	26 : 17
30:7 30:9	24:16 24:20	28:21 61:12	30:4
31:21 34:13	25:14 25:18		31:14 40:16
53:11 53:15	55:3 83:4	reached 92:22	40:25
92:23 92:24	83:15 85:10	93:2	43:5 44:1
94:3	85 : 23	95:18 117:7	44:25
94:22 95:22	86:8	reaching 38:2	46:2
106:22	87:18	reacquire	46:20 47:12
110:2	109:12	65:4 81:3	51:11 53:13
110:10	135:25	re-acquire	63:24 81:17
112:7 126:6	quo 147:1	65 : 2	87:2 88:6
126:15	quote 4:24		88:19 88:23
139:16	59:18	reacquired	91:10 91:15
139:22 140:5 140:6	136:25	63:21	91:17
140:5 140:6	100.20	reacquiring	93:5
140:15	R	81:1 87:8	95:16
145:12	Rabbit 148:19	reading 51:8	101:12 102:16
110.12		ready	102:16
		ready	100.0



10000	.	00 15	EC 15 50
106:22	reclaim 119:8	80:17	76:17 76:23
107:5 112:6	recognize	reduce	129:4 148:3
115:11	18:1 26:3	58:22 107:4	regardless
115:11	73:4 79:6	118:21	5:4 50:12
119:23	87:24	128:4	86:6
119:24	97:7 101:14	128 : 12	regards 130:7
120:1	103:13	136:10	_
124:16	108:19	136:11	region
133:7	138:14	140:2	69:11 69:18
136:22	138:20	reducing 4:23	69:19 87:16
137:8	recognized	58:2	98:6 105:14
137:22 145:4 147:8	30:15 79:12		135:4 135:6
	97:19 99:21	reestablish	regional 69:9
reason 20:1	120:18	12:10	87:13 97:12
65:18 133:3	149:15	refer 58:16	105:13
134:8		reference	110:16
reasonable	recognizes	55 : 24	133:22
44:13 79:13	70:5	57 : 8	regions 69:21
reasons 20:22	recognizing	57 : 17 77 : 20	78 : 14
29:20	11:6 11:7	88:21 93:16	
85:7 85:8	11:12 11:25	referenced	regress
85:8 85:8	73:3 84:3		108:13
85:9	90:12 102:1	55 : 7	regs 5:16 8:1
85:10	138:25	referred 65:7	36:17 50:5
87:9 100:23	recommend	referring	regulate 6:18
119:22	143:24	33:10	18:3
130:5 132:5	recommendatio	reflect 6:9	regulation
148:22			•
	n 13:9	87:23 93:6 100:3	2:18 23:10 25:20
rebalancing	recommendatio		48:22 52:12
136:17	ns 12:22	reflected	52:15 53:14
receive	12:23	53:24	54:13 60:25
28:1 28:10	record 3:2	refuses 97:7	77:10 77:12
received 3:22	3:2 66:22	regard 7:20	79:19 87:23
6:6 51:17	72:18 76:10	11:1 11:6	117:1
97:1	126:6	12:1	132:20
		13:12 82:16	134:14
recently 14:7	recording	83:8	144:7
14:12 105:5	46:13	85:15 85:22	146:25
138:13	recourse 63:2	86:25	147:18
reception	red 38:16		147:19
149:8		regarding	
	redeeming	12:4	regulations



	1		. 4.90 =0 .
3:18 3:24	59 : 3	16:25	98 : 3
4:8 4:15	60:21	25:1 99:8	remove 134:12
6:6 6:7	61:8	133:1 143:9	removed 30:17
6:14 7:6	61:14 79:20	relatively	55:19
7:8 7:12	80:1	19:16	
7:25 10:8	89:12 118:7	relatives	render 146:11
11:11 17:11	reinforce	30:19	renovated
17:24 17:25	22:10	149:20	64 : 2
18:15 21:17	reinstate		rent 27:7
23:21 28:22	48:16	relevant 89:5	28:8
32:11 32:17	52:2 117:5	96:16	28:11 105:2
36:18 38:17		relied 131:19	
41:24 44:21	reinstatement	relieve 147:6	Reorganizatio
46:3 46:10 46:12	68:8	147:7	n 59:5
46:21	68:23		63:20 65:12
47:6	117:16	religious	74:4 76:9
47:12 47:13	reinstating	79:13 85:7	78:25 80:4
48:15 48:18	71:13	relinquishmen	repeal 65:9
51:9	reintroductio	t 59:20	65 : 10
51:10 51:19	n 132:10	remain 103:23	repeat 105:19
51:24	reiterate	remanding	repetition
52:9	105:15	137:13	105:16
63:14 69:23	144:13	remark	replaced 7:2
74:23	reject 66:3	11:16	_
79:7	_	147:12	reporter 2:24
79:20	rejecting		119:16
80:7	64:7	remarks 56:2	reports 118:3
91:13 92:14	relations	remedy	represent
102:18	45:1	36:23 52:13	17:16 139:2
106:24	relationship	remember 17:3	148:21
128:3	5:5 30:13	17 : 4	representativ
128:17	32:3	34:21 39:14	e 72:24
133:14	101:2	39:19 39:20	
139:22	101:10	78:20 85:13	representativ
	101:17	125:2	es 127:9
regulatory	relationships	remind	127:14
2:20 4:1	53:21 53:23	34 : 19	149:13
4:23 6:22	81:22	59 : 5	representing
7:21	101:21	69:16 77:20	21:22
11:14 21:11 22:6 22:9	106:16	reminder 45:5	represents
58:23	relative		9:13 36:6
JU. 2J		remote 19:8	



Republican	136:14	116:24	resources
83:9	146:9	129:16	36:1 68:6
request 36:13	requires	141:6 141:9	72 : 13
69:20	52:14 137:5	141:12	73:2 73:8
107:10		141:13	73:9
143:12	requiring	142:7	79 : 25
	68:2	142:15	80:1 81:5
requested	reservation	142:17	96:15
14:18 14:22	12:3 12:8	145:6	respect
14:24 15:4	12:15 12:17	146:16	4:20 17:8
requesting	17:13	reservations	17:14 41:10
29:5	18:7 18:9	18:9 24:4	58:21 71:10
78:13 143:4	19:1	24:12 28:23	85 : 24
require 50:18	24:20	32:24 59:23	86:1 86:1
51:9	25:2 25:6	60:17	86:2 118:10
51:24 66:12	27:3	65:6 75:5	148:13
67:10	27 : 25	84:24	respected
68:5	28:2	95:4 103:10	13:3
118:3 118:5	28:18	106:8	
118:12	29:1	111:19	respectfully
118:19	40:25 46:24	116:18	69:20 77:20
required	47:13	117:3	79 : 5
43:18 48:11	48:4 49:4	122:14	respecting
48:14 49:10	50:21 50:23	140:19	8:17 25:4
77:9	50:24 59:10	reserved	respective
91:14	59:15 61:19	127:22	87:16
117:14	66:11 71:22		
137:4	74:9	residual 60:7	respond 80:19
	74:25	resolution	82:5 82:5
requirement	75:6	21:9 122:22	82:6 115:13
66:8	75:24 78:24	122:23	responders
76:15 81:17	80:21 80:24	134:10	15 : 9
92:7	81:3 95:8 95:21 98:18	resolutions	response
118:8	99:1	35:3	22:22
118:15	99:10 99:12	resolve 39:2	
requirements	99:10 99:12		responsibilit
29:6	100:4	resolved 39:3	ies 77:25
50:11 76:13	100:4	43:14	responsibilit
76:16 77:11	104:13	resource	y 17:1 17:5
91:12 91:13	104:13	18:19	17 : 16
92:12	106:9 109:2	26:3	32 : 5
120:10	111:16	75:25 85:20	40:23 56:19
120:13			



107:16 33:24 74:2 responsibly returning 77:13 78:23 91:2 33:18 34:12 128:21 rest 41:17 reveals 90:18 129:11 98:8 129:9 revenue 12:10 revisit 13:5 102:17	125:24 125:25 126:2 126:18 126:23 127:4 142:18
91:2 rest 41:17 98:8 129:9 restaurants 91:2 128:21 129:11 129:19 revenue 12:10 13:5 102:17	126:2 126:18 126:23 127:4
91:2 rest 41:17 98:8 129:9 restaurants 33:18 34:12 128:21 129:11 129:19 revenue 12:10 13:5 revisit 102:17	126:18 126:23 127:4
rest 41:17 98:8 129:9 restaurants reveals 90:18 129:11 129:19 revenue 12:10 13:5	126:23 127:4
98:8 129:9 restaurants restaurants 13:5 revenue 12:10 102:17	127:4
restaurants 13:5 revisit 102:17	
restaurants 13:5 102:17	142:18
39:20 28:15 67:3 revitalized	road 43:17
restoration revenues 7:23 revitalized 64:2	86:11
18:20 56:20 19:23 20:21	roadblocks
restore 27:6 richest 70:1	15:17 98:23
restore 27.20 27.20 ridge 27.2	
83:2 83:2	roads 19:2
20.5 20.0	24:1 33:4
28:9	roamed 55:19
restored 70:6 28:12 28:18 rights	rocket 38:19
70:23 29:1 28:15 59:22	
restoring 29:16 29:22 59:22 66:15	Rockies 108:5
65:16 75:14 73:5	111:10
restrict reverse 59:6 75:20	role 5:6
138:18	118:18
130:21	rolls 22:14
restricted 52:11 139:9	
6:19 97:3 review ripe 21:24	Ron 10:23
restrictions 22:25 47:23 22:19	20:17 23:19
29:7 47:25 49:16 102:10	34:22
restrictive 49:24 54:10 rise 82:13	36:3 82:11
80:2 56:3 78:2 130:3	room 16:3
91:24 118:1	42:3 47:9
result 43:3 reviewing rising 5:12	128:2
118:8	root 146:22
130:24 70:16 92:1 64:25 65:15	
resulting 65:21	Ross 122:10
52:13	round 4:6
retain revised 100:3 15:21 18:20	110:10
15:14 87:15 revision 66:7 103:6	roundtable
102.12	127:15
retired 39:10 revisions	
41:6	rule 3:22 6:5
retroceding 18:14 53:16 104.11	54:13 68:17
133:10	97:22
J 77:24 105.3	117:13
58:7 68:1	119:10



	Tweeting Colober 10, 2017		1 age 204
121:13	79:25	screen 56:15	135:2 135:3
135:8	sales 20:6	scrutiny	135:16
rules 23:17	20:10	99:13	135:17
32:11 32:17	27 : 7	sea 149:18	secretary's
65:19 69:23	27 : 15	149:18	65:1 84:4
85:15 85:16	28:7 28:19	Seattle 34:22	97 : 22
100:24	salesman 31:8		section
101:3	Salish 130:2	second	61:4 66:5
131:15		22:13	96:20 98:18
133:9	Salt 13:19	27:1 131:8	99:4 99:6
134:10	15:21	seconds	99:18
ruling	satisfactory	127:20	107:15
133:9	140:5	secretary 2:8	sections
133:25	satisfied	2:21 2:23	47:16
137:1 138:1	49:19	3:12 4:18	secure 12:12
run 3:15 4:10	Sault 8:12	4:24	
23:10 23:16	72:23	47:25 55:25	securing
67:22 89:8		58:18 59:18	97:20
running 2:9	save 68:6	63:10 63:20	seeing
rural 19:7	146:3	65 : 4	46:25
98:5	saved 145:21	65:11 65:12	59:1 59:3
	saw 41:10	65:15 65:15	72:21
rush 30:10	95:14	65:18	seek 58:4
31:7	119:25	66:3 66:6	100:6
rushed	125:11	67:7 68:9	seeking 70:16
30:11	scares 42:4	68:19	_
35:6 124:12		69:1 69:5	seeks 100:6
	scattered 74:10 74:20	69:6 72:25 85:25	seem 37:10
S	74:10 74:20	97:5 99:2	seemed 68:12
Sacramento		99:7	seems 9:10
26:24 27:22	scenario	99:13 99:16	48:18
sacred 17:5	74:21	99:22	81:8 101:18
sacrificing	scheduled	100:24	107:2
150:10	130:13	107:16	110:14
	143:21	113:12	112:25
safe 99:9	school	129:15	122:20
safety 24:2	39:16 39:16	132:16	124:7
24:9 82:6	75 : 22	133:5	124:12
98:2 98:5	schools 33:6	133:22	133:19
98:7 98:9		134:6	140:7
sale 59:9	science 38:19	134:24	147:10



Tribai Consultation	i weeting October 16, 2017	ND1 ASSYII# 24740-3	Page 205
seen 19:20	60:9	24:1 24:4	county
57:1	senate 101:25	24:6 24:7	74:11
91:19	114:5	24:9 24:9	several 35:14
102:22	send 54:7	24:10 24:11	43:4 74:9
126:17	128:15	25:23 33:24	75:17 79:17
126:17		74:13 74:15	109:17
143:8	sense 121:9	74:17 74:18	134:23
self 4:9 4:19	126:8	74:19 75:15	severely
58:19 96:6	141:16	79:14	65 : 10
self-	sense-wise	82:2 82:7 82:8 98:2	
determinati	121:14	149:23	sewer 33:4
on 4:19	sent 15:20		sexual 98:12
17 : 25	110:16	session 2:7	sexually
96:4 100:19	135:4	2:13 2:17	98:11
100:21	sentiments	9:8 17:7	shackle 57:14
self-	20:17	30:7 39:7 42:6	
governance		123:9 124:3	share 17:2
58:20	separate 48:6		19:15 22:16
100:19	serious 20:20	sessions	113:10
self-	20:25 20:25	4:5 4:6 9:9	<pre>shared 90:7</pre>
	32:5	17:3	124:6
<pre>government 4:10 6:2</pre>	44:23 64:12	78:15 78:17 80:12	sharing 149:1
	70:18	121:21	Shawnee 70:11
self-reliance	seriously	122:2	
13:12	32:10 63:7	124:10	sheet 3:3
self-	seriousness	126:5	shining
reliant	68 : 22	127 : 12	149:18
87:6 90:23	serve 39:24	settled 13:14	Shinnecock
self-	90:19 90:25	13:17 129:9	69 : 8
respect	116:25		shook 88:15
41:11 42:1	served	settlement	ahaatina
self-	39:20 39:22	20:2	shooting 66:19 91:16
sufficient	114:4	96:21	
18:12		129:13 129:14	shops 89:8
40:5 40:18	serves 25:25	129:14	short
self-	service 5:2	141:24	102:14
	7:7		102:15
<pre>sustaining 5:9</pre>	services	settlers	143:1
	18 : 25	59 : 16	shorter 115:7
sell 43:10	19:2 19:5	seven 132:1	shorthanded
semi-desert	19:8 19:9	seven-	SHOT CHANGE



15:12	125:1	smack 23:16	122:23
shoulders	site 67:1	small 19:17	143:12
88:17	118:8	36:10 74:10	146:16 148:10
shrunk 141:9	sites 75:16	75:4	148:15
Sickening	75:17 75:19	84:21 96:1 102:13	somebody's
139:19	81:6 97:7		148:13
sign 3:4	110:25	snail 54:7	somehow 106:2
26:12 26:14	sitting	Snow 30:6	
signed 20:2	33:1	30:8	someone
103:2	41:25 59:12 63:16	social 19:2	111:10 133:16
significantly	123:12	39:10 39:12	
79:8	127:14	59:7 74:18	something's
sign-in 3:3	situated	82:6 104:10	
similar	28 : 25	sold 44:4	somewhat
9:11	situation	44:5 44:6	
27:12 53:19	117:14	44:6 59:16	somewhere
112:17	situations	solicit 12:12	130:25 135:14
112:17	10:17 141:8		
similarly	six 58:22	soliciting 22:17	son's 150:12
23:12 28:24	109:19		sooner 52:4
simple	135:2	solicitor 21:23	135:11
37:12	six-year		sorry 51:7
100:13	132:13	solicitors	81:15 148:8
107:21	size 141:10	60:22	sort 46:2
107:22	skilled 5:21	solicitor's	46:16 47:22
simply 75:2		141:21	48:23 49:1
117:8 119:8	S'Klallam	Solicitors	49:13 49:16
simultaneousl	10:24 23:20 82:12	22:24	49:19
y 6:3		solid 22:21	50 : 4
sir 95:24	Slade 34:21	solidify 96:7	50 : 15
102:19	slide 32:12	solution	51:5
sister 86:16	35:13 35:17	108:14	54:18 93:1
sit 20:14	58:16	133:13	146:2
21:2 21:3	slides 4:11	solve 107:23	146:21
36:7	16:12 38:23	somebody 44:1	Sound 142:20
39:22	slot 2:11	64:12 85:18	
113:15	slow 87:11	102:11	sounds 16:10 95:11
124:22		120:23	10.10 30:11



200000000000000000000000000000000000000	107.10		
sources 26:10	127:18	speeches	standards
south 21:7	131:13	126:19	10:11
43:7 109:6	132:9	spending 64:1	64:6 68:24
southeast	speaker 83:11	spirit	standing
27:2	90:1 102:20	77 : 21	63:16
27:13 69:18	115:2	80:3 143:17	66:8 112:16
	116:11		stands
Southeastern	119:19	Spokane	22:20 77:11
35:13	123:20	26:6	
southern	127:20	26:11	start 20:18
131:12	127:25	39:8 41:8	22:13
southwest	139:21	123:5	47:8
105:14	140:14	143:10	54:22 56:16
105:14	141:5	spoke 39:15	56:23
121:24	141:17	71:11	101:16
	141:21	123:13	105:17
sovereign	143:2	125:3 130:8	120:16
10:10 26:13	speakers	spoken 101:20	started
sovereignty	82:24		2:10 4:15
4:17 4:18	123:17	sponsor	102:3 120:3
4:24 5:1	speaking	148:23	starting
5:1 5:7	26:22 32:18	sponsors 60:3	44:19
5:18 7:4	33:9 56:6	spots 15:1	107:24
7:11 7:14	124:8	_	Starts 109:25
8:17 8:18	131:10	spread 74:15	
11:25 18:11	137:6	springboard	state 3:1
35:15		46:16	5:22 6:14
38:7	speaks 102:25	square	7:12 8:9
38:12 58:19	special 52:23	55:21 83:17	9:22 9:23
73:4 77:1	67 : 16	staff 13:20	10:3 10:4
83:2 84:3	specific 7:17	17:4	10:7 10:8
84:22	26:23	17:4 17:15	10:25
spaces 104:14	67 : 7	56:1 69:1	12:6
speak 8:3	76:16		18:16 18:23
57:23 72:20	90:7 131:14	staffer 114:5	19:21 19:22
105:7 123:6		stand 22:11	19:25
123:10	specifically	22:18 28:10	20:8
124:3 124:5	47:16	57:23 60:15	20:12 21:12
124:23	<pre>specify 51:11</pre>	61:16	21:14
126:5 126:8	spectrum 5:2	138:17	22:2 22:5
126:11	_	standard	23:3
127:11	spectrums	54:12 137:7	23:22
	73:15	J1.12 1J1.1	25:8 26:7



26:18 27:23	States	step 22:4	stream 28:15
33:17 33:18	30:13 64:11	44:17	streamline
33:21 34:12	73:7	91:1 108:3	46:21 47:18
40:13	73:18 103:3	stepped 149:5	streamlined
41:2	115:20	steps 42:11	110:13
53:20	121:22	79:21	
57:7	127:10		streamlining
67:18 70:25	149:20	steward 85:19	110:15
71:15	stating	stewards	111:21
72:2 84:8	128:25	85:22 109:8	stretched
96:3 97:6 99:19 100:4	statistics	stewardship	80:11
105:20	73:15	109:4	stroke 55:18
106:6	statues 97:14		
110:25		stick 72:13	strong 22:12 37:21
121:24	status 61:2	stipulations	88:2 88:6
136:15	67:9	110:18	88:10 88:17
136:18	67:16 68:16	110:22	
136:19	68:21 90:23	111:21	stronger
137:18	97:4 97:6	stolen	113:11
137:18	97:21 100:7	59:16 69:24	strongly
142:4	100:17 104:19	70:24	18:13
stated 85:6	104:19	stone 91:8	100:23
108:2		stood 123:20	structure
117:23	statute		12 : 7
119:13	48:7 145:1	stop 28:22	struggles
130:5	statutes 6:8	43:17 84:11	18:8
statement	statutory	84:12 87:10	
116:12	22:7 22:9	87:18	struggling
	22:21 65:21	126:10	95:16
states 6:11	stay 61:15	stopped 136:5	stuck 43:14
7:3 8:19	117:5	stops 83:4	studies 39:11
20:19 21:1	117:16	83:5	stuff 10:5
22:13	119:17	store 29:4	16:12 39:12
25:8	132:10	40:8 41:8	42:22
29:23 34:10	135:9		43:6
38:1 57:5	135:10	stories 30:19	43:10 43:12
57:11 60:25	stayed 103:11	story 19:15	43:12 84:14
76:20	_	63:5 63:5	89:4
86:9 106:15	Ste 8:12	straight	89:14 90:11
129:14	72:23	110:17	125:14
state's 10:20	stead 3:15	strategy 6:1	subcommittee



October 16, 2017

35:2	103:19	119:11	supremacy
subject	substance	suggestions	29:14
10:8	8:15 8:16	63:8 68:1	Suquamish
53:13 53:16	95:11	suggests	101:7
97:16	substantial	118:15	102:16
subjective	132:23	suicide 73:16	sure 11:24
76:14 76:19	substantive	98:10	16:1
76:25 77:10	147:15		21:10 29:18
77:11 77:12		summer 9:4	37:15 38:11
79:3	substantively 3:20	summit 80:14	38:20 45:17
subleases		Supai 115:24	47:21 64:15
14:14 14:17	substitute	supply	86:7
14:18 15:16	64:6 66:7	50:10 51:12	91:17 91:21
submission	substituting		91:23 92:2 92:4
67:13	118:9	<pre>support 21:9 22:8</pre>	92:2 92:4 94:17 95:22
submissions	success	44:2	106:11
67:11	85:1	67:25 78:10	108:5 115:5
	90:17 90:18	110:8	120:18
submit 49:5 72:15	133:15		128:1
120:11	successes	supported 65:14 66:5	135:25
138:7	87:7 87:8		137 : 20
139:24	successful	supporting	143:15
142:23	88:9	22:20 110:5	146:17
143:24	90:21	supportive	surface 59:22
submitted	109:14	119:12	surrounding
29:3 49:9	132:25	supports	5:11 5:22
62:3	sudden	17:23 68:7	14:21 66:22
68:11 131:4	30:11 43:22	suppose	72:8 72:9
131:16	87:8 87:10	141:25	72 : 11
132:1	sue 132:16	supposed	survival 74:1
submitting	sued 120:23	9:5 19:11	Swinomish
7:18 128:20		33:22 37:24	31:18 58:10
subordinates	suffered	38:21 47:23	61:21 61:23
76 : 25	30:21	91:18 91:22	62 : 6
subordinating	suffering	93:4 103:18	S-W-I-N-O-M-
8:18	38:25	114:20	I-S-H 31:18
	suggested	123:14	
subscribe	6:16	124:3	system 6:24
	21:19 57:10	suppress	7:2 33:21 56:9
subsistence	76:12	88:11	J0:9
		-	



	Tiviceting October 10, 2017		1 age 210
60:10 139:7	140:21	123:16	22:5
systems	talk 20:6	tariffed	22:13
19:1 133:22	36:16 37:12	43:23 43:24	28:3
134:24	38:23 47:10	task 95:15	33:22
	55:10 55:22		34:4 82:1
T	70:19 81:16	tax 4:1	117:12
table 20:5	87:1 113:15	6:18 12:4	taxing 12:7
47:2	119:22	12:10 12:16	20:11 23:25
82:24	121:10	13:4	85 : 14
123:15	126:20	15:14 19:25	taxpayer
Tahsuda 2:8	146:24	20:6 22:1	27:19
13:13 15:25	149:1	24:13 24:15	
16:1	talked	24:18	teacher 39:11
17:19 31:20	21:23 31:10	27:7 27:7	teachers 40:2
31:23 31:25	37:20 83:18	27:15 27:15	41:19
45:8	128:13	27:21	team 31:21
58:15 63:11	128:13	28:7 28:9	35:2 148:8
69:5 91:5		28:18 28:19	
113:24	talker 138:12	28:19	telecommunica
114:20	139:20	29:9	tions
115:3 115:6	talking 21:16	29:14 33:21	33:5 73:12
119:15	26:7 36:9	34:18 34:20	ten 2:16
127:23	36:14 38:22	34:24 35:20	62:16 62:20
128:12	39:13 42:12	42:17 43:3	83:19 86:18
129:25	47:14 55:17	43:3	122:4 134:9
131:6 138:9	56:7 56:8		135:22
140:11	56:10	taxable 31:12	138:2
140:16	59:4 70:3	taxation 6:24	tenant 135:21
141:8	80:9	7:13 7:20	
141:20	91:15	9:12 12:4	tenants
143:15	106:10	18:23 19:10	135:23
	108:24	21:12 21:14	tendency
tail 57:4	113:14	21:14	37:11
taking	123:23	22:2 22:2	tenth 36:7
23:24 42:17	136:5 143:6	23:3	42:13
43:6 44:9	144:5	24:16 24:17	
48:17 55:22	talks 56:15	28:13 33:15	ten-year 27:5
60:7	57 : 9	82:17	28:5
69:14	Tana 2:22	taxed 97:15	terms 13:2
76:6			21:25 36:10
117:6	tape 38:17	taxes 14:6	37:21 43:11
125:20	tapping	15:10 18:16	76 : 24
128:10		19:6 19:6	



	I wiceting Sciober 10, 2017		1 age 211
terrible	58:7 58:8	124:13	144:21
86:17 86:25	58 : 15	therefore	145:24
territory	63:9 63:9	29:17	they'd 124:22
81:4	63:10 63:13	132:25	they'll
81:12	72:19	136:1 136:2	120:12
148:25	80:5 80:19 82:10	there'll	
terror 43:17	95:24 101:5	113:22	they're 5:23 6:8
test 132:22	105:10	there's 5:2	8:23
133:14	108:17	9:4 12:24	12:24
testified	113:23	17:12 19:15	15:4 20:8
93:25	113:24	20:13 22:14	21:1
	114:18	23:3	23:22 23:23
testify 86:14	114:19	24:25 25:24	24:11 26:18
testimonies	119:13	26:23 28:20	33:1
112:9 130:5	123:4	33 : 7	38:21
testimony	127:19	33:11 33:11	44:9
70:4	128:18	40:17	44:18
88:19	129:24	43:9	45:7
89:2	129:25	43:25 44:13	51:10 53:16
92:16	131:5 131:6	49:7	67:2 72:7
128:21	138:8 138:9	49:24	72:7
130:14	143:1 143:2 143:4 148:8	50:8 52:9	81:20
tests 29:21	148:17	52:12 80:16 81:13 82:19	82:1 86:10
29:21		92:3	95:3 103:18
thank 7:10	thankful	92:24	106:16
9:14 9:16	32:22	94:1 98:7	115:11
10:13 10:14	thanking	98:8	115:12
13:20 15:24	45:10	103:5	121:11
16:3 16:4	thanks	104:14	122:4
16:9	13:12 16:20	108:7	137:19
16:10 17:19	16:22 17:20	109:19	145:4
19:11 26:20	26:4 91:3	110:2	they've
30:5 30:6	theirs 24:14	111:20	34:6 45:4
31:16 31:20		113:19	109:12
32:2	theme 4:22	115:18	110:11
33:14 35:11	themes 4:13	120:8 121:9	144:8
39:3	4:16 58:17	133:12	145:11
39:25 39:25	themselves	133:21	third 33:8
42:4 45:4	11:11 20:3	134:3	123:10
45:5	theory 124:12	136:14 139:23	123:20
46:24 55:25		139:43	-



137:18	86:17 86:24	3:5 3:6	18:2
thorough	tired 41:22	topics 3:9	trades 5:21
79:12	41:22	totally 72:14	trading
thoughts	title 132:3	94:24 94:24	43:6 103:7
111:11	133:2	119:20	traditions
112:15	133:16	122:22	30:18
144:14 147:3	134:3	122:24	149:16
	Tlingit 131:9	123:2	traffic
thousands	tobacco 19:25	touch 69:22	94:9
34:11	today 2:12	136:3	94:12 95:6
threat 9:13	2:24 17:2	tough 98:6	trafficking
three-hour	20:23	140:7	89:4
2:11	21:5	toward 6:3	trained 5:25
throughout	28:17	towards 150:5	transcribing
32:21 109:3	29:1 29:17 29:23	town 97:7	2:25
throw 91:5	55:20	towns 40:6	transferred
122:3 144:1	56 : 2		96:19 96:22
thrown	57:23 59:13	Townside 97:2	transient
112:3	63 : 25	track 66:21	95 : 7
112:23	65 : 9	trade 2:15	transition
thumbs 50:8	78:10	6:18	122:11
tide 5:12	102:24 104:1 105:7	36:17 39:11	122:11
tie 46:7	104:1 103:7	42:19 43:11 105:6	transitioning
	107:11		122:8
ties 26:9 26:16 39:12	111:14	trader 2:15 3:5 3:17	transparent
	111:24	4:8 4:15	67:24
till 102:24	112:3 114:2	5:16 6:8	
time-	114:9	7:24 8:1	transportatio n 19:2
consuming	114:11	11:2 11:2	73:11
49:23	115:17 128:14	11:15 17:11	
timeframe 9:1	131:3 142:5	18:14 21:10	trauma 73:16
147:9	145:8	21:17 21:18	travel 75:13
timeline	tolerable	28:22 29:3	traveling
105:9	117:20	29:3	108:8
136:10	tonight 149:8	39:5 80:7	142:19
147:16	_	82:16	treasurer
timely 52:1	tool 65:24	traders 13:23	10:25 82:13
timing's	topic 2:15	10 . 20	treasury 14:5



Tribal Consultation	Tweeting October 16, 2017	ND1 Assgn # 24748-3	Page 213
29:25	57:14 57:21	142:3	94:25 101:7
treated	58:4 58:5	148:21	102:1
41:8 41:9	59:1	149:13	104:11
107:22	59:11 60:15	149:22	105:23
	61:11	149:25	105:24
treaties	65:4 65:7	tribals 29:13	111:3
11:20 11:21	65:16		118:10
30:14	69:7 69:9	tribal's	118:13
73:1 73:8	73:1 73:4	29:13	118:16
73:17 73:25	73:6 73:9	tribe 7:7	120:19
treating 41:4	73:17 75:22	8:12 10:8	120:19
treaty	75:23 75:24	10:24 11:25	123:6
35:18 37:23	76:25 77:21	12:1 15:6	124:21
75:16 75:20	79:6 79:8	17:22 17:23	125:7
103:2 103:9	79:10 80:14	18:13 18:24	126:13
103:14	80:14 88:23	18:25	131:11
105:7	89:12 90:16	20:5	137:2 137:5
	93:20	23:20	140:15
tree 44:12	94:2 96:1	26:6	142:5
tribal 2:1	96:6	26:11 28:10	142:22
3:8 4:4 4:9	96:11	28:24	tribes 3:23
4:18 4:19	98:1	30:8	4:20 4:21
5:7 5:17	98:14 100:5	30:12 31:18	5:2 5:3 5:3
5:19 6:2	100:8	32:25 33:17	5:8 5:19
6:2 7:1	100:13	39:9 42:3	6:10 6:16
7:14 8:17	100:18	42:8	6:17 6:20
10:19 14:10	101:7 103:7	43:10 49:14	7:16 13:5
14:11 17:25	104:16	58:10	13:8
18:3 18:3	105:6	61:2	19:16 20:15
18:6	105:24	64:19 64:21	21:3 21:7
18:16	109:25	64:24 69:24	29:10 29:18
19:3 19:3	116:9 118:6	70:11 70:11	29:24 32:23
26:13 37:21	118:10	71:17 71:17	33:10 33:25
38:12	118:20	72:7	34:16 36:25
42:8	127:9	72:23	40:21 43:16
50:15 51:16	128:23	74:5 74:5	43:25
53:6 53:7	129:3	74:7	49 : 7
53:21	131:18	74:12 74:16	53:20 55:13
54:8 55:6	134:11	75 : 9	59 : 8
55:11 55:15	136:17	75:10 77:18	59:12 61:16
55:16	136:23	80:20	62:6 62:7
56:8	137:22	81:9 82:5	65 : 8
56:12 56:21	141:23	82:12 86:16	65:10 65:23
	<u> </u>		



Tribal Corlocatation	i weeting October 16, 2017	11D1710091177 217 10 0	Paye 214
65:25 66:10	119:24	124:16	75 : 4
66:15 66:16	120:9	127:16	75:12 75:17
66:19 67:18	120:17	129:23	76:3 76:6
67:20	121:2 121:3	truly 10:9	77:16 77:25
68:6	121:10	58:1 58:3	80:3 81:7
68:14 69:15	123:3 130:3	117:13	81:10 84:24
70:6 70:7	130:19		87:13 87:25
70:22 70:22	131:10	Trump 32:9	87 : 25
73:5	134:13	35 : 4	88:1
73:10 74:24	135:12	73:18 78:19	91:14
75:3 75:7	139:24	80:1	96:3 96:5
76:8	140:19	116:6 122:6	97 : 6
77:22	144:17	trust 2:17	97 : 21
78:2 78:4	145:3 147:7	3:6 6:19	98:4
78:16 79:13	tribe's 5:5	17:13 35:18	98:19
80:18 82:17	10:19	37 : 22	99:1
82:17 84:21	11:3 11:7	39:6	99:24 100:1
86:16 87:16	11:12	40:22	100:7
87:24 90:20	18:1	47 : 6	100:17
91:3	18:12 65:25	47:11 47:13	100:25
93:21	66:13 81:11	48:17 48:21	101:9 102:6
95:2	99:10 99:11	49:4 50:7	104:7
95:17	99:14 99:23	51:25	104:19
96:2 96:7	116:18	52:3 52:6	104:24
96:17 96:20	140:1	52:11 52:14	106:1 106:7
96:23 97:18		52:15 52:19	106:9
97:19 99:21	Tribes	55:22 56:18	107:17
100:8 100:9	35:13 96:12	57:13 60:20	109:15
100:21	100:19	60:24	109:16
101:12	tried 71:1	61:1 61:2	109:22
101:14	81:15 92:16	61 : 20	110:2 116:2
102:6 107:5	112:24	62:5 62:7	116:17
107:6 108:4	tries 26:11	62 : 8	116:19
114:6	trips 135:16	62:14 62:24	116:23
114:16	_	63:14 63:22	117:2 117:6
117:10	trouble 10:21	68:9	117:13
117:11	troubling	68:15 68:16	117:21
117:14	106:12	68:18 68:20	119:1
117:17	truck 43:1	68:20 68:21	120:24
117:25		68:24	127:11
119:8	trucks 43:2	70:8	128:1
119:10	true 88:15	71:16 71:21	128:22
119:20	89:15	74:21	129:4 129:7
	<u> </u>		



	l		
129:10	24:11 26:19	68:4 119:25	undisputed
129:15	47:18 47:19	two-thirds	29:13
129:18	48:12	59:15 109:3	undoubtedly
129:20	81:3 83:1	142:6	118:22
129:22	83:20 84:15	type 21:16	unemployment
130:10	84:22		18:10
131:17	87:5	types 53:9	33:2
131:22	89:22 90:23	76:18	50:20 71:22
131:24	91:21 95:23		
132:4 132:7	98:15 107:4	U	unequivocally
133:7	109:12	ultimately	11:24 12:19
133:11	110:19	52:10 68:15	25 : 20
133:20	121:18	146:12	Unfair 68:13
134:6 137:3	138:11	unacceptable	unfavorable
137:6	142:17	72:14	138:1
142:15	146:4 146:5	unavailabilit	
144:4	147:21		unfortunate
144:11	turn 98:21	y 70:10	142:21
144:20	turned 71:5	uncertain	Unfortunately
145:5		134:18	34:9
trustee 31:22	Turtle 30:23	uncertainty	unfunded
31:24	twelve	133:24	33:11
32:2	78:14	135:7	
35:11	114:21	136:11	unheard 143:6
38:1 77:3	135:24		unique 63:15
87 : 25	136:4 138:2	undermine	United 21:6
105:19	Twilope 34:4	68:16 74:3	30:13 35:12
trustees	_	understand	64:11
40:14	Twin 109:6	38:11 88:25	73:7
45:2 62:1	Twiope 33:12	90:9 92:4	73:18 103:3
trusts 59:23	Two-ay-la	94:8 124:25	115:20
	34:5 34:15	126:20	121:22
try 25:2 31:7	two-minute	understanding	127:9
43:23 75:10		53:19	149:20
84:11 95:18	114:24	72:5 92:5	
121:16	115:4	understood	unless
138:12	two-part		20:12 52:6
141:18	92:13	103:14	unlikely 30:1
147:17	two-phase	underwrite	unmet 32:20
trying 15:6	47:25 56:11	117:15	33:3 33:7
15:14		undeveloped	33:11 34:3
23:8	two-step 56:3	97:11 97:13	
23:15 23:18	56:10 67:25		unnecessary



38:16 77:14	68:6 142:14	Vietnam 150:6	walls 45:3
unusual 75:1	145:8	view 48:12	War 37:18
<pre>updated 3:20 3:24 6:7 7:25</pre>	value 12:24 27:5 28:6 35:24	49:1 50:4 145:18 viewed 68:21	Washington 10:25 87:17 87:17
11:19 updates 3:25	36:1 36:2 36:7 60:11 60:13	village 96:2 96:23 97:12	88:8 89:17 96:3 103:11
updating 8:1 11:1	<pre>valued 114:5</pre>	96:23 97:12	110:17
uphold 38:13 upland 142:18	<pre>values 126:13 various 12:23 75:12 132:5</pre>	<pre>villages 98:8 violence 76:1 98:11</pre>	121:24 122:9 139:3 139:5 142:4
<pre>upon 29:24 97:15 upper 74:11</pre>	<pre>Vegas 89:21 vein 146:4 venture 23:9</pre>	vital 18:23 75:8 96:4 98:4	<pre>wasn't 115:22 115:23 115:24 142:9</pre>
<pre>upset 125:12 urge 65:18 66:2 79:5 100:23</pre>	ventures 66:4 venue 94:11 verbally 89:2	voice 58:6 88:10 113:11 130:12	wasting 129:23 129:23 138:23
urged 64:5 urges 66:6 USA 139:1	<pre>Vernon 130:1 versus 36:3 vet 86:23</pre>	<pre>W waged 117:15 wagging 57:4</pre>	138:24 watch 123:22 149:17
USC 61:9 useful 51:21 69:4	<pre>veteran 150:6 veto 102:11 via 97:14</pre>	wait 40:9 49:23 56:12 128:5 128:7	149:24 watched 114:4 123:21
usual 103:15 utilize 29:24 utmost 89:8	<pre>viable 65:16 75:5 vibrant 37:21</pre>	<pre>waiting 62:19 68:8 68:23 76:3 129:23</pre>	<pre>watching 32:6 water 19:1 31:3 43:23 43:24</pre>
V vague 76:10 76:14 76:24	vice 13:18 26:6 31:17 39:9 39:9	129:24 Wales 131:23 walk 125:12 150:2	59:22 104:14 127:1 ways 32:15
<pre>valley 63:23 136:25 valuable 60:6 60:16</pre>	55:1 69:9 105:13 105:15 131:9 victory 8:23	walked 64:10 walking 47:8 wall 91:9	46:10 90:19 96:17 119:7 web-based 78:15



Tribal Consultation	i weeting October 16, 2017	14D17133911# 24740 0	Page 217
we'd 9:14	16:16 18:20	91:22 91:24	142:18
16:17	19:7	93:4	143:6
54:2	19:16 20:19	93:14 94:15	143:13
95:10	20:23 20:25	94:17 95:14	144:5
104:16	21:11 21:16	95:16 95:23	146:22
114:22	23:8	98:5 103:24	147:4 147:5
133:7	25:14	104:3	147:14
week 21:9	26:7	104:11	147:15
21:24 45:22	26:14	104:25	147:21
88:19	30:1 31:8	105:2	148:1
	34:14	106:17	west 44:5
weigh 93:8	36:9 37:7	106:18	44:5 44:6
99:22	37:14 38:13	108:24	57 : 22
118:16	40:4	112:18	109:11
weighed 98:20	40:13 40:18	113:14	111:9
weight 99:16	41:12 41:22	115:12	124:15
welcome 2:7	41:22 41:25	115:16	127:10
	42:4 43:5	116:6	we've 4:5
8:4 149:8	43:5 43:6	119:17	14:11 14:12
welfare 73:6	43:9	120:13	15:20 34:20
we'll 2:16	43:14 43:22	120:25	35:6
4:10 4:11	46:13	121:8	39 : 13
13:14 16:13	47:4 47:9	121:13	42:2 42:9
34:16	47:14 47:17	121:15	42:20 42:21
39:6 42:6	47:18 47:21	121:18	42:21
47:4 47:7	47:24 48:14	121:19	43:4
47:7	48:22 48:24	121:21	44:24 82:25
52:15	50:16 51:13	122:1 122:1	83:1 83:5
54:9 102:23	55:17 56:7 56:8	122:2 122:21	83 : 17
115:3 115:4	56:10 56:13	126:4 126:7	87 : 7
119:18	57:25 70:20	126:21	91:19 91:19
122:3 124:9	80:9 82:3	127:6	94:2 101:11
125:24	82:4 83:3	129:18	106:12
125:24	84:5 85:6	129:10	108:25
127:18	86:7 87:1	129:23	109:18
we're 2:10	87:5	130:17	109:21
4:7 5:17	87:10 87:10	133:19	109:23
6:3 8:24	88:5 88:6	135:25	122:12
10:9	88:9 88:9	140:24	135:19
10:18 13:25	88:17 91:14	141:4	135:21
14:7	91:15 91:16	141:15	135:22
14:20 15:12	91:18 91:21	142:17	136:3 136:4
		-	
·			-



145:21	144:25	109:2 109:4	
whatever	145:21	111:1	148:22
10:12	who's 110:5	116:14	worms 106:18
12:3	whose 66:11	149:4	worried 88:7
44:22	who've	wishing 68:14	
72:6	16:23 70:23	won 62:23	worst 73:14
122:4 126:2		105:5	73:14
138:5	wider 66:25	wonder 140:4	write 54:6
where's 93:19	widespread		86:15
126:15	111:3	wondered	writing
whether 3:5	Wilfrid	123:18	101:24
6:25 7:6	108:20	wonderful	129:1
7:17 24:8	Williams	98:22	written
49:17 49:24	95 : 25	wondering	45:6
50:4 53:3	96:1 136:22	10:7 88:4	67:13 72:16
53:4		110:5 111:8	72 : 16
59:24 94:10	Willie 142:2	work 5:24	79:2
100:7	willing 22:11	25 : 17	100:2 101:5
106:13	34:14 34:16	26:9	111:16
106:14	35:10 39:24	26:10 26:10	128:20
106:15	41:16	33:22 46:22	131:4 138:7
118:6	win 22:1	57:20 68:25	138:17
120:17 132:25	54:24	81:20 83:17	wrong 24:21
132.23	57:5 131:20	89:11 90:11	51:8
139:25	wind 16:24	100:2	77:15 82:23
	26:24 27:13	101:23	82:24 84:2
White 79:16	27 : 16	113:7 139:5	wrote 14:7
80:13 148:19	28:2 138:12	139:6	
	139:20	139:14	Y
whoever 122:5	window 63:3	139:18 144:12	Yakama 102:21
122:7	132:14	147:24	102:22
whoever's	Winnebago		103:3
114:12	30:8	worked 35:8	Yavapai
whole 12:25	30:12 149:4	41:7 61:25	55:9 115:24
44:11 45:23	win-win 80:10	working 22:17	Yavapai-
58:6	80:11	23:4 34:8	Apache 55:1
72:19		35:6 95:14	_
80:9 98:8	Wisconsin	works 67:21	year-and-a-
121:4	9:23 9:23	98:1 108:14	half 104:22
121:23	10:3 10:5	world 33:8	<pre>yet 63:25</pre>
125:1	10:7 108:22		71:3 129:12



Tribal Consultation	iweemig	October 10, 2017	ND1 Assgn # 24748-3	Page 219
132:2				
York 70:25				
you'll 26:2 50:17 85:21 114:22				
youth 74:17				
75:23				
you've 45:15 45:15 45:19 51:16 69:14 92:22 92:24 93:2 93:10				
Yurok 17:22				
17:23 18:7 18:7 18:11 18:13 18:17 18:18 18:24 18:24 80:20 81:9 81:19 Z zero 27:25 28:4 32:25 36:24 37:2 55:18				
zeroing 36:20				
Zimmer 122:10				
Zinke 4:18 58:18 113:12				
Zinke's				
4:24 85:25				
zone 4:25 5:1 7:4 7:11 7:13 61:22				
zoning 25:4				

